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HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Good morning, everyone. My name is Bradley Halloran. I am a hearing officer with the Illinois Pollution Control Board. I am also assigned to this matter entitled, People of the State of Illinois, the Complainant, versus Packaging Personified, Inc., PCB 2004-16. Today is May 21st, 2013. It's approximately 9:25 a.m.

This supplemental hearing was scheduled in accordance with the Illinois Environmental Protection Act and the Pollution Control Board rules and procedures. It will be conducted according to the procedural rules found in Sections 101 and 103 of the Board's procedural rules. This is an air enforcement case, and as most of you know, I do not make the ultimate decision. I am here to rule on any evidentiary matters and make the record hopefully clear and concise so that the five members of the Board can peruse and rule.

On March 1st, 2012, the Board granted respondent's motion for reconsideration in part. The majority of the Board directed the

1 parties to expeditiously return to hearing solely
2 to address a discreet economic benefit matter
3 concerning penalty. The Board requested the

What costs, if any, did Packaging avoid or delay by not building a TTE for press 5 and performing a formal stack test of the tunnel dryer system?

Before we proceed to opening, if any, if the parties could introduce themselves and then we will take care of any preliminary matters that we have to. State?

MS. SANGHA: Nichole Sangha with the People.

MR. GRANT: Chris Grant with the Attorney General's Office.

MR. HARSCH: Roy Harsch with the law firm of Drinker, Biddle and Reath on behalf of Packaging.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. Do you want to tackle any preliminary hearing matters we have now regarding the motions in limine or the motions for non-disclosable material now, or do you just want to do that when they come up?

MR. GRANT: Sure. The State is ready.

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Opening, State?

MR. GRANT: We are going to waive opening statements.

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN:

1 Mr. Harsch, opening?

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I can't hear you.

MR. HARSCH: Through the testimony of Joe Imburgia, Rich Trzupek and Christopher McClure we will be able to successfully respond to all of the questions the Board directed the parties to hearing for today, and that will conclude my opening statement.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Do you think it might be wiser to move the table closer? It's going to be a long hearing.

THE COURT REPORTER: Yeah. It's pretty hard for me to hear.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, so I think we are going to have to --

MR. HARSCH: I can speak up a little louder.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
right. I neglected to mention by agreement because this is a supplemental hearing, and it's pretty much addressed in the $42(\mathrm{~h})$ factors that Packaging will proceed first. So if Packaging wishes to call their first witness.

MR. HARSCH: Yes. Mr. Joe Imburgia, please, take the stand.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Mr. Harsch, will you be getting into the non-disclosable stuff?

MR. GRANT: Yes. Well, first let me ask -- excuse me. Is Mr. Dominic Imburgia going to testify?

MR. HARSCH: Not -- I don't intend to.

MR. GRANT: Yeah, we will be getting into the non-disclosable stuff.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
right. Should I close the door and post a sign regarding the hearing is closed until Mr. Imburgia gets off the stand? Would this be a good time to do it or how far into direct?

MR. HARSCH: I believe it will be
very limited, if at all, in my direct.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
All right. Well, proceed, but as soon as you start touching on it, I will shut the door.
(Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What I have suggested to the court reporter is when we start talking about the non-disclosable information that she get a different transcript and do it that way and let me know when it's finished. Mr. Harsch?

MR. HARSCH: Yes. I should have -you know, I didn't understand basically what you were asking. We have -- Packaging has filed a -Packaging has reached a stipulation with Mr. Grant, with the State, with respect to how to address and how to handle certain confidential information that was taken from tax returns that were provided to the State in response to a discovery request in the Board order.

We agreed to do so in this
manner so that we would not have to produce the actual tax returns and have them treated as trade

1 secret. I have filed a motion with the hearing -2 with the Board and the Hearing Officer to treat

Mr. Grant and I have reached -signed a stipulation to that effect. We have both -- we marked the documents as confidential/non-disclosure, and we have redacted copies available as well that have the numbers removed from the stipulation.

To the extent necessary, if it comes up, I do have the income tax returns for the years in question with me, and I have made copies of the first two pages of the return with the line items also redacted, if that were to come up. And at the Hearing Officer's suggestion, we have also presented a motion -excuse me -- an affidavit from Mr. Dominic Imburgia regarding the fact that Packaging always treats their tax information as confidential, and only discloses it with that understanding, and that they would suffer harm potentially because of their competitors or their customers using the information contained in the returns.

And I believe I provided you with a copy and will provide a substitute copy that is actually notarized. I just got the signed affidavit this morning.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Grant, did you drop that stipulation up here? I can't find it. Did you put it in the book? I thought it was --

MR. GRANT: Oh, yes. It's Exhibit No. 17. That's a version that's signed.

MR. HARSCH: Is that -- does that include the redacted version as well with a -MR. GRANT: No. It's not. It's just the stipulated with -- from the 1994 to 2004 income tax information. We stipulated gross profit. We've stipulated total income and sales. MR. HARSCH: We will need then to provide, and we will do so, the redacted version that just has those numbers removed from it as well. So that would be a non --

MR. GRANT: Oh, so that we have an exhibit with the material redacted? Yeah. I have not -- I didn't prepare that. So if we have got one that has those, we could do that at the end,
if you want.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So you are going to submit a redacted stipulation of facts without the numbers?

MR. HARSCH: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
All right. And I see it is marked trade secret/non-disclosable information across the front.

I am going to grant that motion subject to the Board's final approval, and also Mr. Harsch did indeed give me an affidavit that $I$ requested to supplement his May 6th filing of a motion to mark the trade secret and non-disclosable information.

So I will grant that, and I am going to mark it as Hearing Officer Exhibit 2, and as soon as, I guess, Mr. Harsch gets back to the office and hopefully sometime this week, he will send it along to the Board.
(Whereupon, HEARING OFFICER
Exhibit No. 2 was marked for identification and admitted into evidence.)

MR. GRANT: I wonder if I could respond to something Mr. Harsch said. Just for the record, we are going to object to either the production of or use of the written tax materials. They were withheld from us for about nine months. It took two motions to compel. We finally worked out an agreement where I did not get copies of them, but where I was able to go to Mr . Harsch's office and take a look at them.

And we did so. I pulled out information that I didn't think was prejudicial or personal for these people, and that's what we created a stipulation from. As far as using other information in the documents -- actually using the document, I have no problem with him preparing his witness with them prior to hearing, but as far as using them in hearing or trying to enter them into evidence for any purpose, we are going to very strongly object to that.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. I don't have anything in front of me yet, nor have I heard Mr. Harsch mention anything, so -- yeah, regarding the tax returns. All I heard was we are going to use these numbers regarding the
stipulation with the numbers in there.
I know we had a conference call
the other day, and it was mentioned, but so far today I haven't been privy to anything.

MR. GRANT: Sure. Just to let you know our position, I had one other request -- and if Mr. Harsch would agree to this. Ordinarily one attorney handles all the examination for one witness. I was planning on putting the tax information in with Mr. Dominic Imburgia. If he is not going to testify, I would like to be able to handle that part of the examination with the witness that's on the stand, if there is no objection to that.

MR. HARSCH: I don't have any objection.

MR. GRANT: Thank you. I appreciate it.

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: So, Mr. Harsch, anything further on any kind of preliminary matters?

MR. HARSCH: I don't believe so.
HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Okay.
Great. Just let me know when you get into the

1 non-disclosable stuff, and we will seal the room. 2 You may proceed.

3 BY MR. HARSCH:

BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. And you've testified in previous
(Whereupon, the witness was duly sworn.)

JOSEPH IMBURGIA,
having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. Okay. Mr. Imburgia, please state your full name for the record.
A. Joseph Dominic Imburgia.

THE COURT REPORTER: Can you spell that, please, the last name?

THE WITNESS: I-M-B-U-R-G-I-A.
A. Yes, I have.
Q. What is your current position in Packaging?
A. General manager of operations.
Q. And what is entailed, just briefly, in your job description? What do you do?
A. I am in charge of manufacturing administrative functions at Packaging Personified, which entail the manufacture of flexible packaging and some systems that allow that to get out the door.
Q. And when you previously testified what was your position at Packaging?
A. I believe I was already general manager at that time.
Q. And have you held any other positions at Packaging?
A. I was originally in sales, and I was also a plant manager.
Q. Can you describe briefly what Packaging's business is?
A. We are a manufacturer of flexible packaging. That means we take resin, low density polyethylene types of resins, and we make film out

1 of it and from there we might print that film. We would convert that film, which entails cutting it and sealing it and shaping it into different finished product configurations for customers to use. The finished product is used in the food industry, bread bags, ice bags, et cetera.
Q. So you are referring then to the -what the consumer would call the plastic bag the loaf of breads comes in?
A. Yes.
Q. Does Packaging print all of the film that it produces?
A. No.
Q. Does Packaging -- strike that.

Approximately, what amount of your film that you produce gets printed?
A. Approximately, one-third.
Q. When you print that, do you print the entire -- with the film or --
A. No. It would depend on the customer's design. Most of the time, it's actually much less than the entire package.
Q. Without getting into specific numbers, can you quantify the percent of the gross
sales that would be attributed to film that is not printed?
A. I would have to approximate that to be about two-thirds of our overall sales, potentially slightly more.
Q. You heard the Hearing Officer read the questions that the Board posed and directed the parties to respond to this morning?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. You have previously read the Board's opinion?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. At issue is the shutdown of press 4 and the transfer of business from press 4 to press 5. When did you shut down press 4?
A. Approximately, December of 2002.
Q. And that was when you stopped using press 2 as a printing press?
A. Press --

MR. GRANT: Do you mean press 4?
BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. Press 4. Excuse me.
A. Correct.
Q. Before you there is a stack of
exhibits, I believe. I have previously marked Respondent's Exhibit 59. Would you take a look at that?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you prepare this document?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And can you explain what it is?
A. The top half of the document is information that $I$ took off of a report that had the information titled VOC Reporting to Edwin C. Bakowski, IEPA, provided through Mostardi \& Platt, May 13th, 2009, a FESOP Application Request.

MR. HARSCH: And I believe that is Exhibit 49 in the -- in this case, the actual FESOP application, Respondent's Exhibit 49.

MR. GRANT: I have Respondent's 49 as being a letter dated May 13th, 2009. Is that the FESOP ap?

MR. HARSCH: Yes.
MR. GRANT: Okay. BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. Would you explain what you were intending to show through that -- the information that you obtained from what was marked as --
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accepted as Exhibit -- Respondent's Exhibit 49?
A. Yes. This -- this report --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Excuse
me. Respondent's Exhibit 59 or 49?
MR. HARSCH: It's from Exhibit 49. MR. GRANT: It's Respondent

Exhibit 59 taken from Respondent's Exhibit 49. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:

Mr. Imburgia is reading off of Respondent's Exhibit 59?

MR. GRANT: Correct.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
you.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. I attempted to use the VOC reporting information that had been collected and captured back at that point in time, and submit it to the EPA to develop a relationship or correlation between years when we had known production and correlating the VOC emissions to the amount of production that we had in our printing area. BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. So this chart would have been then from your records at that time of the VOC

1 emissions in tons per year?
A. Correct.
Q. And looking at it, it would show then no emissions from press 4 in 2003?
A. Correct.
Q. It's in the prior record. Presses 1 and 2 were the water-based presses?
A. Correct.
Q. And can you explain what the lower table is in Respondent Exhibit -- what's marked as 59?
A. Yes. The lower table is collecting the VOC information from press 4 and press 5 and adding it to become a total amount of voc.
Q. And are those figures from monthly records that Packaging maintains?
A. I obtained these figures from the document that I referred to, the FESOP application request. That document had been prepared by Rich based on purchase records that were readily available at the time.
Q. And the lower table for those various columns, are those monthly numbers?
A. Yes. The lower table was the
information available from our production records that at this point in time only go back to year 2000 for pounds produced out of the press room, the off-line presses. And I correlated that information of pounds produced compared to VOC reported to come up with a relationship. That relationship is shown in the very bottom row as 61.82, 55.42, 75, et cetera. I then averaged from year 2000 to 2003 to come up with 60.81. 60.81 is pounds of material produced per VOM, if I am remembering my math correctly.

MR. HARSCH: I would at this point in time move for entry of Respondent's Exhibit 59.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: State?
MS. SANGHA: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you. So admitted. Respondent's Exhibit 59 is admitted.
(Whereupon, RESPONDENT'S Exhibit
No. 59 was admitted into evidence.)

BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. Next, turning your attention to what I have marked as Respondent's Exhibit 60 that's in
front of you, and can you explain -- did you prepare this document?
A. Yes. This is part of an ongoing record that we have kept, and it is an accumulation or a total of both pounds and footage produced for the off-line presses gathered on a monthly basis since the year 2000 to the current year.
Q. And by off-line presses, those would be the solvent-based flexographic presses?
A. Correct. Press -- what have been referred to as press 4 and press 5.
Q. And subsequently have you added additional presses?
A. Yes. We have added a press. In 2003 it started in production. We refer to it as press 6. We have also added a press in 2000 -sometime in 2012 referred to as press 7.
Q. And those presses are ducted and controlled by the RCO unit that we have previously testified to?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. Is press 5 currently in operation?
A. No, it is not.
Q. Is Respondent's Exhibit 60 a true and accurate copy of your -- a printout of your record?
A. Yes, it is.

MR. HARSCH: I will move for the admission of respondent Exhibit 60.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: State?
MS. SANGHA: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you. Respondent's Exhibit No. 60 is admitted.
(Whereupon, RESPONDENT'S Exhibit
No. 60 was admitted into evidence.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Mr. Harsch, could you give me a brief summary of exactly what this table -- I know it's from his ongoing record, but for Board purposes where I can mark it?

BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. It is a record of the monthly production by year in both press -- pounds of product and press footage, footage beginning with 2005. Is that correct?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And you -- Packaging -- does

Packaging weigh the film that it prints?
A. Yes. Every role of film that's
printed is weighed immediately as it leaves the press as part of a production record to track both efficiency and waste.
Q. And I see that your footage record only goes back to 2005. Why is that?
A. It was determined by someone who was an employee of Packaging Personified, Tim Piper, that the previous records were less than accurate because of the method of collecting that information at the time.
Q. Mr. Piper previously testified in this proceeding?
A. Yes, he has.
Q. Was that method an estimate based on footage of -- or the square footage of printed material?
A. There is a meter on the front of the press that actually counts the footage, but our discipline in capturing that number on a consistent basis was not very effective.
Q. There is a box down at the bottom of that table in red. What is -- can you explain what that is?
A. Since this is an important ongoing record of production, any changes to it we try to notate and make sure that it's as obvious to someone who would read it as to what it is. Tim had actually eliminated the footage totals for previous years as erroneous and we made the note that that change was made.
Q. Okay. The production records maintained in pounds in the upper portion of this table, for the -- what does it show that you printed in 2003?
A. 2003, it shows a total of 6,024,683 pounds per year.
Q. And if you compare that figure with all of the previous years going back to 1995, in pounds, as shown in Exhibit 60 and Exhibit 59, what does that show in terms of --
A. It shows that the pounds produced -excuse me.

The pounds produced in 2003
exceeded the pounds produced out of the press room
in all previous years.
Q. What conclusion do you draw from that?
A. We grew in sales, and produced it out of our press room.
Q. And you produced it in 2003 using only press 5?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you believe that Packaging had the capability of not operating press 4 beginning in 1995 and transferring all of the business to -and all the production to press 5?
A. Based on what we did in 2003, yes, I do.
Q. Can you explain to the Board what a typical operation is for a shift in operating either press 4 or press 5 or any other presses, for that matter?
A. Can $I$ refer to one of the other documents?
Q. In general, first, sure.
A. Okay. So it's --
Q. In fact, we might as well go to that document. If you look at Respondent Exhibit 61,

1 did you prepare this document?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And can you explain what Respondent's Exhibit 61 is?
A. Yes. What this is, is based on known outputs, averages and some assumptions, what we would anticipate average production to be along with the average number of changeovers, including startup and shutdown for the piece of equipment. These assumptions were shown for both press 4 and press 5, and individually shown for a one-shift operation, a two-shift operation and a three-shift operation for press 4, and a one, two, three and a four-shift operation for press 5.
Q. And these assumptions were based on your experience as managing the press room?
A. Yes.
Q. Press 4 is -- is press 4 a slower press than press 5 ?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Did press 4 print less colors than
press 5?
A. Yes, it did.
Q. If a press has an average run speed,

1 what do you expect to -- what speed do you 2 actually run that press?
A. It varies from item to item, based on design, based on difficulty of print, but we would like to see us run anywhere from 70 percent pretty darn happy.
Q. And what number did you use in preparing Exhibit 61?
A. I used 80 percent and 85 percent, based on the differences in the presses and their age.
Q. In general terms, is press 5 a newer press than press 4 ?
A. Yes. Press 5 was newer and based on its years of service or the fact that it was newer, it was more reliable at that point in time.
Q. Are there any differences in the efficiency of operation?
A. Yes. We would expect because of its increased reliability, that it's more efficient than its -- than the other piece of equipment, as well as the fact that it was -- as it was built,
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1 it was a newer machine, had newer technology and
A. They vary significantly, but we will have jobs that might last in printing terms less than an hour to -- of full production time of printing to jobs that might last almost a full day.
Q. Is that typical for the flexographic printing industry?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And what expected setup time did you use for press 4?
A. Expected setup time for press 4 was 45 minutes per color.
Q. And for press 5?
A. $\quad 15$ minutes per color.
Q. Why is there a difference?
A. Press 5 actually had features referred to in technical terms in our industry as automatic grafting, meaning that you could load a new cylinder and actually tell the press what size cylinder it was, and it would know how to bring that cylinder in position to begin your setup. That saved a significant amount of time while we were setting the piece of equipment up.

It also had what was referred to
as a preregistration feature, automatic gear meshing, and based on the same information, it would also rotate the cylinder in the gear so that when they were engaged at setup, they were very, very close to proper registration. Both were features that were not available on the other press.
Q. And the next column, expected average daily setup time, can you explain what that is and how you arrived at that?
A. All I did was multiply the number of colors that we would have typically set up during
a shift by the expected amount of time that it would take to set up the colors per piece of equipment.
Q. Was press 4 a three-color press?
A. Press 4 had four decks that were utilized or capable of being utilized. On average we ran less than four colors based on what was available to print.
Q. And you used three colors?
A. Three colors was just an average number that was most typical.
Q. And on press 5 you are showing it -as I understand it, an average number would be five colors?
A. Correct.
Q. If you transferred a job from press 4 to press 5 that only was three colors, what effect does that have on the average setup time?
A. That would mean that the job would be -- and I would anticipate that a three-color job setup on press 5 would be up and running in the amount of time it took to get one of the colors set on press 4.
Q. And the next column is entitled,

1 estimated total hours scheduled. That's eight 2 hours per day, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Estimated end of a shift startup and shutdown cleaning time. Can you explain what that is?
A. When you shut down one of these pieces of equipment, you actually have to drain ink out of each station and wipe off that station, wipe off the anilox rollers, recover all of the inks so that they can be used or reused, you know, at a later date or at startup, and then those have to be secured into each storage area, and you have to make sure that the -- generally we will wipe down what's called the nip roller and the drum and basically put the machine into a clean and organized state before we walk away from it.
Q. And -- and that would be true -- you have to clean it then if you are operating on a one-shift or two-shift per day basis?
A. If you stop running the piece of equipment, you need to make sure that all of the ink is very carefully removed and that it's cleaned off of any surfaces so it doesn't dry on
the equipment, because it can damage the equipment
if it dries on it.
Q. Does the -- if you operate a press around the clock 24 hours, then do you have to clean it up that day?
A. No, because you never create an opportunity for the inks to dry and on a piece of equipment you will go from one color to another color in transition, which is counted in our setup time.
Q. If you operate then on a three-shift basis, but don't operate over the weekends, do you have to clean it up then when you shut it down at the end of the week then?
A. Yes, you do. At any point in time when you are going to shut down a piece of equipment to actually not operate it for any extended period, several hours, then you have to do a complete wash down.
Q. And that's reflected in the number of hours you have in that column?
A. Correct.
Q. What does the next column, expected net daily run hours, mean?
A. Basically, you take all of the assumptions. You take the available hours for the day, you subtract the setup time that is anticipated, you subtract the shutdown time, startup time, that is anticipated, you multiply it by the -- well, I'm sorry. Did you ask for the net daily run hours?
Q. Expected net daily run hours.
A. Yeah. Take the total available hours, subtract the setup time and any other down time that would be expected.
Q. And then the next column is just the number of days per week on a one-shift basis, two-shift, whatever?
A. Correct.
Q. What is the next column, expected end of week startup and shutdown PM and cleaning time?
A. There is a more extensive cleaning that would be done on a weekend type shutdown where you go through more activities on the press to make sure that for that period of time it was -- it was, you know, prepared -- properly prepared to not run.
Q. And that's the same number whether you are operating one, two or three shifts?
A. Correct.
Q. The next column, expected daily output, can you explain what that is? I'm sorry.

Expected net weekly run hours.
A. Expected net weekly run hours is, again, the net daily hours, times the number of days available, minus the weekend shut up/start down -- startup/shutdown activity.
Q. And the next column, expected daily output, can you explain how you arrived -- what that is and how you arrived at it?
A. Expected daily output is the daily hours -- I believe I might have an error in my -the title of that column. Seven times -- yeah. I believe that's weekly. Someone can -- I believe that's a weekly number.

So expected net weekly run hours times the run rate at its expected efficiency number. So the effective run rate times the hours.
Q. And expected monthly output?
A. Expected monthly output is to take
that exact amount of weekly output times the available weeks in a month.
Q. And then the average weight, how is that used then to arrive at the last column?
A. That is the average weight for 1,000 feet based upon historical records, and we took the total amount of footage, multiplied it by the average weight to come up with an amount of pounds that would generally be associated with that amount of weight, that amount of footage.
Q. If you compare then the output at -is the last table then the amount of production you would expect and actually be able to produce through the normal operations that you just described on a one-shift, two-shift, three-shift basis?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. At the time you shut down press 4, was it operating in approximately two shifts or three shifts?
A. I believe it was a two-shift operation.
Q. And does the lower columns then show the expected capacity in pounds of product printed

1 for press 5 on a one, two, three and four-shift 2 basis? 5 information regarding the different -- the only

6 differences are a difference in setup time, a
7 difference in efficiency and expected run rate.
A. Yes. It's all of the same assumptions with the different -- specific
Q. At the time you shut down press 4, the end of 2002, what was press 5 operating at in terms of normal shift operation?
A. I believe it was three shifts, but I'm not positive.
Q. Historically then going back to -if I understand Exhibits 59 and 60, the production was greater in 2003, and 2002 was slightly less; is that -- am I correct?
A. Yes, you are.

MR. GRANT: I am going to object on the basis of leading. He is testifying as to what he wants the information to be.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Mr. Harsch?
MR. HARSCH: I just asked the question, was 2002 less than 2003, and was 2002
greater than all the previous years before that.
MR. GRANT: He is testifying.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah. It is leading, Mr. Harsch. If you could rephrase it. Objection sustained.

BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. Can you please go through the production records that you had presented in Respondent's Exhibit 59 and explain what you believe to be the relationship between the output production from press 4 and as it relates in the previous years to 2002?
A. Yes. As far as press 4, it shows zero production in 2003, and press 5 shows significantly more production than it did in previous years.
Q. Can you draw a conclusion regarding the expected capacity in pounds of production from press 4 even on your maximum production on a three-shift basis -- two-shift and three-shift basis as compared to press 5 operating on a four-shift basis?
A. Well, I think the best answer to that question is the empirical information. In

2003, we only ran press 5. In 2003, we ran more production out of the press room than we had run in any previous year in the company's history. So I was pleasantly surprised at that point in time, but $I$ believe that the best information and the best way to answer that question is the empirical evidence that we produced more in 2003 than we ever had in the company's history only utilizing press 5.
Q. And Exhibit 61 would show the maximum -- what you think would be the maximum production on press 5 over a four-shift operation?
A. Yes, I believe that to be a conservative estimate of what I would anticipate our production capability to be.
Q. Were you responsible -- who at Packaging was responsible for purchasing press 5?
A. I was involved in purchasing press 5.
Q. Were you involved in purchasing press 6?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And press 7?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you explain how you go about buying a press -- Packaging buys a press?
A. Yes. Actually, in the purchase of any capital equipment, we would evaluate what we believe to be the most effective technology available in the industry or available to the industry, and then compare the investment cost for that, the operating cost for that, to what our current operating costs are, and trying, within our budget, to buy the most effective technology available.
Q. In very general terms, what are the differences in -- are there differences in improvements between presses 4, 5, 6 and 7?
A. Similar to computers, technological advancement in printing presses have been significant over the past, you know, 15 to 20 years and continues to be very, very significant, and things that are focused on by capital equipment suppliers in trying to develop a press that, you know, is saleable are energy efficiency, ergonomics, automation.

So they basically are -- and they will put together in their effort a return on

1 investment for the end users, and they will give
2 you the opportunity to plug in your numbers, cost 3 of electricity, cost of gas, cost of labor. They 4 will give you an opportunity to plug in numbers to 5 help validate their assumptions. mechanical stress that allow presses to run faster. Our newest press can run 1486 feet per minute, as opposed to our oldest press' top speed mechanically available was 600 feet per minute on press 4. It was 900 feet per minute on press 5. It is 1144 feet per minute on press 6. They become faster, use less energy to the extent that it has been my practice to prefer to replace capacity, as opposed to add capacity.
Q. Can you explain that, please?
A. There comes a point in time when you
evaluate whether operating an older, less efficient piece of equipment makes monetary sense, compared to the cost of actually totally replacing its capacity with another piece of equipment that would potentially gain you an additional 50 percent in capacity, but with the same labor, potentially with less waste, potentially with less energy usage to achieve all of the same things.
Q. At the time you purchased press 5, were you aware of the environmental regulations that applied to press 5?
A. No. Unfortunately, I was not.
Q. Were you given any guarantees regarding expected emissions from press 5 in terms of complying with environmental regulations?
A. No, I was not.
Q. Was -- is press 5 a -- what we refer to as a recirculating oven press?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Will you explain what that is?
A. Press 5 had the ability to return exhaust air from the drying sections back to -before the oven section, it would take the air and heat it up, allowing not only warm air to enter
the oven, but also, as it was explained to me -but allowing for heat to be generated from the consumption of the VOCs through the process.
Q. Are those VOCs -- that's the -- from the solvent that's used to apply the ink to the substrate?
A. Yes.
Q.

So press 5 then when you purchased it was sold to you as a press that would save energy by this recirculating function?
A. Yes. That's one of the things that was evaluated when we were trying to determine which piece of equipment to buy, was energy efficiency, reliability of design, et cetera.
Q. Up until the time that press 5 was modified to hook up to the control device that you testified to in the earlier hearing, did you always operate press 5 in this recirculated manner?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Was there, in fact, any ability to operate it otherwise?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. If a given amount of ink is applied
to a square footage of substrate, is it necessary to provide -- where does the energy come from to evaporate the solvent that's in that ink as applied to the --
A. The energy to evaporate the solvent that's -- or the ink that's applied to the press -- or to the film, I'm sorry, comes from the oven. So any air entering the oven needs to be heated to a certain temperature to create an environment that will allow that solvent to evaporate.
Q. Is it always necessary to dry the ink, evaporate the solvent, when you are doing flexographic printing?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. In layman's term, is that because the -- when you roll it up, it would smear or --
A. Yeah. It just won't be dry. It's like painting a wall. You need it to dry before you can use it.
Q. In press 4, where did that energy come from?
A. In press 4, it was -- the intake air was ducted directly from outside fresh air, and we
would take whatever the normal temperature air of the day was and heat it to the necessary temperature to dry the ink.
Q. And what did you use to heat the air?
A. It was a natural gas oven.
$Q$. And in press 5, where did the energy come from?
A. A lot of the energy, based on my understanding of the process, was -- was -- a lot of the need for energy was reduced in the mere fact that the heated air was recirculated, and then additional energy was reduced by the fact that VOCs could be used for energy to create heat.
Q. So producing the same amount of square footage with the same amount of ink applied would cost Packaging less in press 5 than press 4 ?
A. That's what I would anticipate.
Q. What happened to the workers -- when you shut down press 4 at the end of 2002, what happened to your workers that previously worked on press 4?
A. They were trained to run on press 5 for the additional shifts required. Some of them

1 were actually absorbed into other areas of the 2 press room, because we ended up with more people 3 than we needed at that point in time.
Q.

Take a look at, please, the --

7 August 9, 2012, and attached to that letter, the 8 last page. Are you familiar with this document, 9 the last page?
A. That's a bill for the -- the testing
A. The very last page, yes, I am.
Q. And what is that document? of our oxidizer that was conducted in 2004.
Q. And that was the testing of the oxidizer that press 5 and press 6 were hooked up to?
A. Press -- yes. Press 5 and press 6, correct.
Q. And was this provided to Mr. McClure by Packaging?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. And that's the cost of the stack test?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. As far as you know, that's true and accurate?
A. Yes, absolutely.
$Q$.
Do you recall whether or not Packaging applied for the -- do you recall Packaging applying for the ATUs as part of the ERMS program?
A. Yes, I have --

MR. GRANT: I am going to object on the basis of relevance. We have very limited issues in this case, and none of them has anything to do with ATUs. Maybe you can explain, Mr. Harsch, but we are not going to retry 2009.

MR. HARSCH: No. I'm just -- we will get to it in the question.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may proceed. BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. And that was previously testified to by Mr. Piper?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Packaging receive a bill from Illinois EPA to purchase the emissions ERMS credits?
A. Yes, I believe we did.
Q. And did you pay that bill?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. And would you or would Mr. Trzupek be a better witness to testify to as to the basis for that -- the application and the -- how those credits were calculated.
A. Unfortunately, Mr. Trzupek.

MR. HARSCH: Okay. I will defer the question. At this point in time, I have no further direct questions.

MR. GRANT: Can we have a short break?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. I didn't hear what Mr. Harsch said. At this point I am going to --

MR. HARSCH: I have no
further direct.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Thank you. For how long? Because we started late.

MR. GRANT: Five minutes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Off the record for five minutes.
(Whereupon, a short break was taken.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We are back on the record. People, you are up.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. SANGHA:
Q. Okay. This is Nichole Sangha for the Attorney General's Office. I am going to do the cross-exam of Joseph Imburgia.

Mr. Imburgia, you are familiar
with annual emissions reports?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And you are aware then that these were your annual emissions reported to the Illinois EPA each year with the data from the prior year?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. And those are done each year. So, say, for 2002 you would report that the next year before May list of that year?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. Yes?
A. Okay.
Q. That's fine. You report it at the

1 first part of the next year?
A. Okay. Yes.
Q. And would you agree that Packaging uses the best available data that it has on its facility and the emissions when it goes to do that reporting to the Illinois EPA?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. And for the period at issue in this case, 1995 or part of the period at issue in this case, 1995 to 2001, those annual emissions reports weren't done in the year that they were -- would have been due to be reported, because you were not aware of your obligations at that time?
A. Correct.
Q. And in 2002, 1995 to 2001, those annual emissions reports were created retroactively?
A. Correct.
Q. But when you did that reporting in 2002, you used the data that was the best available data to you that you had at that time to do that retroactive reporting?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. I want to ask you about this chart
that you have marked as Respondent's Exhibit 59.
From what I understand, this number 60.1 that you have on here, that's a factor that you created where you took the data that -for the years where you had the data both VOM and of pounds of substrate, you created a relationship between those two things and created this factor?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And then will you agree that at one time this was a cover sheet to a series of additional charts that broke down per year, different estimations?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And when previously we had some questions about that document, we looked back at the years 1995 to 2001 where you had taken this response factor or this relational factor and you had taken pounds of substrate, which is data that you had and attempted to calculate VOM emissions from press 4 and press 5 based on this factor. Isn't that right?
A. Actually, I was attempting to re-create the pounds of production based on the available VOCs.
Q. Pounds of production based on available VOCs?
A. Since -- when this information was requested, we don't have production pound information earlier than 2000 today. So I was asked to try and generate information with regards to operating hours and -- and a lot of other information that was not readily available to me. So I tried to take the information that was available and --
Q. Create some estimates?
A. -- create some estimates. So the VOC emissions that are in this table are based on information that was reported to the IEPA back in 2009 when the information was more readily available. The information that generated this table also were actual purchase records. So it was more empirical information. The information I was missing was the amount of pounds produced out of the press room, production pounds produced out of the press room prior to 2000.
Q. But in order to get to production pounds out of the press room, you first estimated pounds of VOM from each press. That was the first

1 stop on your series of calculations?
A. No. The VoM per press I took from this table. What I did was based on the VOM from this table, which is reported for press 4 and press 5 individually, I then estimated the amount of pounds of substrate that were produced that -based on the amount of VOM that was reported.
Q. But in order to get the estimated footage, you did that by press, correct?
A. I did that by press.
Q. And so for each press you have to attribute a certain amount of VOM to that press in order to be able to make the calcuiation of estimated footage?
A. Correct. But I took the VOM information from this first table, and that table was old information that had been reported to IEPA through Mostardi \& Platt on May 13th of 2009 as part of the FESOP application.
Q. But you didn't take the allocation per press from that. You generated that yourself?
A. No. The allocation per press I took based on this document, which was our FESOP application, if $I$ am reading it correctly.
Q. Do you recall that we discussed the numbers in that chart, and we compared them to the annual emissions report, and there was a discussion about the fact that your chart differed substantially from what was in the annual emissions report?
A. I do recall that, during the deposition that there was some questions with regards to how numbers tied up, yes.
Q. And that --

MR. HARSCH: I will object unless you can be more specific. I think you stated earlier that there were a number of charts that were discussed during the deposition, and you are referring to a single chart here.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: These are things we've seen.

MR. HARSCH: All I would like is when you are referring to the charts, try to be specific as to which chart you are referring to.

MS. SANGHA: Okay. Well, you
submitted just the cover page to what was an extended report in which this response factor was applied to a series of -- to calculate a series of
numbers.
When you just have the response factor it's difficult to tell what happens to that factor when you begin to apply it to real numbers. We can submit the full chart into evidence and ask questions about that, or $I$ can just ask general questions related to when this response factor was applied, it did not yield results that were consistent with any of the other records that were in evidence.

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: You know, I think, I am going to overrule that objection. Mr. Harsch, you can qualify it on redirect if need be. Thank you. You may proceed. BY MS. SANGHA:
Q. So wouldn't you agree that when we looked at the estimated pounds of VOM in press 4 and press 5 that were in your charts that were originally attached to this cover sheet, they differed substantially from the annual emissions report?
A. I do recall that there were some differences identified at that point in time, but without that information, I can't speak to exactly

1 what those differences were.
Q. Do you recall being deposed on May 1st, just a few weeks ago in this case?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And that when you were asked this question: "And you would agree that the numbers that you reported in a good faith effort to provide information, regardless of that, the numbers that you have differed pretty substantially from the numbers that were reported in 2002?" Answer: "Yes."
A. Yes. I recall the conversation. I don't recall exactly which numbers we were referring to at the time. I do recall the additional charts that you are referring to that back this up, and the part that was not estimated was the VOM. The VOMs were taken from this first chart. The part that was estimated was the pounds produced of -- production pounds produced.
Q. Mr. Imburgia, when did you create this chart that's Exhibit 59?
A. I don't see a date on it, but it was relatively recent. It was requested of me within the last six months, I believe.
Q. So you prepared it for use specifically in this case?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And when did you create Respondent's Exhibit 60?
A. That's been an ongoing record since at least 2000 where the -- where the data is -starts.
Q. And when did you put it together in this format?
A. It's actually been in this format since it was created.
Q. And Respondent's Exhibit 61, when did you create this chart?
A. Very recently, just prior to my deposition.
Q. Just prior to your deposition. So you had this chart when we took your deposition?
A. Yes.
Q. And you produced this chart just for use in this case; isn't that true?
A. Yes.
Q. I would like to ask questions now about a document that we don't yet have marked,

1 but we would like to introduce as our next exhibit, which would be Complainant's Exhibit 21, and $I$ would first ask the witness some questions.

MR. GRANT: Will you stipulate to that?

MR. HARSCH: I believe these are all documents that are still in the record.

MS. SANGHA: This is not in the record.

MR. GRANT: We stopped, I think, at 2001, which would have been submitted in 2002 .

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. What you handed me, Mr. Grant, was just the exhibits from the last hearing?

MR. GRANT: No. These are the exhibits we are using at the hearing today that we are going to move in.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I just thought I heard Complainant's Exhibit No. 21.

MR. GRANT: They consist of --
MS. SANGHA: It's not in the binder.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: They consist of what? I'm sorry.

MR. GRANT: The original evidence
documents of both complainants and respondents from the 2009 hearing that were all admitted and new ones that I have marked going forward from the last complainant's exhibit that we used in 2009. So they are all -- so we will make sure -- and we have got the chart as to what's in. I marked the exhibits for 2009 with the identical number, which is why they are not sequential. So those we know are in. The ones we don't have in, we will move in. So it's a little confusing, but --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: It's really confusing.

MR. GRANT: It would have been more confusing if I marked -- started with one and there was Exhibit 18 from the --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Anyway. We are getting this all down. So I will have to take a look at it, but in any event, proceed.

Exhibit 21.
MS. SANGHA: May I hand the document to the witness?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, you may.

BY MS. SANGHA:
Q. Mr. Imburgia, you said you are familiar with annual emissions reports?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recognize the document in front of you?
A. Yes.
Q. What is it?
A. It appears to be an annual emission report for 2003.
Q. And is that your name listed as a contact in the paragraph there on the first page?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And you are listed as well as a cc on the bottom?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you will turn to the second page, is that your signature there?
A. Yes, it is.

MS. SANGHA: I would like to move this into evidence as Complainant's Exhibit 21.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Any objections, Mr. Harsch?

MR. HARSCH: No.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Complainant's Exhibit 21 is admitted.
(Whereupon, COMPLAINANT'S
Exhibit No. 21 was admitted into evidence.)

BY MS. SANGHA:
Q. Joe, if you will, turn to -Mr. Imburgia turn to the third page of this document. That gives the -- Packaging's annual emissions for 2003. Would you agree?
A. Yes.
Q. And the total emissions for the source for that year were identified as 50.69 tons for the year?
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. If we look at your Exhibit No. 59, you have listed as total emissions from press 5 only as 59.84?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Would you agree that that number is higher than the total emissions that were reported for that year for the facility?
A. Yes.
Q. That's all that I have for the
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1 annual emissions report. I would like to turn
2 your attention now to an exhibit that's already in
3 evidence. That's Respondent's Exhibit 12 from the you?

MS. SANGHA: Respondent's Exhibit 12 in your book.

MR. HARSCH: Complainant or
respondent?
MS. SANGHA: Not complainant,
respondent. This is Packaging's exhibit.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
December 16, 2002?

MS. SANGHA: Correct.
MR. GRANT: They are not sequential.
The respondent ones are after the complainant ones.

BY THE WITNESS:
A. December 16th, 2002?

BY MS. SANGHA:
Q. That's correct.
A. Yes.
Q. And would you agree that this is a letter from Packaging Personified to the Illinois EPA from Packaging's counsel at the time?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And if you will turn to the second page, it's noted that in this document -- I want to point out that on the last sentence of the fourth paragraph it notes that the information included in the attachments is readily available. Specifically, it says, two readily available and relevant production records are the aggregate weight of plastic film processed in each press each year and the linear feet of film run through each press each year.
And would you agree that those
are the records that you keep at Packaging?
A. The aggregate weight --
Q. The aggregate weight of plastic film and linear feet of production of film?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And that your counsel was representing to Illinois EPA in this letter that those were readily available records at the time that this letter was submitted?
A. Correct.
Q. Let's turn to the chart that's attached to the letter. I would like to look specifically --

MR. HARSCH: Hold on a second. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Off the record.
(Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Back on the record. BY MS. SANGHA:
Q. Mr. Imburgia, I would like to focus on the -- the attachment that's two boxes, a chart on the top, chart on the bottom. The one on the

1 top that says production, and underneath that the columns that say production and in parentheses feet. And underneath that you have listed for 1999, 2002 -- through 2002, year to date, the production of feet from each press. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And would you agree that for the years 2000 and 2001 in physical production in feet press 4 was producing approximately 43 percent of the production for those two years, specifically 43.7 in 2000 and 43 percent exactly in 2001?
A. Yes.
Q. And that in 2002, year to date, and again, the date of this letter was December 16th, 2002, so at the end of the year here, the production in feet for press 4 has actually surpassed the production in feet for press 5 ?
A. Yes.
Q. So in 2002 just before the press was shut down, Packaging was relying pretty heavily on press 4?
A. We produced an equal amount of product on press 4 according to these records.
Q. And potentially even more product on press 4?
A. Correct.
Q. And would you agree that operating two presses at your facility gives Packaging more flexibility in terms of running its packaging and printing operations, how many orders you can take, how many --
A. If we are referring to the total capacity of our facility including both pieces of equipment, yes.
Q. Greater flexibility. And that -- it also would help in terms of if you had a maintenance problem on one press, you wouldn't have to stop all your solvent printing just to fix that maintenance problem. You could continue operating?
A. If that were to occur, yes.
Q. And so -- and would you agree also that in the past 20 years since 1993 Packaging has always operated two presses other than the one year in 2003 that Packaging was required to shut down press 4?
A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
Q. And that at the time that this enforcement action originally began, when press 4 was shut down in 2002, Packaging made some representations to the agency at that time that their intention was to replace press 4 with press $6 ?$
A. Correct.
Q. And that your intention when press 5 was taken out of commission recently was to replace press 5 with press 7 ?
A. Correct.
Q. So each time you have taken a press out of commission, it's been your intention to replace that press with another?
A. Correct.
Q. And so, therefore, to always be operating two presses at the facility?
A. That's how it's worked out, yes.
Q. So Packaging is really a two-press operation?
A. I don't know what you mean by that. We have operated two presses, yes.
Q. You have relied on two presses all of the last 20 years other than with the exception
of the one year?
A. Correct.
Q. And you have needed those two presses to meet your customer demand?
A. Based upon what we did in 2003, I can't say that.
Q. Mr. Imburgia, I think covered under direct exam was your involvement in the purchase of press 5?
A. Yes.
Q. So you were the primary person involved in the researching and purchasing of that press?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were the primary person to have conversations with Uteco related to the purchase of that press?
A. Yes.
Q. And you can confirm that they never claimed that it would destroy volatile organic compounds?
A. It was not part of the discussion.
Q. And they never gave you a specific percentage then of volatile organic compounds that

1 would be destroyed?
A. No, they did not.
Q. They never made any claims about its ability to meet federal or state standards related to air pollution?
A. No.
Q. And that -- they never gave you a guarantee related to the capacity of the recirculating drying to control volatile organic materials?
A. No, they did not.
Q. Okay. There was no manufacturer's guarantee related to the recirculating dryer and its control capacity?
A. There was no manufacturer's guarantee regarding the controlling or destruction of VOCs.
Q. Yes. That's what I am asking.
A. Right, yep.
Q. Thank you. In your position that you are talking about the control capacity of press 5, that's coming from the test that Trzupek ran, the informal test on press 5?
A. Yes, it is.

1 Q. That's the only source of your 2 information about the control capacity of press 5?

All right. Mr. Imburgia, this
is the section of your 2002 permit application from Packaging Personified to the Illinois EPA.
A. Is it marked 4.1-1 in the top right corner?
Q. That's correct.

And I'm sorry. I skipped over on the first page there; just to orient us, this document dated June 28th, 2002 , it should be the very first page of that exhibit, and can you confirm that that's your name listed there in the paragraph indicating that you can be contacted for further information about this?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Okay. Now, turning back to 4.1-1, which is the red tab, Section 4 , it lists the name of the emission unit that's being discussed in the section, solvent-based ink press number 5 . Do you agree that that's press number 5 that's at issue in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you will turn over a couple of pages to 4.1-5, in paragraph 31 you are asked to give an explanation of how emission compliance is to be or was previously demonstrated, and you list there a manufacturer's guarantee?
A. Yes. It's listed manufacturer's guarantee.
Q. It's listed manufacturer's guarantee, but no manufacturer's guarantee existed; isn't that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And if you will turn a few more pages to Section 4.2-1, this is Bates stamped IEPA page 364 , if that helps.
A. 4-2- --
Q. 4.2-1.
A. Yes.
Q. And this is the section of your permit that dealt with air pollution control equipment. And under Section 4 where you list the name of the unit, name of air pollution control equipment and/or control system, you identify there the internal thermal oxidizer?
A. Yes, that's what's on this document.
Q. And it's connected -- and in other places on this page the manufacturer is listed as Uteco. The construction operation here is listed as 1995. The next page on number 11, the unit that's listed as controlling is solvent-based ink press number 5. Can we read that this internal thermal oxidizer listed here was intended to indicate the recirculating dryer on press 5?
A. I believe so.
Q. And if you will turn one page, in paragraph 22 you again list that compliance, initial compliance, is demonstrated or was previously demonstrated through a manufacturer's guarantee. Box 22?
A. Yes.
Q. And you even say specifically that

1 the internal thermal oxidizer will destroy 290 percent of $V O M$ ?
A. Yes. That's how it's filled out.

Q
But the manufacturer never indicated that the recirculating dryer would destroy 90 percent of VOM, correct?
A. No, they did not.
Q. And below that again in paragraph 23, you again reference a manufacturer's guarantee. It states under an explanation of how ongoing compliance will be demonstrated, Packaging indicates, under normal operating conditions, the thermal -- internal thermal oxidizer will continue to destroy 90 percent of the VOM based on the manufacturer's guarantee.

If you will turn over two more pages, in the box that is box number 30, subsection B?
A. Yes.
Q. For efficiency determination you point to the manufacturer's guarantee here two more times, both related to capture and control. Would you agree?
A. Yes.
Q. But there was no manufacturer's guarantee, even though it's indicated five times in this permit application?
A. No, not a manufacturer's guarantee regarding destruction of VOC.
Q. And the manufacturer didn't guarantee the VOC destruction of the recirculating dryer, because it wasn't originally designed to destroy vocs; isn't that correct?
A. As it was explained to me, it was designed to burn some of the VOCs to generate heat.
Q. It was designed to be an efficient dryer?
A. Actually, it was presented to me that it would burn some of the vocs to generate heat, reducing gas consumption.
Q. But it wasn't created as a control device for the press?
A. No. I believe -- if I may, regarding what we are talking about, as far as a manufacturer's guarantee and all this stuff, unfortunately, I actually don't fill these documents out, and how this, I believe, got

1 started is -- and the thought to test was a result
2 of a comment that $I$ brought to Rich saying, the manufacturer told me that this press will burn VOCs in place of burning gas or to reduce the gas consumption. It was based upon that, that further testing and investigation was done.
Q. But now I have clarity that no manufacturer's guarantee was given relating to the destruction of VOCs?
A. Yeah. No guarantee of destruction of VOCs or control of VOCs, just a guarantee that it would burn some VOCs to reduce reliance on natural gas.
Q. And Packaging relied upon the manufacturer's guarantee in a permit dated June 2002, even though you had done an informal test in December of 2001; isn't that right? The informal test was in December of 2001.
A. I don't recall the order of events, and we mainly relied on expert support and what information was brought to us in generating these documents.
Q. But you can agree that there is no reliance in the June 2002 application on the

1 informal test that was done by Rich Trzupek?
A. I don't know the order of events with regards to when this document was generated and when the test was done.
Q. Okay. Well, it's in the record that the test was done in December of 2001.
A. Okay.
Q. This document is dated June of 2002. A. Okay. So if the test was done in 2001, and this document was created in 2002, my assumption would be that Rich took that into account when generating these forms.
Q. But it's not listed here as your compliance -- your basis for compliance. Each time you are asked for a basis for compliance, you list the manufacturer's guarantee.
A. That appears to be what's being said here, yes.
Q. And would you agree that all of your presses have some sort of drying system on the press?
A. Yes.
Q. And that press 6, the newer Comexi press actually also has a recirculating dryer?
A. Yes. It does have a method of recirculating heat.
Q. And that when press 6 was sold to you, it was sold to you based on similar sales points as press 5, that this was an efficient dryer. This would be good for your business. It wasn't sold on the basis of being a capture control device?
A. No. Absolutely it was not sold as a capture and control device. It was sold as an efficient method of recapturing heat.
Q. And there were similarities in the way that press 6 was sold to you as the way that press 5 was sold to you?
A. Yes, similarities, but when press 5 was sold to me, one of the things that was told to me was that it would actually consume some of the solvent in the process to generate heat.
Q. But neither press made any -neither seller made any representation about the control of VOCs?
A. No, they did not.
Q. And when you bought press 6, it was immediately -- the emissions were immediately

1 routed to the RTO?

7 had thought that the recirculating dryer on press 85 could function as a capture and control device, 9 they would have added that as a sales point when 10 they sold it to you?

12 question. It calls for the conclusion of the 13 witness.
question.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
24 Sustained.
Q. Let's move on to what's marked as Complainant's Exhibit 16 in your books.

Mr. Imburgia, do you recognize
this?
A. Yes.
Q. What is it?
A. It is our -- what we refer to as press 5, that is listed for sale through a machinery broker.
Q. So this is an ad for -- actually for press 5 that's at issue in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. Which is now for sale?
A. Yes.
Q. And am I correct in understanding that it's not operable at the facility anymore? It's not in operation?
A. Correct.
Q. But that it remains operable?
A. Yeah, we would have to do some work to get it back up in production, but yes.
Q. It could be put back into
production?
A. It could be put back into production.
Q. For example, as the ad says at the very bottom there, can be seen in running condition, you could put it back in action to show it to someone?
A. Yes.
Q. And also that in the list of specifications you identify this is the second paragraph here, other specifications in the middle, that it has a gas drying system of recirculating ovens?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's the recirculating dryer that we have been discussing in this case?
A. I believe that might be part of it. I am not completely sure, only because I do know when we hooked up the oxidizer that there were modifications made to press 5 to hook it into the oxidizer.
Q. So you didn't return press 5 to its original condition?
A. No.
Q. So at this point in time you are not

1 sure that press 5, the recirculating dryer, would
A. Based upon the modifications that were made, I don't believe it would have the same effect on consuming some of the VOCs. When we hooked up the oxidizer, one of the things that allows the regenerative thermal oxidizer to run efficiently is the fact that it needs air that is solvent-laden and any unnecessary consumption or reduction of the solvent content in the air would reduce the efficiency of the oxidizer, causing it to use more natural gas.
Q. Okay. So your opinion is that in its prior construction, the recirculating dryer would have operated as a control?
A. In its prior construction --
Q. Prior construction.
A. It would have -- based on my knowledge, would have consumed some of the VOC

1 content in the effort of creating heat for
2 operating the system.
Q. Some of the VOC content, but specifically you are saying enough of the VOC content that it would function as a control device that would pass Illinois' regulations for control?
A. I can't speak to that. The only thing I can say is that I know it was -- that Rich performed an informal stack test that indicated it consumed, you know, a fair amount of voc.
Q. Okay. I would like to ask you about your decision not to perform a test -- not to perform a formal test. What I understand is that there is -- an informal test was done in 2001, and that date is in the record, and that in December of 2002, you moved all of your operations from press 4 to press 5 and that if your expert is correct, it would have only cost you $\$ 11,180$ at that time to perform a test on press 5 to make certain that it was a control device compliant with the Illinois regulations?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. So in December of 2002, even though you were running all of your solvent-based

1 printing production on the one press, Packaging 2 did not think it was important at that time to run

3 a formal compliance test to make sure that that 4 press was compliant?
A. We were considering prior to 2002 the purchase of an eight-color press. None of our presses were capable of producing eight colors, and at that time, the intention was to have a grand compliance plan to -- to go to a thermal oxidizer, as well as permitting a new press, and we bundled those activities together.
Q. But that press didn't come on-line until 2004, right?
A. I believe 2004, yes.
Q. So that's a pretty big gap where you have a press running all of your solvent-based printing with a very open question about compliance?
A. We were operating based upon the advice that we were given.
Q. And in 2004 when you installed press

6, Packaging created a permanent total enclosure for the facility; is that true?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And what I understand from the estimates from Mr. McClure is that part of the cost of running a formal compliance test would be to create a temporary total enclosure?
A. Yes.
Q. But that if you have a permanent total enclosure, that portion of the test is essentially moot?
A. Correct.
Q. So in 2004 when you created the permanent total enclosure, it would have only cost you $\$ 6,180$, give or take for inflation, to perform a formal compliance test on press 5 at that time?
A. That sounds right.
Q. So you could have tested it prior to ducting it to the oxidizer?
A. Correct.
Q. Don't you think that would have been a simpler way of resolving this issue related to press 5?
A. Hindsight, yes. We were following what we thought was sound advice as the best way to approach the situation we were in.
Q. But Packaging did not make a
decision to test press 5 before hooking it up to the oxidizer?
A. No.
Q. Even though in that year your gross profits were significant, as we will talk about in just a minute?
A. Again, frankly, I didn't realize that the -- what we refer to now as the informal stack test wasn't a good enough test. We had done a test, and based on my understanding at that point in time, you know, we had done everything we were supposed to do, and we were moving forward in the process of trying to meet the State's expectations and grow our business. In hindsight, everything would have been easier.
Q. So in 2004 -- strike that. Mr. Imburgia, you did participate in the meetings with Illinois EPA following the violation notice that you received?
A. I participated I recall in at least one meeting with the EPA down in Springfield.
Q. And at the time that we filed this lawsuit, you would have been aware that a lawsuit had been filed against Packaging Personified?
A. Oh, yes, absolutely.
Q. So you were aware at least to some extent that the State and the Illinois EPA were not satisfied with the informal test?
A. I can't say that. Honestly, I thought that the years in question were the years we have operated without a permit, and that was the majority of issue.

MS. SANGHA: I would like to move Complainant's Exhibit 16 into evidence, the ad advertising press number 5.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Wasn't this already in evidence in the older -MS. SANGHA: It wasn't. Chris just reminded me.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. Where did we leave off from the last hearing in 2009?

MR. GRANT: 14.
MS. SANGHA: 14.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 14.
MR. GRANT: For Complainant.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Mr. Harsch, any objection?

MR. HARSCH: No.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Complainant's Exhibit No. 16 is admitted.
(Whereupon, COMPLAINANT'S
Exhibit No. 16 was admitted
into evidence.)
MS. SANGHA: Now, as we discussed earlier, I am going to let Chris ask some questions about the tax documents.

MR. GRANT: It's confidential.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. At this point, I am going to ask that the court reporter indicate confidential in the transcript, and I am going to close the door and put a sign out for the public stating that this is a closed session and there will be a sign-up sheet, if need be.
(Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)
(Whereupon, confidential proceedings were had and are contained in a separately bound transcript marked confidential.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We are back. It's approximately 1:16, May 21st continuing this hearing. I want to note for the record that we are done, I believe, with the non-disclosable tax return confidential testimony. I took the sign off of the door. So the public are welcome again, but there is no one here except for the actual parties and the witnesses and the attorneys.

With that said, Mr. Imburgia -THE WITNESS: Imburgia.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: -- is still on the stand and is still under oath. MR. HARSCH: On cross-examination there were questions raised. I will have you look at --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Mr. Harsch, if you can hold on a minute.
Kari, I think we are on a new transcript. We are back on the old one? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We are not on a separate one? THE COURT REPORTER: Right. 22 exhibit -- Respondent's Exhibit 12, and it's the

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. If you will look in the book, Respondent's Exhibit 12.
A. Yes.
Q. The date of that letter is December 16th, 2002, and looking at the table that's attached to that letter, do you have an opinion as to whether that's a full year or a partial year of 2002?
A. I would say it's a partial year.
Q. And have you looked at your production records versus what's listed here?
A. Yes.
Q. And compared that to what's listed here?
A. Yes. In production pounds it appears to be approximately half.

MR. GRANT: Can you tell us what exhibit you are looking at, just so we know?

THE WITNESS: I am looking at table on the last page and comparing it to Exhibit 60.

MR. GRANT: 60, thank you. BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. There is no date of this attachment to the letter, is there?
A. No, there is not.
Q. This letter also -- or this attachment also references production in feet. Is that -- how does the -- how do these records relate to what you subsequently found in terms of reliability of the production in feet?
A. Unfortunately, I do not have footage records from prior to 2005, because the footage records were deemed to be less reliable.
Q. And that was by the environmental -the person you hired to manage your environmental affairs internally?
A. Correct.
Q. Tim Piper?
A. Correct, Tim Piper.
Q. I think he previously testified in this case.
A. Yes, he did.
Q. And did he go back through all of your records and try to reorganize them all?
A. Yes, he did. He streamlined our compliance recordkeeping.
Q. The Complainant's Exhibit 21, which is not in the book, it's the April 30th, 2004 letter. I believe you have it up there.
A. Complainant's exhibit what number?
Q. 21. It's the letter to the Agency.
A. Yes. Yes, I do.

MS. SANGHA: Do we have this one?
MR. HARSCH: Your Exhibit 21.
BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. This would have been on data -- this was prior to the time that Tim Piper had joined you, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. The data that you used -- your production data that you testified to that you used to prepare Exhibit 59, was that data after Tim Piper had gone to work for you and gone back through and changed your -- all your reporting?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Would that explain the difference between the two documents?
A. I believe it could explain the
difference.
Q. At the time that you were in -negotiating to buy press 5 there was absolutely no discussion regarding the ability of press 5 to comply with environmental regulations?
A. No, there was not.
Q. Did you under -- did you understand that press 5 by the person selling it to you absolutely destroyed some solvents by the recirculation to produce the heat?
A. Yes. That's how it was sold to us is that in the process of recirculating, that there would be some consumption of the solvents in the air to generate heat to heat the process.
Q. And that's what you subsequently relayed to Mr. Trzupek, your consultant?
A. Yes. I did relay that to Mr. Trzupek.
Q. And I think you previously testified that press 5 was energy efficient because of this recirculation of the heated air to the dryer plus the consumption of the solvent in -- as a result of the recirculating portion?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Does press 6 operate in the same manner?
A. Press 6 recirculates heat, but there was never a claim by the manufacturer when we purchased that piece of equipment that it would actually burn any solvent, just that it would recirculate some heat.
Q. And you knew from day 1 that it would require a control device to comply with the regulations?
A. Yes.
Q. And the same is true with press 7?
A. Yes.
Q. As of December of 2003 or the February of 2004 timeframe, was -- were you aware that the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency did not accept the -- what we have been referring to as the informal stack test?
A. No, I did not.

MR. HARSCH: I have no further redirect.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Any further questions?

MR. HARSCH: No further redirect.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.

FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS . SANGHA:
Q. Just a couple questions.

Mr. Imburgia, from this chart it's my understanding that you no longer have records for production in footage for the years 1999 to 2002 in your records?
A. Correct.
Q. But in 2002 when this letter was produced you had the records available to you from 1999 to 2002?
A. Yes. We did have records. Although, it was determined at some point in time that those records were not the most accurate records that we had kept at that point in time.
Q. But you have no new records other than -- this is what I am asking, I guess. The records that were created at that time and kept, you may have retained those records, but you don't have the new records from that -- those years?
A. No. We do not have new records.

1 The method of collecting footage and how it was used to measure efficiency was not the most disciplined effort in our facility, and it came at some point in time where we decided that those numbers were not very trusted numbers, and we changed our method of collecting that data in 2005 to get more reliable information.
Q. But you couldn't go back in time and get new data with the years that had already passed?
A. No.
Q. So the data that you have for those years, that's the best available data that you have?
A. Yes. It's the only data that --
Q. It's the only data that's available?
A. It's the only data that exists.

Although, it's accepted to be less than reliable. We use that information to measure shift performance and evaluate, you know, people's performance and progress, and it was determined that because of that reason that it was not always collected as effectively or faithfully as it was supposed to, and that's why we have subsequently

1 eliminated that data.
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Q. Mr. Trzupek, would you please state your full name for the record?
A. Richard Trzupek.
Q. And where are you presently employed?
A. I am employed as a principal consultant for Trinity Consultants, Inc., in Oakbrook Terrace, Illinois.
Q. And what are your -- briefly, what are your areas of expertise?
A. I specialize in air quality issues related to environmental regulation, including permitting, recordkeeping, reporting, litigation support and stack test management.
Q. And when did you leave -- when did you join Trinity?
A. May 7th of 2012.
Q. I previously marked and it should be 60 -- Respondent's Exhibit 62. I think it's in front of you there.
A. Yes, I have it.
Q. That should be marked Respondent's Exhibit 62.
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Did you prepare this document?
A. I did.
Q. And can you explain what it is?
A. It's a supplemental expert report on -- that speaks to a view on control efficiency, emission test costs and the utilization of press number 5.
Q. And attached to the report is Appendix A. What is that document?
A. My curriculum vitae, as up to date as it is.
Q. And is this document true and accurate, to the best of your knowledge and belief?
A. Yes, it is.

MR. HARSCH: Before we go forward, I would -- do you have a problem if we enter it?

MR. GRANT: No. I have no objection.

MR. HARSCH: I'd move it then.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Respondent's Exhibit No. 62 is admitted.
(Whereupon, RESPONDENT'S Exhibit
No. 62 was admitted into evidence.)

MR. GRANT: Also, we would ask -- we have the identical exhibit as Complainant's Exhibit 20 -- I'm sorry -- 19. It should be the same thing. It's the one we filed before. So we would like to admit that one also.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Is it from the prior case?

MR. GRANT: No. It's the -- 19
is -- let's see. It was one that was filed with the Board on 5/13 of 2013 as part of --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Complainant's Exhibit No. 19 is admitted without objection.
(Whereupon, COMPLAINANT'S
Exhibit No. 19 was admitted
into evidence.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Is it the same CV, supplemental --

MR. GRANT: Yeah. Both of them were taken right off the -- right off the website. It looks to me to be identical.

MR. HARSCH: I haven't checked it word-for-word, but $I$ believe it's the same document.

MR. GRANT: It was from his hearing report, prehearing report.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So no objection?

MR. HARSCH: Yeah, it looks like it's the same copy as the prehearing.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Without objection, Complainant's Exhibit No. 19 is admitted. It's the same document as Respondent's Exhibit 62.

You may proceed, Mr. Harsch. BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. Mr. Trzupek, have you read the Board's questions that are contained in the Board Order granting the motion for reconsideration?
A. I have.
Q. And those are the questions that the Hearing Officer read off this morning?
A. Yes.
Q. The first question is, did the press 5 tunnel dryer system constitute a capture system

1 and control device under 35 Illinois Admin Code 2 Section 218.401(c)? You are familiar with that 3 question? think it probably was in his 2009 report, but his opinion would have to -- what he testifies to would have to be in conformance with 2009. Unless I am wrong, but there is nothing in this opinion report that says this press complied with the rule or 60 percent control or capture or anything like that.

BY THE WITNESS:
A. And Mr. Grant, and it says beginning in number 2 , as noted in my original expert report, press number 5 prior to its ducting as a new -- to a new control system was equipped with a recirculating drying oven that acted as a control device by oxidizing the VOM contained in the --

MR. GRANT: Yes. It's not in compliance with the rule. That's my point. My point is -- and I just want to clarify. And I know I have used up my quota of objections, but in the 2009 report I believe Joe actually said, in my opinion this controlled -- whatever the word is -capture and destruction efficiencies are. This doesn't have anything in here. It discusses things in general. It looks like a supplement, but it's not a new opinion. There is nothing in the opinion that says this is controlled. BY THE WITNESS:
A. I believe Mr. Harsch's question was whether it was a capture or control device non-compliant with the rule.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry, Mr. Trzupek. Mr. Harsch?

MR. HARSCH: We submitted a supplemental report. It references his prior report. He is a witness in this case. It's in the record in this case.

MR. GRANT: My point is only his that opinion is a 2009 opinion. This is supplementing it, because it doesn't -- there is

1 nothing in this opinion that says that this met
2 the control -- the capture and control devices of
3 218.401. It just doesn't. There is no new
4 information in here about that, and there is some
5 stuff in here that could potentially bolster that
6 previous opinion, but the opinion that you are
7 talking about is the 2009 opinion.
8
MR. HARSCH: I just asked him if he had an opinion.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
right. The objection is overruled. You may proceed with Mr. Trzupek. BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. What's your understanding of the requirements, if you have one, of Section 35 Ill. Admin. Code Section $218.401(c)$ as it would apply to press 5?
A. It requires the use of a capture system and control device, and then it goes on to specify what the efficiency -- the capture efficiency and the destruction efficiency of those control devices are.
So if I understand your

24 question, the first thing is -- the Board asks is,

1 does press number 5 qualify as a capture system 2 and control device and my answer to that would be,

MR. GRANT: I mean, you can overrule it. That's fine. I just want to make it clear that -- because I am going to point it out. It must be in the 2009 report, so --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Great. Objection noted and overruled. You may proceed. BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. What is the purpose of -- as you would understand it, to install a -- require that a capture system and a control device be installed on an air pollution source?
A. The purpose of the capture system is to collect the gaseous air pollution and duct it to a control device. The purpose of the control device is to remove the air pollutant or pollutants from that stream, either by destroying them or by collecting them in some manner.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You know, I would like to say to support my ruling -- and I'm not sure exactly what you meant, Mr. Grant, when you filed your prehearing memorandum, but I am looking at page 2, and under witnesses, complainant suggests that the parties agree to a broad scope of examination so that all testimony may be heard during the complainant's cross-examination, and --

MR. GRANT: No. I -- actually, I don't -- the -- I just noticed this, I don't know, a day or so ago, that this report doesn't -- I'm not trying to exclude his testimony. I understand what he testified to in 2009 and what he will testify to today. It's -- what I -- I want to know where -- which expert report it is, you know. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:

1 Understood. And I ask the Board to take note of 2 that.

MR. GRANT: Sure.
BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. You were told by -- did Mr. Imburgia tell you anything about the -- what he had been told about the operation of how the recirculating oven would function on press 5?
A. I was. Joe -- and my discussion was that press 5 -- press 5's tunnel dryer was a recirculating type, and that specifically it recirculated the south portion of the exhaust and used it as combustion air on the -- for the burners that heated the dryer, and that type of recirculation would destroy some or all of the VOC contained in the air.
Q. Do you understand -- do you know if it was possible to operate press 5 without operating in that recirculating mode?
A. Well, my understanding is it's not now. So reconfigured $I$ think it was, but as it stood in 2002, I don't believe it was possible to operate without that recirculation.
Q. The -- you filled out the permit

1 applications that -- with respect to the 2 application for the title 5 permit?
A. Correct.
Q. Which would be --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Is your
mike on, Mr. Harsch? I know you are at a disadvantage.

BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. -- which would be the CAAPP
application that you submitted --
THE COURT REPORTER: Which
application? I'm sorry.
BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. And the --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Mr. Harsch, she did hear the --
THE COURT REPORTER: What kind of application? BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. CAAPP, C-A-A-P-P, and I believe that's Complainant Exhibit 9 in this proceeding; is that correct? MR. GRANT: That is correct.

1 BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. You prepared this application?
A. That is correct.
Q. And there are references in here that Mr. Imburgia was asked about this morning where you listed manufacturer's guarantee. Is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And what were you referring to when you filled out that application?
A. I was referring to the fact that it had a recirculating oven that used the recirculation for combustion air.
Q. Had you conducted the engineering stack test calculation?
A. I did.
Q. Prior to this application?
A. That is correct.
Q. And I would ask you to look at -- it would be their Bates stamp number page 370 of that exhibit.
A. I'm sorry. The number again?
Q. EPA 0370. It would be at Section 4.2-7 of the application lettering.
A. Yes, I have it.
Q. In the middle of the page, efficiency determination, what did you mark down there for capture?
A. For capture, it's -- I marked manufacturer's guarantee.
Q. And what would be the -- what would -- what was your understanding of that at that time? What were you referring to?
A. Well, when you are filling out the permit applications, and especially one like this where we are trying to get them into compliance and into the system as soon as possible, a manufacturer's guarantee for people in my business and for people in the permit section is shorthand for, this is what we believe the performance to be based on design.
Q. And that would be referring to the design of the -- how the oven functioned that -to capture emissions?
A. Correct.
Q. And what did you fill out for control efficient?
A. Same, manufacturer's guarantee.
Q. And what were you referring to there?
A. Again, based on the design, we believed that the design would meet their requirements of the rule.
Q. And for overall, what did you mark down?
A. Engineer's calculation.
Q. And what were you referring to there?
A. The actual tests that we had done to determine the overall.
Q. Is the use of the recirculating oven -- recirculating oven of press 5 unique to Packaging?
A. It is not.
Q. And what's your understanding of how common they are in the industry for flexographic printing?
A. I have seen them at other flexographic and lithographic printers. I couldn't put a percentage on it, but if it's not a majority of people that use recirculating ovens, then, you know, it's a significant fraction, I

1 guess I would say.

3 recirculating oven on a press such as press 5 be 4 important to someone?
Q. If I understand you correctly, you would, what, first bring the oven up to temperature with natural gas and then start drying?
A. That's my understanding, but I -I'm not an expert in press operations.
Q. Can you explain what the informal -I know you went into it in great detail at a prior hearing, but can you essentially explain -- well, put it this way.

What is entailed in doing a

## formal stack test?

A. It depends on what you are doing the test for.
Q. Stack testing -- had they done a formal stack test on press 4 -- press 5. Excuse me.
A. Press 5. Then typically the State would require demonstration of both capture and VOM destruction. The capture methodology would be most likely using a temporary total enclosure, in this case if a permanent total enclosure were not built, and that would be -- the 204 series methods would be used to prove that.

The measurement of destruction would entail methods 1 through 4 and method 25(a), both conducted at three, one-hour runs at the inlet and the outlet of the control device.
Q. And normally you would give the prior notification required to the agency?
A. Yeah. There is a 30-day written notification that's required, and then a five-day usually phone call verification to give the agency an opportunity to witness the test.
Q. And who is the person that observes most of the stack tests currently in this area?
A. Kevin Mattison.
Q. And if needed, Mr. Mattison -- has

1 he been available to waive some of those stack 2 test notification dates?
A. My understanding is he can, yeah.
Q. How did the -- how did the informal stack test that you performed at Packaging differ from a formal stack test?
A. It utilized methods 1, 2, 3, and 25(a) at the inlet and outlet of the control device. So starting with the destruction portion, there was no method 4 , which is the moisture method. The moisture method is used to correct the air flow from wet to dry in standard cubic feet. It adds a considerable expense, and in this case we could approximate the moisture very easily. We approximated 3 percent, which is going to be very close to the actual, and for the purposes of an informal test, I didn't deem it necessary. We did not do three one-hour runs. We tested for approximately an hour.

And again, the nature of the method -- of method $25(a)$ is you get a continuous readout of the concentration of hydrocarbons in the gas stream. So typically when you are doing this kind of diagnostic work, using an instrument,

1 you see how consistent your data is. If your data

What we did was what's referred to as a liquid-gas mass balance where we determine the amount of VOM being inputted into the system by measuring ink use, and more significantly,

1 solvent use and adding the solvent to the 2 percentage of $V O M$ in the ink.

21 Thank you.
BY THE WITNESS:

So you get the amount of VOM that was inputted into the system, and then estimating the amount that was captured using the method $25(a)$ technique at the inlet to the -- in this case to the recirculating oven. Comparing those numbers gives you a reasonable estimate of what the capture is, and for reasons I will go into if you want, in this case it would be a reasonably conservative estimate, that the actual capture would actually be higher.
Q. What were the results of your test?
A. I would have to look at the document again. And -- okay.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm
sorry. Which document is it?
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. It is
Complainant's Exhibit 8.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
A. So we found a capture of
82.6 percent, a destruction of 93.6 percent and

1 overall control of 77.3 percent.
2 BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. What are the requirements in the 4 regulation?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And that would have included the subsequent annual emissions reports?
A. That is correct.
Q. Would that have included the application for the ERMS program, et cetera, that's in the record?
A. The ERMS reports, yes, that is correct.
Q. And that would have -- would the ERMS fees that were -- do you know if the agency, in fact, came back and requested fees by Packaging for the ERMS program?
A. My understanding is they did, yes.
Q. Would those have been based on your results of your engineering calculation?
A. Yes, they would.
Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not had a formal stack test been performed on press 5, that it would have successfully shown that press 5 complied?
A. Yes, I believe it would have.
Q. How many personal stack tests have you performed?
A. Hundreds.
Q. And how many of those stack tests have been observed by agency personnel?
A. Oh, I don't know. I would say probably -- witnessed tests, maybe one out of every four is typically witnessed.
Q. And does that include tests performed in Illinois?
A. Yes.
Q. Who has observed your tests here in Illinois?
A. When I started, it was -- as a stack tester, Fred Smith and George Klumera (phonetic) doing the witnessing, and then probably about eight years in when I was still stack testing Kevin Mattison took over and still performs that duty.
Q. Has the agency ever objected to any -- the manner in which you performed any stack tests?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Do you have an estimate of what the costs would have been to perform a formal stack testing of press 5?
A. The -- the destruction portion, depending on who they used at the time would have been somewhere around 5 to 8,000. The capture portion would have been anywhere from 15 to 30,000.
Q. And those are set forth in your later supplemental opinion, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. The cost of the stack test for construction efficiency for both presses 5 and 6 for the RCO was slightly over $\$ 6,000$; is that correct?
A. That's my understanding, yes.
Q. Have you read the comments that have been provided on your -- by Mr. Mattison to the Attorney General's Office?
A. I have.
Q. Do you have a -- do you agree with those comments?
A. I do not.
Q. And why?
A. Well, I guess I should go one by one. And I am looking at Complainant's Exhibit 15.

The first comment refers to the fact that $I$ used a liquid-gas mass balance, and it does not comply with USEPA Guidance Document 035. And then it goes on to say that the method lacks statistical analysis, cannot be verified and is unreliable.

As a chemist and as a stack tester, I disagree with that statement. I think an FID is a well-established tool for measuring hydrocarbons. We know what type of bias the FID has and what type of errors, positive or negative, could be introduced in doing them with the gas mass balance, the magnitude of them, and based on all of my experience and my professional knowledge as a scientist, I would say it is sufficient to absolutely show compliance not in -- not in accordance with Guidance Document 035, but in accordance with sound science, and I think it would also meet the standard of credible evidence that's established in the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.
Q. And is there another point you don't agree with?
A. In B Mr. Mattison assumes that

1 the -- states that my listing of the VOM input 2 rate of 40 pounds an hour is incorrect and further

3 assumes that that is actually an ink input rate.
4 That is not correct.

5

6
7

The 40 pounds an hour of VOM that is listed in the report is a -- is an accurate listing of the amount of VOM going into the system, not the amount of ink. It was calculated by measuring the amount of solvent. And I think it's worth noting that in flexographic printing you use approximately two pounds of solvent, which is 100 percent VOM for every pound of ink, and then adding to it the VOM portion of the ink that was used. The VOM portion of ink that was used was determined by looking at the manufacturer's safety data sheets for the inks, which has a listing of the VOM content, and that's how we have arrived at the 40 pounds an hour. In C, Mr. Mattison notes correctly that the ink usage was measured as VOM whereas the measured inlet concentration is measured as propane, and that is correct. And he also correctly says that because those parameters are different, the assess ment won't be accurate.

And that is correct, however, as I stated in -- earlier, the assessment will -- we know that the bias will be on the conservative side. Which is to say, we will underestimate the amount of VOM captured. The reasoning that we could say it's an underestimate requires me to go through a bit of chemistry. Would you like me to do that?
Q. Yes, sir.
A. When -- a flame ionization detector you can think of as a carbon counter. It creates carbon anions, $A-N-I-O-N-S$, which react, which cause a deflection in the magnetic field, which is the detector. That deflection is proportional to the amount of carbon anions that are created.

When you introduce other --
other atomic species like oxygen or chlorine, that deflection can be -- can be a little bit different. It can be different based on the molecular structure as well. Anything that causes the deflection from a 1 to 1 to a less than a 1 to 1 is called response factor. Response factors are somewhat dependant on the type of instrument used, the specific $F I D$, but we know with pretty good

1 reliability from published response factors how 2 given compounds will deviate from that 1 to 1 3 structure.

5 compounds that Packaging uses deviate very little 6 from that 1 to 1 response. The other way in which 7 this comparison is skewed is that when you assume 8 everything is propane, you are basing your mass 9 rate, your -- in pounds that you calculate based 10 on the molecular weight of propane, and

In this case, the type of specifically on the ratio between the carbon weight to the molecular weight of that compound. In reality, what Packaging uses are a couple of acetates in significant quantities, which has oxygen. So their carbon weight to molecular weight ratio is actually higher than that of propane. So the weight, if we had calculated it as acetate, we would have shown more -- a greater capture number.

So when I say we are
underestimating, we are underestimating on two counts. We are not applying -- we are not taking the response factor credit, and we are not taking the molecular weight credit, that both would have

1 put the capture number -- would have made the 2 capture number higher.

3 Q. Again, that was a measure -- in your 4 stack test, the capture efficiency was 82 percent?
Q. Do you have any other disagreements?
A. The -- Mr. Mattison correctly says, the inlet flow rate is reported over two and a half times higher than the outlet flow. That is correct, and that is because the difference between those flows is what is in the recirculation loop. We are measuring the inlet, and the recirculation loop only takes a portion of the gas flow and allows it to exhaust to atmosphere. The majority of the gas flow is actually in the loop as it goes back around to be recirculated.

So there is that difference, but that's not inconsistent with the recirculating oven, nor would it make the measurement of negative pressure incorrect. The pressure was absolutely negative. I measured it, and the -empirically, you know the -- you know very well on a flexographic press if it's out of balance and

1 you have positive pressure because the room will 2 reek of solvent in moments, and $I$ have never

3 been -- when press 5 was running, I was never 4 there when you got a whiff of solvent off of press

5 5, and so it was absolutely in the negative 6 pressure. what $I$ have seen at every stack testing company I have worked with when you are doing what we consider diagnostic work, trying to size a control device or determine an emission factor. It's, you know, precisely the kind of evidence that -- the kind of test that had --

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry?
BY THE WITNESS:
A. I'm sorry. It's precisely the kind of test that had it shown non-compliance, I have seen, you know, other states use a diagnostic test as evidence of non-compliance. BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. Are you aware of any instance where

1 this type of stack test is -- has been determined to be non-credible?
A. I am not.
Q. Would there have been any -- what would have been the cost of doing a -- a formal stack test in 1995 versus 2005, for example, or 2004? Would there have been a significant -- any savings, the costs go down?
A. The difference over those ten years might be -- I would guess maybe $\$ 1,000$.
Q. And would the same apply to constructing a temporary total enclosure?
A. Yeah. I would say it would be the same.
Q. Are your estimates actually on your experience having done tests over that time period?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you form an opinion and express it as to the ability of press 5 to handle the production of press 4 going back to the initiation of the rule?
A. I did.
Q. Would you explain that opinion and

1 what it's based on?
A. Well, it's based on data that was provided to me by Packaging on VOM usage and gross sales, and that's, you know, again, comparing 2002 to 2003, and seeing that in 2003 when press 5 was operating, the VOM usage was -- was significantly higher and gross sales were significantly higher, which both to me seemed to be indicators that press 5 could have handled all of press 4.

I think empirically, too, you know, from the times that $I$ would be at the facility, when 5 was operating at -- you know, it's -- it never was operating all the time. Every time I did a site visit there would be -- it might be down for setup. It might be down for maintenance. It might be running. It might be waiting for a job. So empirically, you know, just my observations of the operation were in line with what the data seemed to suggest.
Q. Packaging maintained records throughout this time period on VOM purchases?
A. Which time period are you referring?
Q. 1995 forward.
A. Yes. My understanding is they did,

1 yes.
2 Q. And those are the records that you 3 have looked at even recently and still exist?
A. Yes.

MR. GRANT: I am going to object to the use of this testimony. I'm sorry. We were advised in responses to interrogatories they had absolutely no records during this period. If they are going to use this now --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry, but you are going to need to speak up.

MR. GRANT: We were -- in responses to interrogatories, Packaging advised us they had absolutely no records related to hours of operation or production or anything for this period. So if he is going to use this evidence, we think it should be excluded.

MR. HARSCH: Mr. Grant, I have made available to you the actual purchase records for this time period.

MR. GRANT: Well, you showed me some invoices for purchases of ink and solvent. MR. HARSCH: That's what I am talking about.

MR. GRANT: I withdraw my objection. I withdraw my objection for the time being.

MR. HARSCH: At this point in time, I have no further direct questions.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. Whose witness? Mr. Grant?

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GRANT:
Q. Hi, Mr. Trzupek. I wanted to discuss general -- your general involvement with Packaging Personified. It's my understanding you came to them in 2001?
A. That's correct.
Q.

Do you have any personal knowledge of their operations from 1995 until the time that you came? The summer of 2001 I think is the date that's in the record.
A. I'm not sure what you mean by personal knowledge of their operations.
Q. I just wanted to get a feeling for did you know that Packaging Personified was operating?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. And did you have any personal
knowledge of the hours of operation of presses 5 and 6 from March 15th, 1995, until December of 2001 when you came there?
A. I had no direct knowledge of that, no.
Q. Okay. All your knowledge about the utilization of these two presses during that period came from Packaging, didn't it?
A. With the exception of, you know, my observation of the ink records, which would be my own, my knowledge of the utilization would have come from Packaging.
Q. Now, the ink records that you are talking about, those are copies of invoices from ink manufacturers and copies of invoices from solvent -- from vendors of solvents, solvent distributors, that sort of thing?
A. That's correct.
Q. Was there anything else? Was there any tabulation, any calculation of hours, any records of the hours of operation of press 5 or press 4 during this period?
A. From Packaging, you mean?
Q. Yeah. Something besides the

1 invoices for the -- you know, from manufacturers 2 and solvent vendors, was there anything else?
A. Well, I think it would have to be before that. Well, yeah, probably.
Q. I mean, when you --
A. Yeah.
Q. When you got it?
A. Roughly, yeah.
$Q$.
All right. Okay. How about the -how about the knowledge of their financial results, and I am not about to open up that confidential docket again at this point.

But you were sitting here when all that stuff was coming in, right? Prior to that, prior to today, did you have any knowledge of the profitability or the profits, gross profits, any of those numbers?
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. And other things I was thinking of was knowledge of lead times for Packaging customers. Do you have -- did you have any understanding of that?
A. Well, for that industry in general, my impression has always been it's a rather quick turnaround, a quick turnaround market, but I don't know the actual lead times.
Q. The reason I am saying this is, as you know, I mean, they did operate with presses 4 and 5 until one year in 2003 where, for whatever reason, you know, they were compelled to shut it down or chose to shut it down when they were operating with two. One of the things that operating with two presses is -- and Ms. Sangha got into it is your ability to handle rush orders and to handle the flexibility in production.

Do you have -- what was your level of knowledge for that period of 1995 to 2001?
A. I wouldn't have any level of knowledge.
Q. Okay. When did you advise Packaging that they were -- that press 4 was noncompliant?
A. When I looked at their ink and solvent use records.
Q. Okay. Would it have been -- and off the top of my head, I am thinking it was December 9th, and it may not have been. Oh, yes, the day you did the stack test -- we have got a date there. Okay. December 12th, 2001.
A. It would have been before that.
Q. Okay. You would have advised them that press 4 was not in compliance before that date?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Okay. Let's go to Exhibit No. 12. That's Complainant's Exhibit No. 12.
A. I have it.
Q. Okay. If you can -- let's see. If you could go to -- okay. Respondent's Exhibit 12.
A. Respondent's exhibit --
Q. Sorry.
A. That's okay.
Q. Okay. All right. Look at the table that's on -- I think it's page 4 of this exhibit.
A. Yes, I have it.
Q. Did you produce this table?
A. You know what? That's a very good question. I think I did, but it's long enough that I can't say that with certainty. It looks like the way I would have done an Excel table at that time.
Q. Okay. And, you know, what we had discussed before with them was the utilization of press 4 and press 5 that's reported here. You see

1 that in the production of feet we are using on it. 2 I mean, based on these numbers, during 2002 press 3 number 4 was a major part of their solvent printing production. Would you agree with that?
A. If this production represents solvent printing, yeah, I would agree with that.
Q. Well, presses 4 and 5 are the solvent printing, right?
A. Presses 4 and 5 both do solvent printing. I -- press 4, again, at some point was also used for rewind, and I don't know when that was.
Q. Okay. Just one second.

Mr. Hearing Officer, I have what
has been marked as Complainant's Exhibit 22, if I may approach?

Can you identify this document?
A. This appears to be an annual
emission report for the year -- reporting year of 2002 .
Q. Okay. And your name is at the bottom. Did you prepare this report?
A. I believe I did.
Q. Can you turn to the section -- I

1 will find it if $I$ can -- the section that reports 2 VOM emissions for press number 4?
A. That would be page 6 of the -actually, page 7 is where the emissions are, yeah.
$Q$.
All right. As far as pages 6 and 7, the emission rate, does this exhibit indicate that press number 4 was actually running and doing solvent during 2002?
A. It does.
Q. And just real quickly to tie it up, do you have Complainant's 21, the annual emission report for the previous one?

And if you look at Complainant's
Exhibit 21, which is in evidence -- do you have a copy of it? That's a 2004 annual emission report. MR. HARSCH: I think it's loose. BY THE WITNESS:
A. Oh, yeah, okay. I have it. I have it, yep.

BY MR. GRANT:
Q. And if you can again turn to press number 4 emissions.
A. Yes.
Q. And it doesn't list any emissions,

1 does it?
2 A. That is correct.

1 Mr. Trzupek, is that Complainant's Exhibit 23 or 2 21?

3

5 you.
6 BY MR. GRANT:
Q. And where did you get the information for this pounds per hour calculation?
A. That would have come from Packaging.
Q. Okay. Mr. Trzupek, I was going to ask you some questions about the CAAPP permit application, but Ms. Sangha advised or did a lot of that with Joe and Mr. Harsch has done some on the CAAPP permit application as it is.

I just want to ask you, did you hear Mr. Imburgia's testimony?
A. I did.
Q. And do you agree with it? Part?

All right. Well, let me see if I can do this without the document. You mentioned that a manufacturer's guarantee is a term of art -- this is my interpretation of it, a term of art that's used in what is meant to communicate as based on your best knowledge or something like

1 that; is that --
A. That's the way we usually do it in a permit application, yeah.
Q. And I don't know if you remember, but for some reason I do, that in the 2009 hearing that David Bloomberg testified that a manufacturer's guarantee is not acceptable as a compliance demonstration. Do you remember that?
A. I don't remember that, but I could well imagine David saying that.
Q. Yeah. It's in the record.

Is that the position of the agency, that they won't accept the manufacturer's guarantee?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. Do you know why -- well, if you don't know, then you wouldn't know why you put it in when the agency wouldn't accept it. Is that a fair statement?
A. I guess because I have had other permit applications that $I$ have put that language in and then they have been accepted, I wouldn't -I didn't hesitate to use it again.
Q. Okay. Do you recall which

1 application it was where the agency accepted a 2 manufacturer's guarantee?
A. Wow. I am sure I could produce one 4 or two or three or a dozen, but to give you a 5 specific one -- specific one right now, I -- no. 6 I --

7 Q. I am thinking big versus small. In
8 other words, a flexographic printer is a big VOM 9 emitter, and maybe a smaller one is acceptable.

10 Is -- does that suggest anything or --
A. Well, yeah, I mean and -- yeah, it's all relative. I think of Packaging as small and I
Q. The operation of a business, you know, a printing press using solvent inks as opposed to -- you know, I don't know. I am thinking of a minor emission point as a refinery or something like that?
A. I think it's some of that. I think it's some of how -- you know, how significant the source is and how -- how many times we have seen it before and how much we can rely on it. You know, for something like a paint filter, for example, it would be common for a coater to use a

I paint filter, and it would be common for, you
2 know, me to say that that paint filter is
3 guaranteed to be 99 percent effective, manufacturer's guarantee, in removing solids, and that's what we would list, and, you know, I don't think I've ever done a paint booth where that's not been okay. So stuff like that.
Q. Okay. We are going to have to go to Exhibit 9, Complainant's Exhibit 9, this CAAPP permit.
A. Okay. Got it.
Q. Okay. Now, there was a lot of information in this application that was provided to you by Packaging I'm sure. You wouldn't have to audit all the records personally to perform a CAAPP application, would you?
A. No.
Q. And I believe in your deposition one of the things you relied upon was the manufacturer's guarantee portion that the VOM control portion was provided to you by Packaging; is that correct?
A. Yeah. As far as that there was the existence of a recirculating oven that would

1 destroy VOCs.
2 Q. Okay. Can you turn to IEPA 0364
3 Bates stamp?

5 number?

6 BY MR. GRANT:
7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15
16

17
A. Yes. refers to?
A. Yes. no.

MR. HARSCH: I'm sorry. What's the
Q. $\quad 0364$ in Exhibit 9.
A. Okay. Got it.
Q. Okay. The internal thermal oxidizer identified in there, Mr. Imburgia said that was the recirculating dryer, correct?
Q. And you agree that's what that
Q. Aside from that, there was no internal thermal oxidizer in there?
A. You mean like an additional?
Q. Yeah.
A. No. There was not an additional,
Q. If you can turn to 03 -- 3067 -- or 0367. Okay. I want to ask about -- specifically about the 90 percent figure in there and where

1 that number came from, because that's pretty specific. Was that provided to you by Packaging, or was it -- how was it determined?
A. It depends on the date.
Q. This one is June 9 th of 2002.
A. And when did we perform the test?
Q. December 12th, 2011 (sic).
A. Okay. So typically when we are doing a -- when we are doing the application, unless there is some other reason, we claim the destruction rate that the rule provides. So 90 percent is what the rule provided. We had done the test that showed we were over 90. So that's why we would have listed 90.
Q. So that is in there from your 2001 test from the --
A. A combination of the test and the rule, and the rule requirements, yeah.
Q. Is Mr. Imburgia -- I am talking about Joe Imburgia. Is he qualified to do stack testing himself?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Okay. And are you aware of any tests that he might have performed on his own

1 outside of your informal stack test?
A. I am not.
Q. All right. Let's turn to Exhibit 8. It's the report on your informal stack test.
A. Okay.
Q. And some of this maybe I got from Mr. Harsch, but I didn't look yet. The VOM input is listed -- I am on page 2 -- at 40 pounds per hour?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did you come up with that 40 number?
A. We measured the amount of solvent used directly. We weighed it. We weighed the amount of ink used, and then we took the VOM percentage of the ink and added it to the weight of the solvent used.
Q. $\quad$ So if it was like 70 percent solvent, then it would be -- the amount of -- the VOM input number in there would have been eight times 7.7 equals 40 pounds; is that correct?
A. No. In flexographic printing, again, you use -- you have solvent that you use for viscosity adjustment, depending on the

1 conditions of the day, and you use about two 2 pounds of straight solvent for every pound of ink 3 roughly. So this 40 pounds, two-thirds of that 4 number would have been -- what's two-thirds of 40?
Q. You don't have to do the math if --
A. So say there is -- so 30 pounds of this is -- roughly is going to be straight solvent --
Q. Right.
A. -- weight, 30 pounds. There is 30 pounds of VOM input, and then you have maybe 20 pounds of ink that's 50 percent VOM. So that's another 10.
Q. Okay. So this is the VOM content?
A. Total.
Q. Not -- okay.
A. Correct.
Q. You agree that the test did not fully meet the requirements of 218.401 , don't you?
A. I do. I do.
Q. And to perform the test you needed to find an inlet and outlet point to measure?
A. That is correct.
Q. And that wasn't chosen by you, but rather Packaging showed you an appropriate place?
A. Correct. One of their -- as I recall, it was one of their maintenance people who pointed me to the ducts, the inlet and the outlet ducts.
Q. Okay. This is sort of going over the same ground. As far as the fact that the recirculating oven on press 5 operated, say, from March 15th, 1995, to the present, that came directly from Packaging, right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. You were talking about these recirculating oven flexographic printers. I guess, first of all, I will ask -- I mean, flexographic printers all have a drying system of some sort, don't they?
A. In general. There are exceptions, but, yeah, in general they do.
Q. And I think before the purpose was so that you are running at a speed where you are not worried about smearing the inks, right?
A. That is correct.
Q. Is that what it's for? And also, I think you were here when Mr. Imburgia testified to

1 the drying system on press number 6. I think he 2 said there was a recirculating drying system, not 3 necessarily exactly the same as 5. That was my 4 impression of it. Do you have knowledge of press $5 \quad$ 6's system?
A. I don't have personal knowledge. My understanding from talking to Mr. Imburgia is that it recirculates hot air, but it doesn't use it as combustion air, whereas in 5, it used the recirculated air as combustion air.
Q. Okay. One of the issues in this case is that Packaging is claiming it didn't need to install an RTO for press number 5. But, in fact, did install a press -- an RTO for press number 5, correct?
A. It installed it. I think actually with -- the impetus was the installation of press number 6.
Q. That was when it was installed, and it was kind of my understanding to that period, but if press number 5 had a system that could control and press number 6 had a recirculating oven, what was the purpose of the RTO?
A. To control press -- I mean,

1 primarily to control press number 6, because, 2 again, my understanding of 6 is that it 3 recirculates warm air, but it doesn't use it as 4 combustion air. If it's not using it as 5 combustion air, it's not going to get into the 6 flame front and it's not going to be destroyed. So 6 has to have an RTO.
Q. Okay. That's based on his testimony today you are saying? Because you said you had no personal knowledge of press 6.
A. I don't. And this is just from previous discussions with Joe and the guys at Packaging.
Q. Okay. Which then leads to the question of Packaging did, in fact, go and buy a $\$ 250,000$ RTO and has been running and feeding gas into it, all that kind of stuff. Why would it have bought an RTO for three presses when they only have two and they have one --

MR. HARSCH: I'd object to the
question. He had ample opportunity to ask that question to Packaging when they were on the stand.

## HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:

Overruled. He may answer if he is able.

1 BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. Why would Packaging have bought the RTO sized for three presses when you only had two, and the one press did not need to be controlled?
A. Well, I -- buying it for three presses, I would imagine, would be for in case they expanded, and like any business, they want to be ready for expansion, I suppose, but again, that's just my speculation.

I would not think that they would want to run one press controlled by the oxidizer and another press with a different control system. I would think that they would have done it for simplicity to duct them both to the oxidizer they were buying anyway. The operating press wouldn't be any different.
Q. Well, wouldn't the gas cost be higher for a larger RTO?
A. No. I mean, with the gas -- kind of gas stream you have in flexo, it's pretty much they run self-sustained anyway. I mean, once the oxidizer is up to temp, you are pretty much just running the gas on pilot and the solvent is providing all the heat you need to run it.
Q. The technical information provided for the presses and the permit applications has a BTU per hour rating?
A. It does.
Q. Okay.
A. That is the rating.
Q. Are you saying it's not using it?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. In your opinion report -- let me get it out. If you look under number 2 -- and this is Respondent's Exhibit 62, and State's Exhibit 20 or 19 -- strike that.

In the middle of the second
paragraph there is a sentence that I am familiar with both flexographic presses in general, and flexos that are equipped with recirculating ovens in particular, and have been at several printing facilities equipped with one or the other or both. Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. So which facilities have you been to that have these ovens?
A. I don't know what they are calling themselves these days, but there are several what
used to be treasure chest advertising, and I just don't remember what they are called these days, but they are a big nationwide printer, and they have several of them. QuadGraphics had some. I have seen some at RR Donnelley's facilities.
Q. The -- the printers -- and this was -- there was a lot of this in the 2009 hearing that you -- where you did the adjustment standard petition for Bema -- Bema Systems and Vonco or --
A. Very good. You win the cigar, yep.
Q. Really?
A. That's it.
Q. I'm amazed I remember that.

How about for those places?
A. Vonco, no. Bema, no. I don't know about Formel.
Q. Okay. Let's go to your report, page 3. It's actually page 3 of 4 at the bottom. At the bottom of -- this is subsection 2. It's on page 3. You mention Method 85 (sic), 2 s and 25 (a) as a determination of capture efficiency and you state, these tests have routinely been accepted by state and federal authorities, including the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. That's
correct?
A. Yeah. Except there is a typo there that I had not noticed before. It should be 18, 25 and 25 (a).
Q. Because that didn't look right to me either.

MR. HARSCH: Excuse me. I didn't catch the typo correction.

MR. GRANT: Do you see the second paragraph -- or the third paragraph on page 3 of 4? 2S becomes 25.

MR. HARSCH: Mr. Hearing Officer, would you please -- if we could mark the correction on it?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mine is
marked. BY MR. GRANT:
Q. All right. Now, which of these methods did you use in the informal stack test? A. $\quad 25(\mathrm{a})$.
Q. Okay. Is $25(a)$ the one that had the three hours?
A. Three, one-hour runs, correct.
Q. But you didn't do three. You did one. You said $25(a)$ was not done in its entirety, correct?
A. Yeah. It was not a -- it was not a formal test. It was not done.
Q. Okay. When you say these tests have been -- routinely been accepted by State and federal authorities and now let's get into -- kind of more into your informal stack test, when has -because without going into a lot of details, there were -- like Methods 1 through 4 you didn't do 4. You didn't do the three-hour test.

When has Illinois EPA accepted that sort of partial test?
A. In enforcement situations.
Q. And what I am looking for -- because this is what your opinion is -- is to demonstrate compliance with the rule, because that's what the issue before us is, right, could it have met 218.401 control efficiencies?

For the purpose of demonstrating compliance, not gaining an estimate over what may need to be done in the future or for sizing for control or anything like that, when has Illinois EPA ever accepted a partial compliance test to

1 demonstrate compliance with the rule?
A. I don't know if they have or have 3 not.

It wasn't a formal stack test. We have admitted that. As a scientist can $I$ say with certainty, with technical certainty, that that met more than 90 percent destruction and more than 65 percent capture? I can. I understand that it's not formal, and I understand that EPA would want a formal compliance test to demonstrate compliance, but did that unit meet the numbers? Yes, it did.
Q. All right. The reason that Illinois EPA would want a formal stack test is because that's the minimum reliable test, isn't it?
A. I think -- I don't believe that that -- I don't think I would agree with that statement. I don't think it's the minimum allowable.
Q. Do you think it's overkill?
A. I think it can be, yeah.
Q. Do you think it's overkill in this case? And I'm not talking about the whole case. I am talking about not accepting your informal stack test as a -- to demonstrate VOM capture and control.
A. I don't know that I would use the word "overkill," but I think that if you are interested to know what the actual capture and control numbers are, it's not necessary to do three, one-hours.
Q. Do you think the rule is not technically sound?
A. No. No. I would not agree with that. I think the rule is technically sound.
Q. Would you agree that using the

1 method that you used to demonstrate compliance 2 with the rule, in this case the informal stack 3 test, is a novel method? compliance is what you mean?
Q. Yeah. I understand that -- you know, there's a reason for you doing what you are doing, but as a method of demonstrating this compliance with 218.401, is this a novel method?
A. Well -- and again, it wasn't intended to be a compliance test. So we are using it as evidence after the fact to determine whether the press was compliant, I don't think that's novel. Using it as -- calling a non-compliance test a compliance test, yeah, that would be novel.
Q. So it's not generally accepted to use a partial test to demonstrate compliance with the rules?
A. Yeah. No. I would agree with that.
Q. Okay. And if it had been -- I think that we have gone through this, but if it had been a formal test, you would have notified Illinois EPA prior to come up with an agreed protocol and allowed them to witness the test; isn't that
Q. And they weren't notified, because it wasn't intended to be a compliance test?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. We have got a little bit of a disconnect here between your numbers and Mr. McClure's on the PTE, or not necessarily the costs of the PTE -- I mean, well, there is two that $I$ recall. For one thing, he put an estimate at $\$ 5,000$ in for a permanent total enclosure and then 6,000 something for the compliance test itself; whereas, your testimony is 15 to $\$ 30,000$ for a temporary total enclosure, and then we are also accepting that 6,000 number, correct? Could you explain that?
A. So really the difference between Mr. McClure's number for the PTE and my number for the TTE?
Q. Yes.
A. Yeah. The -- if you are doing a permanent total enclosure, the test is really a certification of what used to be called procedure $T$ and Kevin can tell you, it's not -- it's a 204

1 now, I believe, but it's a series of measurements
2 that you do one time, measuring the surface area 3 of the room, measuring the diameter of any outlets

4 into or out of the room, and it's a pretty quick 5 procedure that is not very expensive.

If you are doing a temporary total enclosure, you actually have to construct the enclosure. A lot of them are made out of two-by-fours and visqueen.

So you are building the structure around whatever you are wanting to measure the capture efficiency of, or you are configuring the room in order to do that. And then you are doing three -- I'm sorry.

First, you do a baseline run to establish normal conditions. Then, you would do what's called a balancing run to verify that the TTE isn't influencing the test, and then you do three, three-hour tests. So a TTE test is usually a two-day ordeal, and that 15 to 30 includes the construction costs of the TTE and then the fact that you are doing basically two days of continuous testing in order to finish it. Whereas, a PTE is just a one-time certification.
Q. So installing a permanent total enclosure actually is cheaper then?
A. Well, no. I didn't say that. That's the certification. I -- constructing the permanent total enclosure, actually building, you know, the walls or whatever is necessary, I don't know what those costs are. They -- they had some cost to do that when Packaging did it, but I have no clue what those costs are.
Q. So you don't really know, but you accept the fact that he has put the $\$ 5,000$ number in his estimate?
A. Yes. I have no personal knowledge.
Q. Okay. Were you there when they -were you present at Packaging when they ran the formal stack test on the control device in 2004?
A. I was.
Q. Were you involved in setting that up?
A. I helped them put together the request for proposal and pick a vender, yes.
Q. Did they have the permanent total enclosure in place at that time?
A. They did.
Q. And press -- a.t the time press 5 and press 6 were connected to the RTO, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. But the stack test was run on the RTO itself, correct?
A. At the inlet and the outlet of the RTO.
Q. Right. And wouldn't it have been an easy -- comparatively easy thing to stack test press number 5 at that point using a formal compliance test?
A. My understanding -- and again, I don't have direct knowledge of this, but my understanding at that point was that 5 had been reconfigured so that it was no longer -- the ducting was no longer recirculating.
Q. Couldn't you just go create an inlet or an outlet, whatever you had to do, before it reached the control device and do the testing?
A. Well, if -- but it wouldn't have shown any control if they had disconnected the recirculating ductwork.
Q. Okay. So this was a situation that was created by Packaging taking the drying oven

1 off, I assume?
2 A. Not the drying oven off. It's the
3 recirculation loop.
4 Q. Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11
A. Yeah.
Q. Did you -- well, let's take a look at it real quick. Can you look at 16? It's a picture of the press.
A. Complainant's 16. Okay. Got it.
Q. Now, this is in evidence. And this is -- they are trying to sell the printer, the press number 5, and this is the advertising information that they have on it.
A. Yes.
Q. And you see they have listed here the recirculating drying oven as one of the features of it?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. Is there any reason why -and this is one thing -- and I asked Mr. Imburgia about this, too, but is there any reason why since 2001 nobody has every just done a formal stack test on number 5? I mean, I understand what's your testimony about once it was removed, et

1 cetera, but what would prevent them from doing it 2 right now, or a week ego, even better? I'd look 3 like an idiot.

MR. HARSCH: You would object to putting the evidence in.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry?

MR. HARSCH: He would object to putting the evidence in. BY MR. GRANT:
Q. I don't think I could keep that out. All right. Do you understand what I'm saying?
A. Yeah, I do understand what you are saying, and I understand where you are coming from. In my world, the idea of volunteering to do a formal test stack without being requested by the State, I don't know anybody in my side of the aisle who would ever suggest that.
Q. I mean, you were here -- well, prior to today, did you get the confidential financial information which I'm not going to use -- but were you exposed to that?
A. No.
Q. I mean, as Mr. Imburgia admitted,

1 there really was -- there is no financial
2 limitation on performing the test really
3 throughout the relevant period?
A. No, but -- and I understand that. But it's not -- my job as a consultant is not to tell Mr. Imburgia how to -- you know, hey, here is another way you can spend some money. And why don't you have the cop ride with you on the way home, too, so he can make sure you're not speeding. I wouldn't do that.
Q. There was a lot of -- in Mr. Imburgia's testimony it was -- got to a point where he was basically saying, we took the best advice we could or words to that effect. Do you recall?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you advise them not to do a formal test on press number 5?
A. I didn't proactively say, don't do it, but I didn't suggest it, and I won't suggest it.
Q. Okay. That resulted in what we have right here right now, hasn't it?
A. Well, it's -- I think that would --

1 that's one perspective. Another perspective is
2 that we developed very credible evidence that the
3 press was, in fact, in compliance. So in
4 assessing the penalty, why don't we use that
5 credible evidence.
Q. The Board has already found violation for it. In other words, they have split the baby here and they said, press 5 was in violation because you didn't demonstrate compliance. That's a violation, but let's send these guys back to hearing on the issue of whether it could have complied, ignoring press 4's operation and the benefit. You know what was the bonus for that? That's kind of what we are -- I mean, do you agree that kind's of why -- we're here for that?
A. Yeah.
Q. It would have eliminated that whole issue.
A. And I understand that. And, you know, if I had back in 2002 a magic ball that would have made me do something that would have -that would have said, this is the one thing we can do that would fix this and none of the rest ten

1 years would happen, yeah, I would do that.

But I guarantee you, Mr. Grant, if I told somebody in my business that I had told a client to voluntarily do a formal stack test, they would think I was nuts.
Q. Okay. We are getting into the uncomfortable area of this examination, and I'd ask you to turn to Complainant's No. 18.
A. Okay.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
right. This is regarding the motion in limine?
MR. GRANT: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I really
haven't ruled on that, because $I$ left it go. Because based on our conversation, Mr. Harsch was going to whittle his down from 100 or so to four, I think he submitted regarding the congressional discussions of Mr. Trzupek?

MR. HARSCH: Well, I was hoping against all hope Mr . Grant would not proceed. So I have not marked my documents. I have, as I explained on our status call, the list of documents I intend to move into this and offer, the list of documents that were attached to my

1 motion in limine and the documents from the two
2 times that Mr. Trzupek has testified before

MR. GRANT: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
right. The motion in limine filed by both parties on May 6 and then with Mr. Harsch supplementing his 100 or so publications and what not to -- go ahead.

MR. HARSCH: I would like to make just a real brief statement for the record.

3 motion, we can have no objection to the state's 4 use of a book that the witness has written, but we 5 think the witness ought to be judged, his views by 6 the sum of his position, and that's why we have

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sure.
MR. HARSCH: As I stated in the provided that, in reference to the list. As I mentioned during our status conference, I frankly view and still do, probably more so even, this motion as a -- as a slap on myself.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could you speak up, please?

MR. HARSCH: As a slap on me personally for possibly presenting a witness to the Pollution Control Board at a hearing who is not truthful.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I don't think -- I don't know if Mr. Grant is going that way. Do you want to --

MR. HARSCH: And I just have to take some -- I started my career at the Pollution Control Board in 1973, and it's a long time since then, and this is the first time that's ever -- I believe happened. So to that extent, that's -- I

1 would like the Board maybe to hear that.
2 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
3 And they will or at least read it.

MR. HARSCH: I have them here.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. Proceed, Mr. Grant. BY MR. GRANT:
Q. Mr. Trzupek, in 2011 you published a book called, "Regulators Gone Wild: How the EPA is Ruining American Industry;" isn't that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And it was largely critical of -- it wasn't specific to Illinois, but it was critical of regulators and regulations; isn't that correct?
A. It was -- I think that's too broad a description. It was critical of specific actions by Environmental Protection Agencies.
Q. Okay. That's fair enough. I have -- if you can turn to page 15 -- or 18 if you are not there of Complainant's Exhibit No. 18.
A. I'm here.
Q. Okay. And these are exhibits -- or excerpts that I took from this book, which, for the record, I bought on Amazon.com.
A. Thank you.
Q. You're welcome. And I have done -- made a few changes by redacting a -- there was a number of references to specific settlement numbers in this case, and I have redacted them, because I -settlement is generally not used as evidence at the case, but I would also like to point out that the amount that the State asked for in civil penalty in its opening brief, which was 800 and some thousand dollars is at the same -- the same general area. So if one number is unreasonable, here's a number that we have in the record that's unreasonable, okay?
A. Okay.
Q. And in this -- this book you wrote one chapter on this Packaging Personified case,

1 correct?

2 A. I did. I did.
3

4 first page of my exhibit, and this just -- and
5 this is for the purpose of introducing the
6 Packaging chapter.

So at the bottom of the -- of the last paragraph -- at the top of the last paragraph it says, In the chapters that follow, we will examine how environmental regulation and regulators in America have extended their reach to virtually every facet of our economy over the last 40 years. These invasions have little to do with protecting the environment and much to do with preserving the atmosphere of fear that ensures that everyone with a green job remains employed. Did you write that?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. And is that your opinion? Not in this case specifically, but is that your view of environmental regulations and regulators?
A. The reason I wrote the entire book was because I couldn't say my view in just two sentences. So I think you have to read the whole

1 book. My -- my view in general is that there is a
2 lot of overreach. I think we -- we don't have to
3 look any farther than the recent headlines to show
4 that sometimes regulators do get out over their 5 skis a little lit.
Q. Did you read Peggy Noonan's article in the Wall Street Journal?
A. I did not.
Q. You should. It's online.

And Mr. Trzupek, I know
Mr. Harsch is offended by this. The purpose of this is because there were so specific references to Packaging Personified that we do believe that it shows evidence of the bias against the regulations specifically in this case. I'm not saying you don't have a right to an opinion. I could tell you right not that -- not including this chapter, there is a couple of lawyers in my office that said, I agree with half of it, so --
A. Actually, some of the best compliments I got were from Agency employees.
Q. I wouldn't be surprised.

Well, let's go to the next page, which is the first page of the chapter that deals

1 with this Packaging Personified case, and the 2 title is, "Jobs versus Retribution: The Price of 3 Punishment." Do you know why you chose that term 4 for the chapter? not just me, but again, people in my side of the aisle, for a case like this seemed excessive. It seemed that -- you know, beyond the pale of what I have seen in many other cases.
Q. Okay. But most of this issue here has been on the economic benefit of non-compliance when it comes to penalty, would you agree?
A. That's my understanding, yes.
Q. And that's kind of what we are here for right now for this hearing.
A. Right.
Q. The Board really just wants to know, what was the economic benefit of the non-compliance. I understand that the statute requires the Board to assess a penalty that

1 removes -- or that at least recovers the economic
2 benefit of non-compliance unless it would be 3 unreasonable to do so. I think that's how it's 4 put. You understand that that's in the statute?
Q. I don't want to ask about specifics, because I agree with you. Most of enforcement cases are settled.
A. They are.
Q. We don't do trials every day.
A. Right.
Q. I don't want to get into specific settlement discussions, but let me just ask you, to what -- what's your level of knowledge of settlement offers from both sides and the amount of settlement discussions? Do you have any personal knowledge of --
A. I do not.
Q. Okay. I would like you to go to the second page, 42. Down at the bottom you are talking specifically about Packaging Personified and its owners here, and there is this statement, "Given their type of operation and levels of emissions, Imburgia and Muccianti's plant according to Illinois rules should have an incinerator called a thermal oxidizer to destroy emissions from the process." Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. That's different from the position that Packaging is taking in this case, or at least for the period of 1995 through 2002?
A. I think Packaging is saying that they should have been destroying the emissions on
press number 4 for that period is my understanding.
Q. Okay.
A. They are admitting that.
Q. But not for press number 5?
A. That press number 5 was controlled, correct.
Q. And, in fact, you say, the company dutifully complied -- going on to page 43 now -spending over a third of a million dollars to collect emission from its presses. And I won't get into the legal fees. Is that the Ship \& Shore oxidizer device that they use?
A. The Ship \& Shore, the consulting cost, the permanent total enclosure, all of it is estimated to about a third.
Q. Yeah. I mean, those numbers are familiar and you have --
A. Right.
Q. -- dealt with them? Okay.

Let's get to page 44, and down at the third paragraph, Whatever discretion that Illinois EPA might have otherwise exercised was eliminated by the Illinois administration in its

1 seemingly insatiable need for cash. Governor Rod 2 Blagojevich was running up billions in debts, et 3 cetera.

4 A. Yes.
5 Q. Okay. Was that -- that was your
6 belief -- and we are talking specifically about 7 Packaging Personified's case here. As far as the 8 settlement position or the weight issue position 9 of the state, do you really believe that it was 10 tied to financial problems caused by Rod 11 Blagojevich?
A. Well, at the time -- you know, it 13 was well known at the time and you often heard it 14 from agency employees how the governor was 15 sweeping the environmental funds. That was a 16 common complaint in Springfield, both on the 17 penalty side and then the fees side, sweeping it 18 and using it for other money and how tight the 19 budgets were.

24 it flipped to -- to the detriment of the State to

1 one that now has the responsibility -- now has the reputation and on my side as one of the more difficult ones; whereas, Indiana went completely the other way, from one of the more difficult ones to the easier ones.

That happened when all this budget stuff was going on. The policy that the agency adopted that if you are a day late on your CAAPP application, your CAAPP reapplication, it's $\$ 10,000$. No -- you know, no negotiation, happened at this time, which is different, again, than most other states, and compounded by the fact that the State has a -- it's own unique little CAAPP reapplication deadline that other states don't have.

So when you see those little kind of gotchas coming up that seem intended to fund, and you see -- you know, you hear all these complaints about how the Agency is hurting for money -- again, I put those dots together and said, maybe that's what's going on. Maybe this is just about the budget.
Q. Okay. So essentially this -- the way I read this chapter is Illinois EPA was
intransigent on the penalty, and the reason was the State's need for cash; is that correct?
A. Well, yeah, that's a simplification of it, but I suggested that that might be the reason, yeah.
Q. Okay. How about -- well, let me stop there. The Pollution Control Board entered a -- issued a civil penalty of $\$ 456,000$ in this case.

Do you believe that penalty is
within the scale of reasonable given their requirement to remove economic benefit of non-compliance?
A. What was the number that they proposed?
Q. 456,000 . What they did is they entered a final order assessing a 456,000 and change civil penalty and then reconsidered it and sent it back. So what we are doing today is to --
A. Okay.
Q. That was the number that was originally -- was originally calculated by the Board.
A. I personally think, Mr. Grant, that

1 that is excessive. I think the process itself,
2 and especially in this case, is a huge penalty,
A. Thank you.
Q. I think you said you are really -you are not familiar with all of the details of back and forth negotiations?
A. No, no.
Q. Okay. Let's go to page 47, which is the second to last page of this exhibit.
A. Okay. Got it.
Q. Going on, I am going to the -halfway down the page there is a sentence that says, "This kind of regulatory intransigence and -- and again we are talking about the Packaging Personified case -- bureaucratic

1 bungling is the pattern time and time again in 2 state after state across the nation." In that 3 chapter you're characterizing this case?
A. Yes.
Q. And Illinois EPA has approached dealing with Packaging Personified in the case?
A. Yes.
Q. And then finally the last page is an acknowledgement section, and in the acknowledgement section you acknowledge -- you thank Dominic Imburgia and Phyllis Muccianti personally for their help and that sort of thing?
A. Well, I think, you know, for the record, let's read that whole paragraph.
Q. Well, I actually would have to go -I didn't want to make this thing too big, but I got the book, if you want to see it.
A. I have got it right here.
Q. You have that with you?
A. I carry my own around, but I think it's important to have the right context here. Q. Yes, I agree.
A. So the paragraph is, "Finally, my profound thanks to the hardworking men and women
whom it has been my privilege to serve during my primary career as a consultant to the industry. These are not faceless corporate executive who make distant decisions in the boardroom. They are instead, talented, tireless men and women working on the factory floor who use ingenuity and hard work to provide a living for their fellow employees and prosperity for their country. My job is to keep the EPA off of their backs so they continue to do that important work, and it has been an honor to help them. There are too many to call by name, but among those that I am proud to call both client and friend are" -- and then I list a number of clients and Dominic and Phyllis are among them.
Q. Sure. I understand that you have a right to write the book. You have a right to your opinion. My concern is with respect to what you have put in here, that it could bias your opinion that you are giving here today.
A. And Mr. Grant, as a scientist -- and I understand a lawyer is an advocate, and you are advocating your side, and I understand why you are doing what you are doing, and I respect that. As a scientist, and in particular as a scientist trained by the Jesuits, science is sacred, and to suggest that anything about the technical details of my testimony would be affected by my bias or my personal opinions is offensive to me, and absolutely incorrect. I would never compromise science. I love science. I love the scientific method, and anything technically I do, I stand behind 100 percent.
Q. Okay. And just for the record, a lawyer trained by the Jesuits, but only in law school.
A. Loyola?
Q. Yeah.
A. Me, too.
Q. Yeah. I think we did that four years ago.
A. I think we did.

MR. GRANT: That's it. That's all I've got.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Mr. Grant, I just for the record --
MR. GRANT: I should move this in as an exhibit.
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HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: For the record -- I'm sorry, Mr. Grant. For the record, I just want to clarify that the state has redacted portions of this Exhibit 18?

MR. GRANT: That's correct.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
right. Okay. Go ahead.
MR. GRANT: I would like to move it into evidence.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Mr. Harsch?

MR. HARSCH: I have no objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Complainant's Exhibit 18 is admitted.
(Whereupon, COMPLAINANT'S
Exhibit No. 18 was admitted
into evidence.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Before we move on, Complainant's Exhibit 15 in here that Mr . Trzupek was using, Mr. Harsch was directing him on, it was Mr. Mattison's letter or something, but is this from the 2009 case?

MR. GRANT: No. This is -- what this is, is word-for-word our 213(f) disclosures to Packaging made on November 15 th of last year. So rather than prepare an expert report, I just -we just copied that into here; so hopefully word-for-word, typos included. This is our 213(f) expert witness disclosure, all the conclusions and opinions.

I think Mr. Harsch doesn't want to call it an expert report, but we do want to admit it. He already used it, and it's going to be used for Mr. Mattison's testimony.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. I
guess for future reference you should put something on here to identify it, instead of people going through the record and saying --

MR. GRANT: Well, I didn't know that he was going to use it beforehand. I should have figured that we would have used it.

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Well, I mean, to put something -- write something on there or type it on. There is no date and --

MR. GRANT: It's been a busy week.
HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Well, you've had a lot of time, but $I$ understand. All right. Mr. Harsch, your witness.

2 BY MR. HARSCH: did you? couple of sentences.
Q. Yes.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

Q. While you were reading from that book, you didn't read all the acknowledgements,
A. I did not.
Q. Would you continue to read those acknowledgements, because I am proud of the next
A. From where I left off?
A. There are too many to name, but among those that $I$ am proud to call both client and friend are Ed Kalebich, $K-A-I-E-B-I-C-H$, and Beau Moran, $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{N}$, at Robbins Community Power; John LaRoi, L-A-R-O-I, at Vonco Products; Dominic Imburgia, Phyllis Muccianti, Joe Imburgia and Tim Piper at Packaging Personified; Bob Schultz at Vision Integrated Graphics; Tom Nicolleto, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{O}$, Ben Nguyen, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}$ and Roger Darlan, $D-A-R-L-A-N$, at $D S$ Containers; Chuck Tyburk and Bill Tyburk at EnGlobal, E-N-G-L-O-B-A-L, and Scott Shaver and Mark Betz at Catalytic Products International.

These are the kind of people whose efforts have made America a proud and prosperous nation, and it is my sincere prayer that they and their descendants will continue on this path.
Q. Don't you make some other acknowledgements?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry, Mr. Harsch. Could you speak into the mike? I'm having trouble. BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. I'm sorry. Don't you make some other acknowledgements in the book?
A. I also acknowledge some colleagues including Jim and Linda Huff, Roy Harsch, Renee Cipriano, Kathleen Bassi, Steven Murawski, Nancy Rich and Bill Anaya.
Q. Thank you.
A. You're welcome.
Q. I'm honored to be on there. There were questions by Mr. Grant about not having personal knowledge about going back to Packaging's activities prior to 2001 when you were first out there. Do you normally rely on your -- on past activities of filling out applications, et cetera?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. I think maybe we need to explain it again. Press 5 has essentially -- as it was originally installed, if I understand it correctly, if I don't, please correct me, recirculated hot air into the dryer?
A. Yeah, but the important point is through -- as combustion.
Q. And then it -- and then it also recirculated part of the exhaust through and into the combustion chamber where the solvent would be combusted?
A. That's correct.
Q. And is it your understanding that that second function is what was removed when it was hooked up to the thermal oxidizer?
A. That is my understanding, yes.
Q. And that's because such an oxidizer is designed to have solvent-laden airs sent to it?
A. Yeah, the RTO, or regenerative thermal oxidizer, is extremely thermally
efficient, typically around 95 percent thermal efficiency. So you -- once it's -- once it's lit, once it's lit off and heated up, it wants solvent-laden air to keep the temperature up, and it doesn't really need any gas. It just needs the air stream.
Q. So it would still -- it still can be a recirculating oven, have a recirculating oven as it presently stands out there and not be configured so that it would recirculate the solvent back through the combustion cycle?
A. Correct, yeah.
Q. I think you testified in response to a question that it was one of the Packaging maintenance people that showed you the ductwork; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And after he showed you the ductwork, did you then perform any evaluations of those sampling points vis-a-vis the duct turns, et cetera, as required by the rules?
A. Yeah. We made sure they met the requirements of method 1 , which generally requires that you have a straight run of at least two and a
half duct diameters to assure laminar flow, that you can measure properly.

So we made sure that it -- that we chose sampling points that were in accordance with method $I$ in both cases, and then when $I$ did the actual flow measurement, I did a cyclonic flow check to make sure that, indeed, the flow was laminar, and that there wasn't any obstructions that would have caused turbulence.
Q. So it wasn't Packaging Personified's maintenance person going out and telling you to test right here, test over here?
A. No, not the particular spots. No, no.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 64, and I have got --

MR. HARSCH: I would just like to mark -- we haven't identified them. So let's change the numbers. Is that easier? However you would prefer I do it.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Whatever
is easier.
MR. HARSCH: What's the last one you have got?
(Whereupon, RESPONDENT'S Exhibit
No. 66 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. Showing you a document that we have marked as Respondent's Exhibit 66, it is my notice of filing and a response to complainant's motion in limine and cross motion. It had attached to it publications by Richard Trzupek on environmental and energy matters. You have seen this list of documents before, right?
A. I have.
Q. And is this basically a pretty good compilation of your recent publications?
A. Yeah. I obviously enjoy writing, and this is a pretty good list.
Q. Does the -- you have written now three books, correct?
A. I have written two, and I am -- I contributed to a third.
Q. And how active are you at the Heartland Institute?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Excuse me, Mr. Harsch. I guess I misunderstood. If we

1 are going to question Mr. Trzupek --

3 last question.

5 can't -- we need publications, and we need copies 6 of these things in your questioning. I stated 7 earlier, basically the only thing you are 8 submitting is the Congressional testimony, but if 9 you are referring to this, which I suggested if 10 you were in the conference call and you put in a 11 CV , that would be fine, but I can't -- no. I 12 can't accept this and continue this questioning. 13 I have no copies, nothing, and --

MR. HARSCH: I am simply asking him about the number of his publications.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, you are going into the Heartland Center and --

MR. HARSCH: I'm not going to go
into the particulars of it. I just wanted to know what position he did with Heartland. That's all. I have about one more question.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Do you remember me, though, asking if you were going to talk about this at the hearing you need copies of

MR. HARSCH: Yes, and I am providing it -- I have provided copies of the exhibit. That's what I understood.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Of what exhibit?

MR. HARSCH: Providing this as a copy as an exhibit, which is what I --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You need to do what Mr. Grant did. He supplied part of the book that he was going to question Mr. Trzupek on. You sulomitted this list the other day, and as I said --

MR. HARSCH: And I believe I was --
I understood that $I$ was directed to provide it as an exhibit along with examples of the -- several of the documents, and that's what $I$ have done.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I
suggested -- in fact, I directed that we need copies of everything we talked about here.

MR. HARSCH: I am not presenting them all as --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I said it would be great -- it would be acceptable if you

1 put all of the publications on his CV, and that's 2 fine.

MR. HARSCH: I'm sorry. I did not understand you to that extent.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: But, I mean, if you are going to talk about it -- my ears perked up when you said -- you mentioned Heartland and how long have you been with them, and $I$ can't imagine the next question coming out, but you can wrap it up, and you said you have one more question and then you were going to get into the Congressional hearing?

MR. HARSCH: If you would prefer, Mr. Hearing Officer, I would be happy to ask the witness if he would include this as -- along with his CV.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
That would be great, and that's what we discussed.
MR. HARSCH: I'm sorry. I did not understand that to be the case.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
you.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. Before you ask, can I correct my

1 last answer on how many books have I ever written?
2 BY MR. HARSCH:

3
Q. Sure.
A. Three and contributed to four is the correct answer. I'm sorry.
Q. Mr. Trzupek, since I prepared this list, it was prepared under my direction, would you have any problem if we added it to your CV?
A. No. I think that would be fine.

MR. HARSCH: So with Mr. Grant's
leave, we will just appendage to his CV.
MR. GRANT: That's fine with me. I don't know what --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: This is acceptable?

MR. GRANT: As a list of -- I'm not -- you know, I mean, as a list of what he wrote attached -- what he has written attached to his publication part of his CV, that's fine.

MR. HARSCH: I will provide a revised CV with this attached to it.

MR. GRANT: Are we talking about this testimony and slides and stuff like that, too?

1

2 are talking about that. He is -- is this the 3 Congressional hearing?

6 document, as Exhibit 66, and ask Mr. Trzupek what 7 they are.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, we

MR. HARSCH: And then what I would like to mark then as the remainder of the

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: If we could hold on a minute, to make this clean, because now this is kind of messed up, for lack of a better word.

Mr. Grant and Ms. Sangha, would you just accept this as here so Mr. Harsch would not have to attach it to his CV, this whole motion in limine?

MR. GRANT: I don't have a problem with it, because it doesn't impact his credibility. This is to restore his credibility. Our stuff was specific to this case, but to generally -- I mean, obviously, you know, we think maybe there is a bias against the Illinois EPA and a regulatory thing. To the extent that this, you know, rehabilitates his opinion -- and I don't think it's significant enough for us to argue

1 about it. It's Congressional testimony. There 2 are all sorts of environmental -- (Whereupon, RESPONDENT'S Exhibit No. 66 was admitted into evidence.)

BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. I have one question with respect to the list.
And explain what the Heartland Institute is and what your position is there.
A. The Heartland Institute is a conservative think tank that does research and publications on a number of issues, including environmental issues. They asked me if I would be

1 a policy advisor in environmental and $I$ said, 2 sure. It's strictly voluntary. I'm not -- I 3 don't get paid for it, and I help them out in 4 framing environmental issues that they wish to 5 comment on.
Q. And have you been invited to testify before a Congressional hearing?
A. I have.
Q. And what are -- the remainder of Exhibit 66, you provided these to me. What -- can you explain what these are?
A. Sure. I have been asked to testify before the Environment Subcommittee of the Space Science -- I'm sorry. Science, Space and Technology Committee of the House on two occasions; once on June 6th, 2012, and once on February 14 th of this year.

What you have is a copy of my written testimony, a copy of my oral testimony, and I believe the truth and testimony form that I was required to submit as well.
Q. And in general, very shortly, can you explain the positions that you were testifying on?
A. Well, we are having what $I$ think is a healthy discussion in the country on the state of the environment and where we go with environmental policy from here. I, of course, have a perspective from being on the business side, and one of -- the first thing they asked me to testify was about the cost and benefits of environmental regulation, because USEPA frequently justifies more and more regulation. Even though the world has gotten cleaner and the EPA has always done a great job, we see, you know, regulations being turned out in record numbers for a cleaner and cleaner world.

And the EPA routinely justifies -- USEPA I am talking about -- this increased regulation based on these incredible savings, that if you add them all up would pay off the national debt. So one of the things they asked me to testify was where do those numbers come from, and I talked about where those numbers come from and how to -- just a poor city boy like me, they seem a little convoluted at times. They also wanted to talk the second time about what the environment is like,

1 how much progress we have made, and how much 2 progress is left to make. And again, that's part 3 of that discussion about it's not 1970 anymore.

4 We have done a lot, and maybe it's time to go into
5 more of a maintenance mode and recognize some of
6 the priorities may have to change a little bit, 7 not to make the environment worse, not to get rid

8 of the EPA, but to recognize the incredible
9 progress that we have made.
Q. I think this whole question of your credibility is probably sufficiently addressed hopefully. Has your testimony ever been questioned anywhere else?
A. No, sir.
Q. That you are biased?
A. No, sir.
Q. Have you been accused of being biased?
A. I have never been accused of being biased before, no. And if I might just add, you know, like any consultant, anybody who is in my business serving the business communityr it's our job to ensure that that our clients are in compliance, but they are in compliance in a way

1 that makes sense for their business. And if I 2 could permit -- be permitted to just give one 3 example of what that means.

4

5 to comply with Illinois' eight-pound per hour rule
6 was advised by -- by Illinois EPA, and in good
7 faith. This is not a crack at Illinois EPA --
8 that you needed to put in afterburners,
9 incinerators, and they spent almost a million
10 dollars on a couple of afterburners. They were in
11 the attainment area, and they did that to make
12 Illinois EPA happy.

14 consultant -- well, the other part of the

17 definition of photochemically reactive, so -- and
18 they didn't. They had zero emissions of
19 photochemically reactive material. So they
20 essentially spent almost a million dollars on
21 incinerators that they didn't need. And it's
22 not -- I understand it's not the agency's fault to
23 give advice. Neither is it the agency's mission 24 to give good business advice. It's my mission.

I didn't help them get around a rule. I helped them comply with what the rule says in a way that makes sense for their business, and that's what $I$ do.
Q. And I think it came up in your cross-examination. When you first went to Packaging, what were you retained to do?
A. To get them into compliance.
Q. And did you make suggestions of what they should do?
A. I did.
Q. And how did they respond to your suggestions?
A. From get-go, Dominic and Phyllis and Joe were all -- we just want to put this behind us. We want to get in compliance. We want to be the good corporate citizen. Just tell us what we need to do.
Q. And you had previously testified about preparing the annual emissions reports that hadn't been submitted and permit applications and everything else; is that correct?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And in this proceeding you have

1 never told Packaging Personified not to comply 2 with the regulator?
A. No. I would never do that.

MR. HARSCH: I have no further questions.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. Harsch. Mr. Grant?

RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. GRANT:
Q. I just have a question on the selection of the inlet point. I was a little confused by what just happened, but I mean, on direct you testified that the inlet point was chosen by a maintenance person at Packaging, correct?
A. I wouldn't say -- and I think the use of the word "point" is what's confusing here. It's -- I -- the maintenance person directed me to the ductwork that would -- you know, where the inlet was. So my question to them was, show me where the gas is coming from, being pulled from the dryer, before the recirculation loop. Show me where that ductwork is. So they pointed me to that ductwork, and then I found the point that met

1 method 1 requirements within that length of ductwork.
Q. Using where they showed you?
A. Correct.

MR. GRANT: That's it.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
you. Do you have anything further?
MR. HARSCH: No.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
10 you, Mr. Trzupek.

23 point that was identified for me. I'm not an
"Do you remember where your
inlet point was?"

Answer: "The inlet point was a expert in press design. So I told -- it's
misspelt Packing -- Packaging $I$ want a point at the inlet before the oven, and I want a point at the outlet for that portion of the stack gas that gets exhausted, and they pointed me to those two points." Is that your testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that consistent with what -- the way you just answered?
A. Yes, it is. MR. GRANT: Now I am done.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Mr. Harsch?

MR. HARSCH: No further.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may step down.

Let's go off the record. (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Back on the record. It's approximately 3:55. Mr. Harsch? MR. HARSCH: At this point in time I call Christopher McClure, please.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Please raise your right hand, Mr. McClure, and the court

1 reporter will swear you in.
2 (Whereupon, the witness was duly

3

4

5 having been first duly sworn, was examined and 6 testified as follows:

13 have got here?
14 BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. Are you familiar with these documents?
A. Yes.
Q. Before I get there, I guess, would you please state your full name for the record?
A. Christopher McClure.
Q. And you previously testified in this proceeding?
A. I did.
Q. And who are you currently employed
by?

```
A. Crowe Horwath.
Q. And who were you retained with when you testified the last time?
A. Previously I was employed with Navigant Consulting in 2009.
Q. And outside of that change in who you were employed with, your prior background, curriculum vitae, et cetera, is accurate?
A. Yes.
Q. You previously testified to what you thought was the appropriate economic benefit in this case?
A. That's correct.
Q. And can you tell me -- I draw your attention to Exhibit 65.

Can you explain what this document is? It's the letter to John Simon, my partner, dated November 19th, 2011.
A. Yes. This is a letter from myself to John Simon, Drinker Biddle, dated October 19th, 2011, and it contains a calculation of an economic benefit of \(\$ 12,077\), which was calculated under the assumptions that are listed here in the letter.

1 So it's a very straightforward calculation along
2 the lines of what \(I\) had prepared initially in this
3 case, and then attached behind that calculation is
4 an invoice to Packaging Personified from ARI 5 Environmental.
Q. Which you were then -- you were here earlier when Mr. Imburgia testified that that's a true and accurate copy of the bill they got for the stack test?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you were provided that by Packaging?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And in this calculation for an economic benefit, what control costs did you utilize?
A. Well, walking through the assumptions that were included here, Item 1 , that there was no cost to Packaging as a result of shutting down press 4 , shifting to press 5 in December of 2002; Item 2, a cost of \(\$ 30,000\) and \(I\) was provided with a range of 15 to \(\$ 30,000\) for constructing a total temporary enclosure around press 5.

So I included a \(\$ 30,000\) estimate for that cost; and then under Item 3, the dates of non-compliance; which would be March 15th, 1995 through: February of 2004, a stack test performed * by ARI of \(\$ 6,180\), which was performed at that time, and overall that the economic benefit would be prepared in accordance with the USEPA guidelines; which were the same as what we have utilized previously in this case.

So those are the variables that follow onto page 3 of this letter in the spreadsheet that you can see, and so the timeframe, the costs are included, inflated and deflated according to the specific timeframes in which they occurred and the end result is an s economic benefit of \(\$ 12,077\).

And you have read the Pollution Control Board's opinion that -- the order that is granted the reconsideration?

\section*{Yes.}

And this is the economic benefit report that we submitted along with the motion tio reconsilder that was discussed by the Board?
A. Correct.
Q. This is a true and accurate copy, correct?
A. Yes, correct.
Q.

I'll draw your attention to what \(I\) have marked as Exhibit 64, which was a letter from John Simon dated August 9th, 2012. Would you tell me what this document is?
A. Yes. This is a letter from myself to John Simon. It's very similar to the one that we just covered. The primary distinction here is Item 2, which is a different assumption. The cost of constructing this time a permanent total
enclosure would have been \(\$ 5,000\), and the same stack test in the same amount was included, and similarly, page 3 includes the calculation of the economic benefit. You see the timeframe, and the costs that are included, and the result is \(\$ 3,662\), which is the economic benefit enjoyed by Packaging under those assumptions.
Q. And do you have an opinion as to whether those are the appropriate economic benefits to Packaging, assuming that it could have demonstrated compliance by conducting a formal

1 stack test?
A. Yes. This calculation reflects exactly that, the assumptions as they are listed, and the methodology, it follows the guidelines as constructed by the USEPA and the BEN manual, and as I previously testified to in this matter and also follows the notion of the least or lowest cost of compliance that's endorsed in Illinois as well. So as making these assumptions, then, yes, this is the calculation that results from the inputs of those variables.

MR. HARSCH: At this point in time I will introduce 64 and 65. MR. GRANT: No objection. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Respondent's Exhibit No. 64 and 65 are admitted into evidence without objection. (Whereupon, RESPONDENT'S Exhibit Nos. 64-65 were admitted into evidence.)

BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. And Question 4, interest due for nonpayment of the economic benefit component of the penalty, was that included in your
calculations?
A. Not at this time.
Q. Can you explain what -- how that would be done?
A. An interest calculation?
Q. Yes.
A. It's simply you would pick a timeframe at which a certain dollar amount is due and you would multiply it by the applicable rate for the time period at issue. So that's a straightforward calculation.
Q. So it would be economic benefit you calculated times the time period?
A. Correct.
Q. Have you -- there were a number of comments, statements of fact, made by the Board, I guess, in their findings in the Board's opinion where they discussed the economic benefit components. That's the March 1st, 2012 opinion that granted the motion to reconsider. Are you in agreement with all of those?
A. Well, I think we have to go through them, but in general, my understanding of it is that the Board was focused on a couple of items;

1 one, that the lowest cost alternative, which is really a key attribute of correctly calculating economic benefit has not yet been considered or that there is another alternative that we are still here today to review, which I agree with, and they need to -- of course, we need to understand what the lowest cost alternative would be, and that's what we have calculated here in the exhibits that we previously discussed.

And then the motion for
reconsideration discusses what occurred in the Board's opinion from the last hearing, and I reviewed that opinion as well. Generally speaking, it appears to me that the Board took the information from my calculations and from the calculations offered by Mr. Styzens. Generally it appears that the Board agrees and everyone agrees with the methodology that was employed to calculate economic benefit. Where we differ substantially are the variables that go into the model.

So everyone agrees as to the general framework, but the variables that are input are in dispute and, of course, as a result,

1 the output of the models vary significantly.

So it appears that the Board took an intermediate position attempting to split the difference between myself and Mr. Styzens by averaging some costs and selecting some time periods and coming up with a number that essentially splits the difference. I guess that is a way that -- they are free to do that. It doesn't reflect a buildup of costs or a buildup of a model to understand what the actual results would be, and it also -- I guess at this point we understand that there are other lower cost alternatives that need to be considered. So those are the general comments that I had on the position of the Board and their approach for reconsideration.
Q. Are there other lower cost alternatives other than conducting a stack test?
A. As we discussed last time, we -- the variables that we included and that I included in my model in the last hearing, there was an alternative to use or to buy an RTO that was appropriately sized for the press in question.

So one of the key discussions

1 and key points of contentions was the appropriate size of the RTO, and the Board recognized and pointed out the excerpt from the BEN manual literature that indicates that when you have a company that has a situation where they are preparing for an increase in capacity and they purchase a control device or a compliance solution that is larger to accommodate future growth, in a sense they shouldn't be penalized for that. So you have to assess the appropriate amount that was required to get into compliance. So using the numbers that we had last time, Mr. Styzens had a \(\$ 250,000\) number for an RTO that was sized for three presses. My position was that the RTO should be sized appropriately for the one press at issue, and the estimate that I was provided was \(\$ 75,000\) for an RTO that would be an appropriate size. So installing an appropriately sized RTO would have been another potential compliance approach.
Q. And that economic benefit was calculated and provided in your prior testimony? A. That is correct.
Q. And that would be available even if

1 the Board were to find that -- somehow that we 2 didn't establish that press 5 could be found to be in compliance if we had performed a formal stack test?
A. That's correct. They have that information and that is a lower cost alternative calculation.
Q. Is the concept of shutting down an emission source and transferring the business such as Packaging did consistent with the BEN model as far as you are concerned?

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Could you speak up, Mr. Harsch? I don't know if the mike is not on or it's getting late in the game.

MR. HARSCH: I'm sorry.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: It's
really hard to hear.
BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. Strike that question. Mr. McClure, Packaging has proposed -- did shutdown press 4 and transfer the business to press 5, and you were here earlier in the day when Mr. Imburgia testified how that was accomplished and his opinion they had the capacity

1 throughout all the years to have operated all of 2 the business on press 5 without press 4. Is that 3 concept consistent with the BEN model in your 4 opinion? are subject to at the time and to evaluate what's the lowest cost alternative for achieving compliance.

> Clearly if you shut down a

13 source of emissions then you wouldn't be required 14 at that point, to my understanding, to purchase a 15 control device for one that's not operating, and 16 you can certainly transfer capacity, if you have a 17 situation where that's feasible within the

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: BY MR. GRANT:
Q. Hi, Mr. McClure. Chris Grant. First, your opinion only has to do with the economic benefit of non-compliance and not with a violation, correct?
A. My opinion has to do with the proper calculation of economic benefit.
Q. But not the violation? Not whether or not something was a violation?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Your -- let's see. In its 2009 order -- was it 2009? I thought it was.

Well, in its order the Board found Packaging in violation for operation of press 4 without control for seven years off the top of my head, 7 or 8 years, are you aware of that?
A. I believe that's what the order said.
Q. And your opinion contains no recovery of any economic benefit for the operation of press 4 ; is that correct?
A. I'm sorry. Can you restate your
question?
Q. Sure. Your opinion ignores violations for running press 4 without control from 1995 to 2002; isn't that correct?
A. The calculation as stated here includes that as the time period.
Q. I am asking you that as a question.
A. From 19 -- yes, it does, from

1995 --
Q. It ignores it. In other words, hypothetically, we are ignoring that violation for the purpose the opinion; isn't that correct?
A. My opinion takes into consideration the time period that's included in these exhibits, which includes the time period that you are discussing and the costs that would have been required under these assumptions to obtain compliance.
Q. Your opinion recovers no economic benefit for the violations found by the Board from the operation of press 4 from 1995 to 2002?
A. That's not accurate.
Q. Yeah, it is accurate.
A. There is no -- the economic benefit
    calculations that were provided to you both at the hearing and the ones that are here in these exhibits, the methodology is clear. The assumptions that are made are clear, and the timeframes that are outlined are clear.
Q. What you are doing is what the Board directed hearing on. You said hypothetically let's ignore the operation of press number 4 for the purpose of that, correct? Is that what the Board order said in March --
A. You're going to have to -- why don't you show me what you are referring to.
Q. This is your opinion; does your opinion include any consideration of the economic benefit for running press number 4 in non-compliance from 1995 through 2002?
A. The opinions that are stated in these exhibits --
Q. No. Does your opinion include that?
A. Yes, it does. And I am answering. These exhibits as stated here have the timeframe that's --
Q. I understand the timeframe.
A. And it's -- and the -- there is no

1 cost -- you can see the specific assumptions that 2 are made throughout the report, so -- Now, that's a violation that was found by the Board. Does your opinion recover, you know, deal with the economic benefit of that violation?
A. It does, and you have to look to the variables of the specific --
Q. Show me where.
A. -- scenarios.
Q. Show me where. It's a short opinion. It's only one page.
A. Well, again, we have looked at -well, I have just explained them here in the direct and \(I\) have explained the timeframe and the costs that are associated in order to get into compliance. So they are pretty self-evident.

1
Q. Well, Mr. McClure, I wouldn't be asking the same question five times if they were self-evident.

Show me in here where your opinion recovers economic benefit of non-compliance that was found -- the violation was found -- your opinion doesn't recover any economic benefit for those violations that were found?

MR. HARSCH: Is that a question or a statement?

BY MR. GRANT:
Q. It's a question.
A. Well, as I have outlined in the various --

MR. GRANT: Can you read -- I'm sorry. Mr. Halloran. He is avoiding a very simple question.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What do you want me to do?

MR. GRANT: Could you ask the court
reporter to read the question back?
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Kari, could you reread the last question for him, please?
(Whereupon, the record was read as requested.) BY THE WITNESS:
A. Can you restate your question? BY MR. GRANT:
Q. And so is that --
A. And I think I have answered it.
Q. You haven't answered the question. Now, it's true that your opinion does not recover any economic benefit, whatsoever, for violating Section 218.401 regulations by operating press number 4 from March 15th, 1995, through December of 2002. It doesn't recover any of it, does it?
A. The economic benefit calculations that I provided both here and previous -- in previous testimony provide a number of assumptions and variables that outline the economic benefit under the circumstances of Packaging Personified's history.
Q. All right. I will ask it again.
A. So the numbers have been included --
Q. Define them for me.
A. -- here and here and in my prior
report.
Q. I want the number in dollars that you found for economic benefit for violations related to press 4 operating without control from March 15th, 1995 through December of 2002.
A. And depending upon the control approach that's taken --
Q. No. This one right here.
A. -- the amounts are included, and those are provided here.
Q. No. Okay. Please find the amount for me, and tell me what the dollar amount is.
A. The dollar --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Which
exhibit are we talking about, Mr. Grant?
MR. GRANT: I have got 64 and then Exhibit 20, but it's the same thing.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm
sorry. Proceed.
BY MR. GRANT:
Q. Where is the number?
A. The number on Exhibit 64 is laid out
in the --
Q. Mr. McClure, if you didn't include
    that number, I want you to say I did not include a
    number for violations found by the Board for that
        operation. If you did include a number, I would
        like to have you tell me what it was.
    A. I'm sorry. I'm not understanding
        what you are missing about my explanation. I
        can't --
    Q. I am speaking English I know that.
                    HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Where are
        we going to go with this?
            MR. GRANT: This testimony is
        nonresponsive.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You know,
    I think the Board can glean from the record his
        testimony and whether he answered the questions or
        not.
        BY MR. GRANT:
    Q. So there were a number of violations
        found by the Board that are not included in here.
        This is -- this opinion just deals with VOM and
        controlling VOM and all that sort of stuff. So
        the opinion that you have in front of you -- well,
        let me just say first, the Board found that --
        MR. HARSCH: Are you making a

1 statement or asking a question?
2 BY MR. GRANT:

3 Q. The Board found that Packaging
4 violated the Environmental Protection Act by
5 failing to obtain a CAAPP permit. Your opinion
6 does not recover any economic benefit related to
7 Packaging's failure to obtain a CAAPP permit on a 8 timely basis, correct?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Mr. Grant?
MR. GRANT: This is -- what this is -- this questioning is to find out what he considered and what he didn't. The violations were found. This is his economic benefit model
for the total economic benefit of the case, and if he didn't consider it, say you didn't consider it. I just considered operating press 4 or a hypothetical non-violation of press 4.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Harsch, I respectively agree with the State. So the objection over ruled. BY MR. GRANT:
Q. I think there is a question pending regarding the CAAPP permit. The violation for failure to obtain a CAAPP permit on a timely basis, your opinion does not consider that violation at all, does it?
A. The costs for that CAAPP permit are nod included in here or an assumption --
Q. Your opinion -- nothing in your opinion recovers economic benefit for failure to get a CAAPP permit?
A. That's not included in the assumptions that are in these models.
Q. I am talking about an opinion and not the models.
A. My opinions are stated here in these models based upon the assumptions that I have

1 included.

6 of permit conditions and we have a failure to
7 retain records. I am sure \(I\) am forgetting
8 something. And we have -- and then we have the
9 economic benefit based on not -- or failure to control volatile organic material. These are all violations. I know what the Board sent us to hearing for.

They sent us to hearing on let's pretend that press 4 didn't operate and not consider those for lowest cost alternative. This -- this is a preliminary question. This doesn't include -- nothing in this \(\$ 3,000\) economic benefit includes anything for those violations. The violations were found, and he is evading answering a very simple question.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, the Board can decide that, and \(I\) will look into it. Mr. Harsch?

MR. HARSCH: In response to that?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes.
MR. HARSCH: I believe the Pollution Control Board can find these were violations that imposed a significant civil penalty that's not at issue today.

In addition, I don't believe that there has been any claim by the State or any evidence put forth so that the -- there is concern over imposition of an economic benefit portion of a penalty beyond the civil penalty that was imposed by the Board for the permit conditions or not submitting a permit application on time, et cetera, et cetera, in large part because the company did subsequently apply for those permits and obtained the permits.

The witness has testified to his conclusions and the inputs and the conclusions based on those regarding the economic benefit attributable -- the lowest cost economic benefit attributable if Packaging is able to show that it could have transferred all of the production from press 4 to press 5 and demonstrate compliance with the regulations through conducting a formal stack test. That's what was remanded back here, and

1 that's what we are here today for.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah. I already ruled, Mr. Grant. MR. GRANT: It's --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr.
Grant, I was still talking. I know it's getting late in the day, but people are talking over me, and it started around 1:00, and I am not really happy about it. So if we could just move on. BY MR. GRANT:
Q. Yeah, I will.

Mr. McClure, all the
information, all the assumptions in this letter I am dealing with in Exhibit 64 were provided to you by the counsel for Packaging Personified, correct?
A. Yes, on the underlying invoice that came from the company.
Q. Okay. You don't have any personal knowledge about the cost of the total temporary enclosure or permanent total enclosure, correct?
A. Those estimates are provided to me.
Q. You have no personal knowledge about the hours of operation of presses 4 and 5 between 1995 and 2002; isn't that correct?
A. What do you mean by personal
knowledge?
Q. Yes, personal knowledge.
A. Meaning -- how do you describe that? I'm sorry.
Q. I personally know. I wasn't told.
A. Meaning, I was -- I was not --
Q. Well, how do you describe personal knowledge?
A. It's your question. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
    BY MR. GRANT:
Q. Have you ever been to Packaging Personified?
A. No.

MR. GRANT: For the record, I am
going to move to strike his testimony as nonresponsive.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Denied. Mr. Harsch?

MR. HARSCH: I'm sorry. I didn't completely hear what he was --

MR. GRANT: Move to strike his testimony as nonresponsive.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry. Mr. Grant, can you speak up?

MR. GRANT: I think I am through talking for the day, because the next witness is Ms. Sangha's. So I apologize for getting flustered.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So noted. Mr. Harsch?

MR. HARSCH: I believe the witness has been responsive. He has explained what his opinion was based on. He pointed out to Mr. Grant where his assumptions were and how he came up with

1 his calculation. I believe he has been very 2 responsive. It may not be the answer Mr. Grant 3 wants, but --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. He moved to strike. I denied it, and now you are --

MR. HARSCH: I did not hear you. I'm sorry. I did not hear you deny his motion.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, I did.

MR. HARSCH: I thought you were asking me to respond.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: No. You stated earlier that you think he is being responsive and \(I\) said the Board will take a look at the record and, you know, see whether or not that he has answered or not.

MR. HARSCH: I believed he had made a new motion and you were asking me to respond.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: No.
Well, number one, \(I\) have never granted a motion to strike so -- and I am not sure I am allowed to, but in any event, so where are we now?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
Q. I have a couple of short follow-up questions.

Mr. McClure, under the economic benefit calculation, the BEN policy manual that USEPA has on guidance, you are familiar with that?
A. Yes.
Q. You went into it in great detail in your prior testimony?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. You have continued to remain current with respect to developments that have occurred and decisions rendered, et cetera?
A. Yes, and I continue to work in that area.
Q. This is your business, providing these kinds of opinions?
A. That's correct.
Q. Is there any specific -- is there a difference between the imposition of a penalty for the violation and the determination of an economic benefit penalty?
A. Well, I believe that the way the BEN methodology describes it as the distinction between an economic benefit and a gravity or other

1 component. And they make a specific delineation 2 between the two, and it's clear that the economic benefit component covers specifically those -- the benefit or detriment, perhaps, that the company incurred during its -- or may have experienced during its périod of violation subject to a variety of variables that would be input into a model. And again, that's separate and distinct from what a court or a Board might impose for gravity.

In the economic benefit world, you look at a series of assumptions that go into a model and the results that come out, which may be positive or negative, depending upon the specific attributes of the circumstance.
Q. And is it your understanding that the Pollution Control Board entered a penalty for the violations that it found that would be the equivalent of a gravity-based penalty?
A. That's what I understood from reading their order.
Q. And what we are here to talk about today do you understand to be the appropriate economic benefit, lowest cost penalty assessment?
A. That's correct. More specifically, yes.
Q. Is there a requirement anywhere in the guidance that you are aware of from USEPA, the BEN model, that an economic benefit penalty always has to be assessed?
A. No. I mean, and even if that requirement is to be evaluated, the results -- you may find that the results are negative, because even the methodology itself provides for that fact that there may be some other foregone benefits that the company may have enjoyed by installing a compliance system that they lost out on. So you have to just look at what the numbers tell you and what the model concludes.
Q. And in terms of violations, for example, of failure to obtain a permit, if the company then subsequently applies for a permit, submits the cost of submitting the application, having it prepared, et cetera, then in the economic benefit calculation world it would be simply that they have spent the money. It would be just a value of the deferment?
A. That's correct. If you -- for any

1 specific cost that it's determined that you may 2 have achieved an economic benefit, you can look at 3 that cost and determine whether it should have 4 been incurred, for example, at an earlier time, 5 and if it's simply a cost that should have been 6 incurred at an earlier time, you enjoy the time 7 value of those dollars, and you can input those 8 variables and get that result.

1 since September of 2011, I believe. I believe 2 that's the timeframe there we have had some 3 continued work.

11 now or -- you intend to? into evidence.

MR. GRANT: That's it.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Anything
from Mr. Harsch?
MR. HARSCH: No.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may step down, Mr. McClure. Thank you.

Mr. Harsch are you going to rest

MR. HARSCH: I have no further witnesses on direct.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Mr. Harsch, I am looking at Respondent's
Exhibit 61 you didn't move it into evidence. Did

MR. HARSCH: I thought it was moved

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, I had this one out. It's the -- do you have it in front of you, State?

MS. SANGHA: It has no title, but

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Yeah, I am going to have to look into the record, but you have it in front of you. Do you have an objection for it to be moved into evidence?

MS. SANGHA: We were considering, but I guess we won't object. We have never seen this before a couple days ago, but no objection. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: It's admitted.
(Whereupon, RESPONDENT'S Exhibit
No. 61 was admitted into evidence.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And also, you may want to move it later when the witness comes up, State, but Complainant's Exhibit 22, I had that here, and it wasn't moved, the May, 1st of 2003 letter.

MR. GRANT: We would like to move it into evidence.

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN:
Mr. Harsch, any objection?
MR. HARSCH: No.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit 22 is admitted.
(Whereupon, COMPLAINANT'S
Exhibit No. 22 was admitted
into evidence.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
right. State, you are on.
MS. SANGHA: I would like to call
Kevin Mattison.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Mr. Mattison, would you raise your right hand and Kari will swear you in.
(Whereupon, the witness was duly sworn.)

KEVIN MATTISON,
having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. SANGHA:
Q. Could you please state and spell your name for the record?
A. Kevin Mattison, \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}\).
Q. And where are you employed, Mr. Mattison?
A. The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency.
Q. And how long have you been employed by the Illinois EPA?
A. Since 1993.
Q. And what is your educational
background?
A. I attended the University of

Illinois and received a degree in -- bachelor of science in engineering.
Q. And what is your current position with the agency?
A. I am an environmental protection specialist 4.
Q. Can you describe your job duties as an environmental protection specialist 4?
A. As an environmental protection specialist 4, I am involved in ensuring that the emission testing done in the State of Illinois as well as continuous emission monitoring done in Illinois is done properly and correctly.
Q. And could you describe for us what emissions testing is in general terms?
A. In general terms, emission testing is evaluating emissions out of the stack, in most cases regulated pollutants, whether it be SO2, nitrogen oxide, volatile organic material in this case.
Q. And is overseeing emissions testing in Illinois your primary job duty?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And describe what's involved in overseeing emissions testing.
A. The first thing we do is we get notified of an emission test and with that notification comes a protocol, an emission test plan. We evaluate that plan to ensure that the rules and regulations are being followed and the correct methodologies are being employed to obtain valid data.
Q. And does that also involve attending the stack testing while it's occurring?
A. Yes. It also entails witnessing testing. Obviously, with budgetary constraints we as the State of Illinois and myself are unable to attend all of those and then also after the test is done, a final report is submitted, and we then -- or I evaluate the validity of that test report.
Q. And how long have you been doing
A. Ever since \(I\) started with the agency in 1993.
Q. And have you been relied upon by other government agencies in this capacity?
A. Yes, I have. USEPA Region 5

7 consistently calls upon me to assist them with
8 their testing questions.
Q. And are you being paid anything
A. No, I am not.
Q. Are you familiar with the subject matter of this case?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And are you familiar with the informal stack test that was conducted on press 5 at the Packaging Personified facility that's at issue in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. I would like to direct your attention to an exhibit that's already in evidence. It's Complainant's Exhibit 8 from the prior hearing. You have a book in front of you.

It should be tabbed.
A. Exhibit 8?
Q. Complainant's Exhibit 8.
A. March 31st, 2003?
Q. That's correct.
A. Yes.
Q. Have you seen this document before?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Can you describe for us what it is?
A. This is the summary of the informal testing done by Mr. Trzupek.
Q. And if you will turn to page 2, is that you that's cc'd on the test results?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And just to be clear, the agency didn't receive any of the test protocol prior to the conducting of this informal test?
A. No, we did not.
Q. Okay. And you didn't receive any other results or documentation other than what we see right here?
A. That is correct. This is all we have.
Q. But you have had the opportunity to

1 review this test in detail?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And have you reviewed Rich Trzupek's testimony?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And the other documents in evidence?
A. Correct.
Q. Based on your review of this Complainant's Exhibit 8, the informal test results, as well as Trzupek's testimony regarding this informal test, what is your opinion as to its reliability for determining whether or not the recirculating tunnel dryer was acting as a control device on press 5?
A. Based upon the information presented, the date is unreliable and not statistically valid.
Q. And can I direct you to what's marked as Complainant's Exhibit 15, which is unartfully titled as Complainant's Exhibit 15?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you describe for us what this document is?
A. This is a summary of my evaluation

1 of that informal test.
Q. Thank you, Mr. Mattison. I am going to ask you some questions now, and we will just go through this point by point.

So if I could direct your attention to subpoint \(A\). If you could explain how subpoint A supports your general opinion that the test was not reliable and the results were not statistically valid?
A. Yes. The -- the test that was performed is an engineering test as indicated by Mr. Trzupek. It is just that. It's a quick and dirty way of looking at potentially what's there. When we are documenting, we are determining compliance with the regulations, the rules and regulations are very clear, as well as this methodology, and we need a minimum of three runs to do a statistical analysis of the data and in accordance with Document 035 to determine whether or not that data is statistically in the same ballpark of one another.

Without that, we have a picture of a few data points to determine compliance of that system. We can't even run a statistical

1 analysis of it.
2 Q. And how does having three runs help
3 you to get data --
4 A. Well, with one run, and an
5 abbreviated run at that, you don't know whether or
6 not that is going to be repeatable. Now, if you 7 do one run, that's the only thing you have to look 8 at. If you do two runs, you know, there may be 9 two different numbers and you average them out, 10 and you -- then you can apply a statistical 11 analysis of that data.
Q. And is there ever an occasion where a company would be required to do more than three runs?
A. In the realm of capture efficiency testing, when a facility chooses to use alternative capture test techniques, you -- one would have to demonstrate compliance with the data quality objective standard. If you are an ERMS participant or if the data indicates potential non-compliance, you would have to generate enough data to make sure it meets the data quality objective before we would enter into a non-compliant arrangement with violation notices.
Q. Okay. And if you could turn your attention to subpoint \(B\), and please describe for us how the comments that you have made here support your general opinion about the unreliability of the test and its results?
A. As Mr. Trzupek pointed out, I had to make some assumptions. There was only three pages submitted to the agency. A lack of data prevents me from making anything else other than
assumptions of this data. When a formal stack test comes in, we have a lot of data. We have the actual raw data sheets, the exact location of where the testing was done, the actual organic concentration, the FID data points, the calibration of that information, all that's put into the test report to validate that data.

All we have here is a three-page summary of what was done, but with no supporting documentation. So with point \(B\), we had to make that assumption of that was 40 pounds an hour. Was it really 40 pounds an hour? The regulations very clearly indicate that that has to be an exact number, especially when we are doing liquid to gas mass balance, because if those numbers are off,

1 Our calculations are off. Our assumptions are 2 Off, as Mr. Trzupek pointed out.

4 there is no information as to what the actual
5 inlet number is -- input number is other than
640 pounds an hour of VOM. Was it really 41? Was
7 it 35? Was it 42 and a half? I don't know.

8
9

11 number?
A. Based upon the other data presented today, as well as in other depositions, there was a maximum ink usage rate of 20 pounds per hour in there, and I don't even know if they were operating at 100 percent capacity that day of the test.
Q. And just to be clear, you are referencing an exhibit that you reviewed, it's Complainant's Exhibit 13 and it's already in evidence. It's a letter dated May 2nd, 2003, and I think you are referencing some of the attachments to that letter. Is that the pounds per hour information?

3 Ms. Sangha, could you speak up? I've got the 4 machine going on in the back here.
machine going on in the back here.
A. That is correct.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
此

MS. SANGHA: No problem. Please let me know if you can't hear me. BY THE WITNESS:
A. Specifically, Attachment D, as in David, has a chart in here of number 5 -- press number 5 before control, ink usage, maximum 20 pounds per hour, and average of 16 .

BY MS. SANGHA:
Q. Thank you, Mr. Mattison.

Now, if you can turn the page, and we will discuss your subpoint \(C\). And please describe to us how this portion of your opinion supports your overall conclusion about the unreliability of the test and the data results?
A. My point \(C\) references the issue with regards to the input data, inlet data, where it was measured. We have several different things, as Mr. Trzupek pointed out. We have the discretion about the VOM in comparison with the as propane versus as organic compounds, the

1 measurement of apples and apples. That's very
2 true.

4 to bring that back into measuring apples and
5 apples. It also requires us to measure that on a 6 dry standard cubic feet basis. That's one point 7 there.

9 we -- I make the statement, it grossly
10 misrepresents the efficiency is -- as we all
11 pointed out today, this is a recirculating oven. 12 And in order to get an accurate determination of 13 capture efficiency, you take the -- when you are 14 dealing with liquid to gas mass balance, you take

The other point that -- why the amount of potential organics that are capable of being emitted, and then you are trying to look at how much did the capture system or oven collect? And in this case, Mr. Trzupek measured somewhere in that oven the flow rate, and I think I pointed out that it was like two and a half times higher than what was going out, and so the statement was, well, that's a recirculating flow, so, of course, it's going to be higher.

Well, when you have got

1 recirculating flow and you have got flow coming 2 in, the actual organics being captured is not the

3 recirculating flow. It's what flow comes in, and
4 then on top of that you've got recirculating
5 organic material. So you have got -- it goes
6 through the system once. You count it on the FID
7 at the inlet. It goes through -- it may get 8 reduced somewhat, as pointed out earlier today,

9 but you still have some left over. It gets
10 recounted again by that FID. It's an additive 11 effect.

So now we have inflated the
13 amount of organics that we have indicated by this
14 estimated test of what we have captured, and I
15 don't see how we can have any science behind that that's a valid number.
Q. And that's because you are overestimating the total amount of volatile organic materials that had a potential of recapture?
A. That's correct.
Q. And could you point out for us in the Complainant's Exhibit 8, which is informal test results, where those numbers are that you are

1 discussing in terms of the differential between 2 one number and the other?

4 very bottom where it says DC -- DSCEM, dry
5 standard cubic feet per minute. Column 1 is the 6 inlet, 2,417 and dry standard cubic feet per 7 minute. The second column over, same row, dry 8 standard cubic feet per minute at the outlet, 818.
A. Not of a control system, no.
Q. Mr. Mattison, I wanted to ask -back up a little bit and ask you again about, I think, subpoint \(C\) and again, I'm not an expert on this, as related to the issue of response factor, which is something that's been discussed today, that you would need to create a response factor. Could you explain that a little bit more, or am I incorrect in assuming that that's connected to subpoint C?
A. No. That is correct. In Mr. Trzupek's testimony he did a good job of explaining what a response factor is and how that

1 does adjust the concentrations down. It wasn't
    2 done here, and therefore, it invalidates the data
    3 to be used for compliance purposes of capture
Q. And is it correct that the response factor would change depending on which specific ink was used and what the volatile organic material percent was for that ink?
A. It would change based upon the organic compounds in that ink, yes.
Q. And there is a great deal of variability in the organic -- level of organic compounds in various inks?
A. According to the chart in Exhibit 13, Appendix D, there is a quite large variability of the organic compounds in the different types of inks that are there. So, yes, that would greatly affect the input value of the

1 organic compounds.
2 Q. And we don't have information about
3 the inks that were used in this informal test, 4 correct?
Q.

So if it's true that the ink
7 suggested by Trzupek earlier today -- I think he 8 referenced that -- if it was acetate, this number 9 might be more accurate, but is it possible if 10 there was a different ink the number could be -- those assumptions would no longer apply?
A. Those generic assumptions would not apply. Again, we would have to know the exact ink, the exact organics that were used to make an accurate determination of the true input value of the organics being used at the time of the test.
Q. So it affects the reliability of the test results?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Mr. Mattison, is there any other additional comment that you would like to make about your opinions that you have set forth in subpoint \(D\) ? We got into that just a little bit in the testimony a minute ago.
A. As we have heard today, the cost of

1 actually doing a test is actually probably
2 insurmountable to the amount of cost that we have 3 spent here just today alone. And there was a

4 statement in there that Mr. Trzupek believed that
5 the measurement of capture efficiency was
6 expensive, time-consuming, and neither he, nor
7 Packaging Personified finds that investment in
8 time and in cost justified.

10 cost money to do business, and it does cost money
11 to document and prove compliance so that we can
12 ensure that the environment does not see a degrade
13 in the standards.
Q. And if we could turn your attention now to subpoint \(F\), and describe for me what point you are making there and how it affects your opinion about the reliability of the data.
A. The point I am making here is that in Mr. Trzupek's expert report in 2009 he makes a statement that the VOM destruction efficiency of the drying oven exceeds 99 percent. However, in his own report, engineering report and informal test of December 12, 2001, he only reports the destruction efficiency of 93.6 percent. I don't

1 see how over time without any additional formal
2 testing did we increase it by 5.4 percent.
Q. So once you have run a test and you have a result, that's the result?
A. If you do a formal test that meets all the statistical calculations and you perform it in accordance with the rules and regulations to come up with a valid number, that number stays until proven otherwise by another stack test that's valid.
Q. And I have another question for you. Mr. Mattison, related to informal test, is there any information that you would normally have received -- and I think you referenced or noted this earlier -- that you would normally have received with an emissions test that you did not receive with this communication, and if you could comment on why that's important?
A. Well, in a formal test we would have received, as I indicated earlier, all the raw data. We would have been able to verify where the actual test was conducted at. We would have been able to verify that it did meet the method 1 criteria. We would have been able to verify that

1 the calibrations of the \(F I D\) were proper.

All we have is concentration of 2000 PPM at the inlet and 380 PPM at the outlet. That's all I have. I have no supporting documentation of how that was derived or obtained by this report.
Q. And if you had that documentation, for example, and you just referenced the 2000 and 380, is that something you would have gone to to check to be able to review and determine whether or not these numbers were accurate?
A. Yes. We would have been able to do that.
I would also like to point out on page 2 of that document, second paragraph, it indicates that the testing was conducted for approximately 30 minutes at each location. So an actual test was not an hour long, as indicated earlier in testimony.
Q. Thank you, Mr. Mattison.

Is there anything else that you would like to point out about your opinion or the informal test?
A. Informal testing has never been

1 used, to my knowledge, to document compliance for purposes of permitting, and informal testing like this is not valid or statistically valid to document compliance.
Q. So in your opinion, this test methodology used by Rich Trzupek -- for the purposes of demonstrating compliance you would consider this a novel test methodology?
A. That is correct.
Q. And would you consider these informal test results to be an accurate estimate of capture and control on press 5?
A. I would not.
Q. Would you ever rely on these results at the agency as evidence of a facility within compliance of part 218 of the Board regulations?
A. No, I would not.

MS. SANGHA: I have no further questions.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you, Ms. Sangha. Mr. Harsch?

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. What's your engineering degree in?
A. Ceramic engineering, sir.
Q. Have you ever personally performed a stack test?
A. I have not personally performed a stack test. I have conducted a certification for USEPA Method 9.
Q. I am familiar with what you do for a living as we have talked about earlier. But you personally have not performed a test?
A. No.
Q. Have you personally witnessed tests that have been performed previously by Mr. Trzupek?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Okay. And how did you find those tests to be performed?
A. That was many years ago.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Mr. Harsch, can you turn on your mike, please? I am having trouble hearing you. Sorry. BY THE WITNESS:
A. That was many years ago that I have actually witnessed Mr. Trzupek actually being the stack tester. I am going back 15, 20 years. I
Q. That was when you were just starting
A. Yes.
Q. Have you witnessed any stack tests that Mr. Trzupek has oversaw or arranged for his clients now that he is no longer actually doing stack testing?
A. \(\quad I\) am sure \(I\) have.
Q. Are you aware of any reason to question any of the -- of his -- of those stack tests that he has arranged for?
A. Have I had any questions about --
Q. Any reason to -- any knowledge or any reason to question any of the testing that he has done or overseen?
A. No. I mean, we view the data as it comes in.
Q. All of your criticisms that you have testified to at length here, I believe you have qualified as -- as not showing -- as not being -A. Statistically --
Q. -- proper to show compliance -- to demonstrate compliance with the regulation?
A. That is correct.
Q. You were here when Mr. Trzupek testified that that was not the purpose of the test?
A. That is correct, at the time. But it appears it is being used for that case now.
Q. Drawing your attention to Complainant's Exhibit 15. Did you draft this document?
A. I did not draft this exact piece of paper. This is a summary of what I provided.
Q. Were you asked to prepare an expert report in this case?
A. I don't believe I was asked to prepare an expert report.
Q. What document did you prepare in this case?
A. An evaluation of the informal stack test.
Q. Did the Attorney General's Office give you any information as to why they prepared a summary based on what you had given them and

16 deposition?
A. No.
Q. Do you remember where it occurred?
A. No.
Q. Do you remember who took the deposition?

MS. SANGHA: I am going to object. I think he said deposition. He meant to say his meeting within our office when we went through his

1 opinions about the test.
MR. GRANT: He hasn't been deposed.
MR. HARSCH: I'm sorry but I was --
MS. SANGHA: It's fair. It's fair.
He said deposition and if there had been one, that's something you would want to know. He has not been deposed. We had -- it probably felt like a deposition, as we grilled him about his thoughts and opinions and then had him provide to us something better than our scrawled notes. That's the time that he is referencing.

BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. You previously just testified about a deposition in this matter, correct?
A. Yes, I did. Obviously, I was incorrect.
Q. Do you know if the agency has relied upon Mr. Trzupek's engineering estimate for purposes of calculating the cost of the ERMS emissions allowances?
A. I'm not aware of that personally.
Q. You were originally provided a copy of this engineering analysis, is that correct, when it was submitted to the state?
A. Are you referring to the summary report?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes. I was originally given a copy of that. Obviously, I was cc'd on that, yes.
Q. Do you recall when you first notified Mr. Trzupek that you found it to be unacceptable?
A. I said \(I\) was in receipt of it. I did not say I reviewed it at the time.
Q. Did you ever notify Mr. Trzupek that you found it to be unacceptable?
A. I personally did not do that, no.
Q. Did you ever communicate prior to whatever report you gave to the Attorney General's Office that you found this engineering stack test to be unacceptable?
A. No, because it wasn't reviewed until that time.
Q. So you have had this since March 31st, 2003?
A. Sure.
Q. And ten years later or nine years later you reviewed it?

2 sorry. I didn't hear you, Mr. Harsch.
3 BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. Nine or ten years later you reviewed it?
A. There are many stack tests done in the State of Illinois. I am only one person. I can't get to them all in a timely fashion.
Q. Have you reviewed the stack tests -engineering stack tests prior to the last hearing in this matter?
A. Prior to when?

MR. GRANT: I don't think he knows when the hearing was. Why don't you give him a date?

MS. SANGHA: He wasn't involved. BY THE WITNESS:
A. When I was here today you mentioned 2009, but \(I\) was not involved in those hearings at all.

BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. You did not review it prior to the last hearing in 2009?
A. I was not aware of it at that time,

1 no.

2 MR. HARSCH: Mr. Hearing Officer, I
3 am going to move to exclude Complainant's
4 Exhibit 15. It was not prepared by the witness.
5 He has prepared a report evidently that the
6 Attorney General has provided summary of or
7 something rather than the document in question
8 that he prepared.

9

10 Mr. Grant?
11
12 interesting observation considering he used this 13 exhibit in his examination of Mr. Trzupek. As 14 Mr. Harsch has known since November 15th of 2012 , 15 and as I have explained this to him on numerous 16 occasions, these are our \(213(f)\) expert witness 17 disclosures. They were reviewed by Mr. Mattison 18 before -- they were created from some rough things 19 he gave us. They were reviewed by Mr. Mattison 20 and corrected, and they were produced. Rather

21 than ask him to take time out of his schedule to provide an expert witness report like a paid expert might, we prepared Exhibit 15 for use in hearing here and it's taken verbatim from the

213(f) disclosures.

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: Okay. I
have noted both of your objections on the record,
statements. I am going to allow it in over Mr. Harsch's objection as demonstrative evidence. I think it helps explain Mr. Mattison's verbal have they? I don't think so.

MR. GRANT: I don't know if we have or not. I know that they used it -- we -- yeah. It was one of the things we were going to get to, but we might as well now move it into evidence.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Complainant's No. 15 is admitted, demonstrative evidence.

All right. Mr. Harsch?
(Whereupon, COMPLAINANT'S
Exhibit No. 15 was admitted into evidence.) MR. HARSCH: That concludes my cross-examination.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
you, sir. Ms. Sangha?

2 BY MS. SANGHA:
Q. Just a few questions.

Yes. Mr. Mattison. Mr. Harsch just pointed out this March 31st, 2003, letter. You didn't review this in 2003; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Is there any difference in your review now than there would have been in 2003 other than additional years of experience in this field?
A. No. They would be the same.
Q. So your opinions and conclusions in 2003 would have been the same as your opinions and conclusions today?
A. That is correct.
Q. And you have been in this specific field for 20 years; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. How would you describe your level of knowledge regarding stack testing?
A. Thorough.
Q. And compliance -- formal
demonstration of compliance and Part 218 aside,

1 just looking at the data and the test results
2 here, you wouldn't find this as an accurate
3 estimate of capture and control for any purposes?

4

5

6 questions.

8 you. Mr. Harsch? testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. RICHARD TRZUPEK,
re-called as a witness herein, was examined and BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. Mr. Trzupek, you have now had the benefit of listening to Mr. Mattison go through his testimony regarding what has been marked and accepted as Complainant's Exhibit 15. Let's go back through it again.

Have you got it open in front of you?
A. I do.
Q. First off, before we get there, were you ever provided any kind of comments prior to the hearings in this matter regarding your engineering test?
A. Not that I recall, no.
Q. Did you perform the engineering test for the purpose of demonstrating compliance?
A. No, sir.
Q. And in response to what's marked as A in this exhibit, I believe Mr. Mattison testified that -- regarding the statistical analysis issue. Do you agree with him?
A. I do not.
Q. And why?
A. Well, the method \(25(\mathrm{a})\), which is the method that measures the total hydrocarbon concentration, gives the person a real-time readout, a continuous readout of concentrations at a steady state. During a test of this type when we are doing some engineering work, we are looking to make sure that the press is running at a steady state, and then we see how steady the FID readout is, the response.

And you can see very quickly and
in this case, you know, within a matter of a few minutes how consistent those numbers are going to be. It's not one number that we are getting over that period. It is a continuous stream of data, and if that data is essentially a flatline, \(I\) can be very confident that that data is representative.
Q. In this printing stack test, would the printing conditions remain the same?
A. Yes.
Q. So they would be printing the same product?
A. That is correct. And that's what I directed Mr. Imburgia at the time, to make sure we

1 could get that type of nice, steady state 2 condition.
Q. For engineering purposes, do you believe that the -- your method 25 was, in fact, reliable?
A. \(\quad 25(a)\), and yes, I do.
Q. You heard Mr. Mattison's comments with respect to what's marked as paragraph B?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you agree with those comments?
A. I do not.
Q. And why?
A. Well, with respect to -- again, as I testified earlier today, ink usage is the smaller percentage of what is actually used in the flexographic printing. So, for instance, if the -- if they said that their matt's ink usage was 20 pounds, well, then the solvent usage that you add typically with flexo printing would be an additional 40 pounds, for a total of 60.

The ink usage -- the ink and solvent usage that I measured to come up with the 40 pounds was accurate. I understand that the report is not in the format that Mr . Mattison is

1 used to reviewing, and \(I\) know he reviews a ton of
2 reports, but in the end, when somebody is
3 reporting usage data, you are relying on the --
4 you are counting on the reliability and the
5 integrity of the person who is doing that
6 reporting.

7

8 and what \(I\) reported, and whether that 40 pounds
9 was delineated in a three-page report or as part
10 of a 200 -page stack test report, the state
11 ultimately is counting on the integrity, the honesty and the skill of the person who made those measurements to give accurate data.
Q. Is it normal that you would test at 100 percent printing application?
A. That is always the big question of printing. We get different answers state to state. 100 percent coverage in printing would lead you to have a completely black sheet of substrate that would be -- that would be worthless.

Illinois typically, and to
Kevin's credit, looks at printing as -- they look for -- I think the word he used is "maximum

1 typical." So what -- you know, coverage that gets
2 close to what your maximum normal coverage would 3 be, and that's when we did this particular test 4 what I asked Joe to shoot for.
A. Yes.
Q. And do you agree with them?
A. No, I don't. I mean, on two -- and

11 I don't think this is in dispute anymore, and 12 correct me if I'm wrong, but the statement which 13 in reality represented the ink usage rate is just 14 incorrect. The VOM usage rate is the VOM usage 15 rate, which is a combination of solvent and the 16 VOM contained in the ink. So because that is the 17 actual VOM usage rate, then those capture efficiency estimates above 100 are incorrect conclusions.
Q. Any other reasons you disagree with how he testified with respect to \(B\) ?
A. With respect to \(B, I\) guess the only other thing is the VOM content of the ink, the MSDS is a good indicator of VOM content of the

1 inks. Is it as exact as sending it out? It is 2 not. Is the difference between what the MSDS says 3 and a tested content significant for these 4 purposes? I don't believe it is, as a chemist. 5 The other statement, I guess, that was discussed 6 between counsel and Mr. Mattison was, well, the 7 inks vary widely.

When we first started with Packaging, we submitted a list of everything that Packaging had, which I think is -- what I believe is what they were referring to, but in reality, the Sun inks that are used on the solvent presses are all extremely similar in composition, a mixture of alcohols and acetates and very consistent. And when we did that test, those were the types of inks that were because that's what they run on their solvent presses. And if you look at the Sun inks, you will see that compositions of each one is very similar to the other with small changes across the colors.
Q. And that would affect the response factor?
A. Correct. And that's why I can be confident about that the response factor would

1 have jumped the capture results even higher than 2 we should have.
Q. And the comment with respect to the acetone, the acetone is used as a solvent and not --
A. It was acetate.
Q. Acetate. Excuse me.
A. Yeah. The -- they have a mix that they used as their solvent, and again, remember the solvent is used about two to one over the -with respect to the ink. So there is acetates contained in the ink, and then their dilution solvent, which makes up the majority of the VOM emissions are acetates that we know and which have known response factors.
Q. What about to respond -HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:

Mr. Harsch, can you speak up?
BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. Can you respond to -- are there any points you want to respond to on what was testified to with respect to paragraph C?
A. Again, we talked about it, you know, as we both said that response factor corrections

1 are appropriate. As a chemist, I know that the 2 response factor correction would only increase the 3 measured mass emission rate because of the types 4 of compounds that are used and how FID responds to 5 them.

6 Q. And is it also because they are consistent with respect to the standard ink composition?
A. Yes. That is correct as well.
Q. What about with respect -- do you have any other points on that one? If not, you can go to D.
A. Yeah. I have nothing further on \(C\). On D --
Q. D as in dog?
A.

D, as in dog. I believe
Mr. Mattison said that he believed that the VOM concentrations would be building up and up in the recirculation loop, but this is not, in fact, what we see. We see a steady state condition, a flatline that I described. The measurement at the inlet point did not include the volume of gas going through the recirculation loop, which is why those volumes do not agree. You are only taking a
side stream off of that loop up through the stack. They basically set -- they have a tee damper that they set in order to do that, to set how much they were going to pull off.

And I guess the other thing
would be, if you did, indeed, have a build-up of
VOM as a result of recirculation, you would eventually hit the lower explosive limit and blow up your oven, and since press 5 is still in one piece, I am assuming that didn't happen.
Q. Do you believe that you, in fact, tested the points that would show the inlet concentration to the dryer and the exit concentration of the stack?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And that would show, would it not, the amount of solvent that was emitted out of it potentially, plus versus what was destroyed by being recirculated?
A. Yes.
Q. He has taken issue with respect to your observations that it was under a negative pressure. Can you respond to that?
A. In two ways. One, the measurement
        of static pressure in a system is about as simple as it gets. It's simply attaching some type of differential pressure gauge, a Magnehelic is most commonly used, and noting the deflection of the needle.

Static pressures are extremely simple to measure, and we measure them all the time during stack tests, and that was done in this case, and as \(I\) observed earlier, if the oven was under positive pressure, and \(I\) have been in unbalanced press rooms before, we would -- you would be overwhelmed by the fumes, and that was not the case.
Q. Do you agree with his conclusions that -- regarding the flow measurements showed that it was not being maintained -- making it impossible for it to be maintained under negative pressure?
A. I do not.
Q. Is it because he doesn't understand where you were measuring?
A. That's my belief, yes.
Q. Do you agree with the comments that you biased the destruction efficiency calculations

1 by inflating the inlet emissions rate to the 2 control?
A. No, I do not.
Q. Why?
A. Because, again, we did not have any buildup. We did not have any increase in the inlet rate. We had a steady definable inlet rate that was consistent with the amount of ink that was used and the amount of solvent that was used. I believe those inlet numbers are reflective of what was going on in the press as was with the outlet numbers.
Q. The statements in E have nothing to do with your informal stack test, did they?
A. They do not.
Q. And do you have a response to the comments in statement \(F\) ?
A. Yeah. That's incorrect on my part, that he is correct. I -- 99 percent I should not have put in my expert report. 93.6 is the correct number.
Q. In fact, you believe you have corrected that?
A. I thought I did, but perhaps I
didn't. This case has been going on for a while.
Q. Do you have any question that from a sound science standpoint that your engineering stack test was reliable?
A. I have no question, no.
Q. And based on that engineering stack test, does it remain your opinion that had a formal stack test been performed on press 5 it would have passed the regulatory requirements of 90 percent destruction and 65 percent capture or whatever is specified in the rule?
A. I believe it would have passed on both accounts, yes.

MR. HARSCH: No further questions.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: State?
MS. SANGHA: Yes. I have got just a couple questions.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. SANGHA:
Q. Mr. Trzupek, just a couple questions
for you. Do you have the raw data to substantiate the 40 pounds of VOM?
A. I do not.
Q. Do you have any of the raw data

1 available that supports this informal emissions 2 test?
A. I do not. I actually contacted my brother who works at -- still works at Huff \& Huff, which is where I was when I did this test to see if they have those data files, but they do not.
Q. So the data no longer exists, the raw data?
A. As far as \(I\) know, it does not, yeah.
Q. And do you recall the specific ink that you used when you conducted the informal test?
A. I know it was a four-color job. So there were four different Sun inks, but I don't recall the specific ones.
Q. And were these test results that were submitted to the agency formally for certification and compliance?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. So in that sense, no response would have been required of the agency to these informal test results?
A. I don't know what the agency's obligations are for this sort of thing.
Q. You never submitted them and requested a response approval of your test results?
A. I did not request approval, no.
Q. And were you aware that the agency didn't find these informal tests to be reliable?
A. I was not.
\(Q\).
So in your opinion, you thought that the agency believed that this informal test was a reliable test? You did not know that this test was at issue?
A. No. I did not until I came up with this matter. I mean, we used that number, that destruction number and that capture number in our AERs and retro-filing our ERMS reports, and since those were not questioned, I assumed that the agency was okay with it at the time.
Q. Weren't those ERMS reports submitted after the 2004 formal compliance test?
A. They -- the ret -- the old ERMS reports, the retroactive ones?
Q. And I would have to go back to the record for this, but I thought the ERMS reporting,

1 the compliance that Packaging did on that were
2 done after the formal test and not after this 3 test?
Q. And this would have been the only information that -- even a close guess available as to the possible --
A. Correct. That's -- that was our best information. So that's what we used in developing those documents.

MS. SANGHA: No further questions.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
you. Mr. Harsch, any redirect?
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. HARSCH:
Q. Those ERMS -- retroactive ERMS applications were what they billed Packaging for?
A. That's my understanding, yes. MR. HARSCH: No further. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:

1 Ms. Sangha?

RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. SANGHA:
Q. Did you have a follow-up with the agency to ask them about the data that went into that ERMS reports?
A. I did not.
Q. Is it possible that there could have been some confusion about the numbers that you submitted and where those came from?
A. I guess that is possible.

MS. SANGHA: No further questions.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr.
Harsch?
MR. HARSCH: None.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN:
Mr. Trzupek, you may step down again. Thank you. We will go off the record.
(Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)

MR. HARSCH: I did want to just state for the record that \(I\) wanted to thank you for -- and my client would like to thank you for arranging with the City of Elmhurst that we

1 could continue the hearing today and complete it.
2 Thank you.

4 They have been stupendous. Thank you. Let's go 5 off the record.
(Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.)

HEARING OFFICER HALIORAN: We were off the record talking about the post-hearing briefing schedule, and based on our tight schedule, I concluded by the contract the transcript should be in, filed with the Board by May 31st, but it may not be posted until June 3rd. So if we started from that date, with that said, Packaging Personified's opening brief is due on or before June 12th. The State's post-hearing brief is due on or before June 24th. Packaging's reply, if any, is due on or before July 3 rd, and the parties have -- or mentioned to me that they will serve electronically on the due dates, and that was the agreement.

With that said, I do acknowledge this is a very difficult subject matter, and I appreciate it. I learned a lot today. And it's
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\hline confirm 69:19 & constructed & context 227:21 & 274:10 275:3 & 79:5 80:16,19 \\
\hline 71:22 & 257:5 & continue 67:16 & 282:17 292:13 & 83:18 85:9,17 \\
\hline conformance & constructing & 74:13 82:2 & 297:10 300:12 & 137:17,19 \\
\hline 148:13 & 173:12 206:4 & 228:10 232:7 & 303:5,13 & 138:14,15 \\
\hline confused 249:12 & 254:23 256:13 & 233:4 238:12 & 307:12 318:3 & 141:10 154:3 \\
\hline confusing 60:11 & construction & 278:20 281:13 & 329:2 & 154:22,23 \\
\hline 60:13,15 & 73:11 82:19,21 & 335:1 & controlled 23:20 & 155:3,7,8,18 \\
\hline 249:17 & 82:22 166:10 & continued & 149:6,11 196:4 & 156:21 160:11 \\
\hline confusion 334:9 & 205:21 274:5 & 281:10 285:3 & 196:11 222:6 & 163:11 164:4,9 \\
\hline Congress 213:3 & constructs & continues 41:18 & controlling & 166:7,12 168:4 \\
\hline 213:11 & 263:18 & continuing & 70:16 73:13 & 168:22 169:1 \\
\hline congressional & consultant & 135:3 & 271:21 & 171:5,10 \\
\hline 212:17 238:8 & 139:16 144:7 & continuous & conversation & 176:13 177:18 \\
\hline 240:12 242:3 & 210:5 228:2 & 160:21 205:23 & 57:12 212:15 & 178:22 184:2 \\
\hline 243:1 244:7 & 246:21 247:14 & 288:18 320:4 & conversations & 188:22 189:11 \\
\hline connected 73:9 & Consultants & 320:14 & 69:16 & 191:21 192:17 \\
\hline 207:2 300:20 & 144:7 & contract 335:11 & convert 17:2 & 192:23 193:2 \\
\hline conservative & consulting & contributed & convoluted & 193:11,22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 194:15 197:8 & 20:18 & 330:17,20 & cross-exam 50:9 & damage 34:1 \\
\hline 199:1,23 200:2 & correspondence & course 245:4 & cross-examina... & damper 327:2 \\
\hline 204:1,2,5,15 & 163:22 & 259:6,24 & 50:5 135:14 & Darlan 232:21 \\
\hline 207:2,3,5 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o s t }} 41: 7,8\) 42:2 & 298:23 & 152:16 176:7 & darn 29:8 \\
\hline 213:12 215:17 & 42:3,3 43:3 & court 7:8,19 9:8 & 248:6 264:1 & data 50:15 51:4 \\
\hline 215:18,21 & 46:17 47:22 & 15:7,19 88:13 & 307:22 316:22 & 51:20,21 52:4 \\
\hline 217:1 222:7 & 83:18 85:3,11 & 135:21,24 & 330:18 & 52:5,18 58:7 \\
\hline 225:2 230:5 & 166:9 173:5 & 143:17 154:11 & Crowe 253:2 & 138:12,16,17 \\
\hline 234:8,16 & 196:17 206:8 & 154:17 172:17 & CSR 1:13 & 138:18 142:6,9 \\
\hline 235:12,16,17 & 222:15 245:7 & 251:24 268:20 & 337:21 & 142:12,13,15 \\
\hline 237:18 240:24 & 254:19,21 & 278:12 282:9 & cubic 160:12 & 142:16,17 \\
\hline 241:5 248:22 & 255:2 256:12 & 301:8 & 298:6 300:5,6 & 143:1 161:1,1 \\
\hline 249:15 250:4 & 257:8 259:1,7 & cover 52:10 & 300:8 & 168:16 174:2 \\
\hline 253:14 254:10 & 260:13,17 & 55:22 56:19 & current 16:2 & 174:19 178:9 \\
\hline 255:24 256:2,3 & 262:6 263:10 & coverage 322:18 & 23:741:9 & 289:14 293:18 \\
\hline 258:14 261:23 & 267:1 274:15 & 323:1,2 & 281:10 288:9 & 293:20,23 \\
\hline 262:5 264:6,11 & 275:19 277:8 & covered 69:7 & currently 23:23 & 294:3,11,18,20 \\
\hline 264:23 265:4 & 282:24 283:19 & 256:11 & 159:22 252:24 & 294:22,22 \\
\hline 265:12 266:9 & 284:1,3,5 & covers 282:3 & curriculum & 295:8,10,11,12 \\
\hline 272:8 277:4,9 & 303:24 304:2,8 & crack 247:7 & 145:10 253:9 & 295:14,16 \\
\hline 277:13 281:17 & 304:10,10 & create 34:6 45:9 & custom 30:9,10 & 296:12 297:18 \\
\hline 283:1,24 & 312:19 & 46:14 53:11,12 & customer 69:4 & 297:20,20 \\
\hline 289:13 291:5 & costs 5:12,21 & 57:20 58:4,14 & customer's & 301:2 303:17 \\
\hline 291:22 292:7 & 41:9 145:6 & 85:4 207:17 & 17:21 & 304:17 305:21 \\
\hline 297:1 299:21 & 165:23 173:8 & 300:18 & customers 10:23 & 309:19 318:1 \\
\hline 300:22 301:11 & 204:9 205:21 & created 13:13 & 17:4 179:19 & 320:14,15,16 \\
\hline 302:4 307:9 & 206:7,9 254:15 & 51:16 52:4,6,7 & cutting 17:2 & 322:3,13 \\
\hline 310:3,7 312:14 & 255:13 256:18 & 58:12 75:18 & CV 146:21 & 330:21,24 \\
\hline 312:23 317:6,7 & 260:5,10 & 77:10 84:22 & 238:11 240:1 & 331:6,8,9 \\
\hline 317:16,18,19 & 265:16 267:23 & 85:10 141:20 & 240:16 241:8 & 334:5 \\
\hline 320:23 323:12 & 273:14 & 169:15 207:24 & 241:11,19,21 & date 33:12 57:22 \\
\hline 324:23 326:9 & Council 1:14 & 315:18 & 242:14 & 66:4,14,15 \\
\hline 329:19,20 & counsel 63:10 & creates 169:11 & cycle \(235: 11\) & 83:15 136:6 \\
\hline 333:12 & 64:12 65:6 & creating 83:1 & cyclonic \(236: 6\) & 137:3 145:10 \\
\hline corrected & 277:4 324:6 & credibility & cylinder 31:7,8 & 176:16 180:23 \\
\hline 315:20 329:23 & count 299:6 & 242:18,18 & 31:9,15 & 181:3 190:4 \\
\hline correction 199:8 & counted 34:9 & 246:11 & cylinders 30:8 & 231:20 292:16 \\
\hline 199:14 326:2 & counter 169:11 & credible 167:19 & & 311:14,15 \\
\hline corrections & counting 322:4 & 211:2,5 & D & 314:15 335:14 \\
\hline 325:24 & 322:11 & credit 170:23,24 & D 3:1 297:8 & 337:4 \\
\hline correctly 22:11 & country 228:8 & 322:23 & 301:21 302:23 & dated 19:17 47:6 \\
\hline 54:24 158:11 & 245:2 & credits 48:24 & 303:2,3 326:12 & 63:871:20 \\
\hline 168:20,23 & counts 25:22 & 49:7 & 326:14,15,16 & 76:15 77:8 \\
\hline 171:7 234:8 & 170:22 & criteria 305:24 & D-A-R-L-A-N & 253:19,21 \\
\hline 259:2 288:19 & couple 72:9 & critical 215:19 & 232:21 & 256:6 296:21 \\
\hline correlated 22:4 & 141:5 170:14 & 215:20,23 & daily 31:21 & dates 160:2 \\
\hline correlating & 218:18 232:9 & criticisms & 34:24 35:7,8 & 255:2 309:1 \\
\hline 20:20 & 247:10 258:24 & 309:21 & 36:4,8,11,14 & 335:20 \\
\hline correlation & 281:1 286:7 & cross 3:3,3 237:8 & 36:14 & David 186:6,10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 297:9 & define 269:23 & depend 17:20 & destroyed 70:1 & developments \\
\hline day 1:15 14:3 & 278:4 & dependant & 139:9 195:6 & 281:11 \\
\hline 30:17 33:2,20 & defined 151:3,3 & 169:23 & 327:18 & deviate 170:2,5 \\
\hline 34:5 35:3 46:2 & 151:6 & depending & destroying & device 5:7 44:16 \\
\hline 140:8 152:19 & definitely 184:6 & 166:2 191:24 & 152:6 221:24 & 75:19 78:8,10 \\
\hline 180:22 192:1 & 184:8 & 270:6 282:14 & destruction & 79:4,8 83:5,20 \\
\hline 221:1 224:8 & definition & 301:7,12 & 70:16 75:5,7 & 140:9 148:1,24 \\
\hline 239:12 262:23 & 247:17 & depends 158:23 & 76:9,10 149:7 & 149:14 150:19 \\
\hline 276:20 279:16 & definitions & 190:4 & 150:21 159:6 & 151:2,5,24 \\
\hline 296:16 337:14 & 151:8 & deposed 57:2 & 159:11 160:9 & 152:4,5 159:14 \\
\hline days 35:13 36:9 & deflated 255:14 & 312:2,7 & 161:9 162:24 & 160:9 172:14 \\
\hline 197:24 198:2 & deflection & deposition 55:8 & 163:5 166:1 & 206:16 207:19 \\
\hline 205:22 286:7 & 169:13,14,18 & 55:14 58:16,17 & 190:11 201:14 & 222:13 261:7 \\
\hline DC 300:4 & 169:21 328:4 & 58:18 188:18 & 201:19 303:7 & 263:15 292:14 \\
\hline deadline 224:14 & degrade 304:12 & 250:16 311:13 & 303:10,14 & devices 150:2,22 \\
\hline deal 267:12 & degree 161:19 & 311:16,21,23 & 304:20,24 & diagnostic \\
\hline 301:17 & 288:7 307:24 & 312:5,8,14 & 328:24 330:10 & 160:24 172:13 \\
\hline dealing 227:6 & delay 5:13,22 & depositions & 332:15 & 172:21 \\
\hline 277:3 298:14 & delineated 322:9 & 296:13 & detail 158:18 & diameter 205:3 \\
\hline deals 218:24 & delineation & derived 30 & 281:7 292:1 & diameters 236:1 \\
\hline 271:20 & 282:1 & descendants & details 200:9 & differ 160:5 \\
\hline dealt 73:3 & delivered 215:8 & 233:4 & 226:14 229:4 & 259:19 \\
\hline 222:20 & demand 69:4 & describe 16:20 & detector 169:10 & differed 55:4 \\
\hline debt 245:18 & demands 219:9 & 277:17,21 & 169:14 & 56:20 57:9 \\
\hline debts 223:2 & 220:7 & 284:15 288:13 & determination & difference 31:3 \\
\hline December 5:15 & demonstrate & 288:20 289:6 & 74:20 156:3 & 38:6,7 138:22 \\
\hline 18:16 63:8,24 & 163:15 200:16 & 291:9 292:22 & 198:21 281:20 & 139:1 171:10 \\
\hline 64:6 66:15 & 201:1,22 & 295:2 297:16 & 298:12 302:15 & 171:18 173:9 \\
\hline 76:17,18 77:6 & 202:13 203:1 & 303:20 304:15 & determine 44:12 & 204:17 260:4,7 \\
\hline 83:15,23 136:7 & 203:17 211:9 & 317:20 & 157:12 161:22 & 281:19 317:8 \\
\hline 140:14 177:2 & 275:22 294:18 & described 37:15 & 172:14 201:13 & 324:2 \\
\hline 178:8 180:21 & 310:2 & 326:21 & 203:12 284:3 & differences \\
\hline 180:23 190:7 & demonstrated & describes 281:23 & 293:19,23 & 29:12,19 38:6 \\
\hline 254:21 269:13 & 5:17 72:12 & description 16:6 & 303:7 306:10 & 41:13,13 56:23 \\
\hline 270:5 304:23 & 73:20,21 74:11 & 215:23 & 333:6 & 57:1 161:9 \\
\hline decide 274:22 & 163:14,16 & descriptive & determined & different 9:10 \\
\hline decided 142:4 & 256:24 & 219:5 & 25:10 141:15 & 17:3 38:4,5 \\
\hline decision 4:18 & demonstrating & design 17:21 & 142:21 168:15 & 52:12 168:24 \\
\hline 83:12 86:1 & 200:20 203:4,8 & 29:4 44:14 & 173:1 190:3 & 169:19,19 \\
\hline decisions 228:4 & 307:7 319:17 & 156:17,19 & 284:1 & 196:12,16 \\
\hline 281:12 & demonstration & 157:3,4 250:24 & determining & 220:9 221:20 \\
\hline decks 32:5 & 159:5 186:8 & designed 75:8 & 292:12 293:14 & 224:11 256:12 \\
\hline deem 160:17 & 317:24 & 75:11,13 & detriment & 294:9 297:21 \\
\hline deemed 137:13 & demonstrative & 234:22 & 223:24 282:4 & 301:23 302:10 \\
\hline 184:19 & 316:5,15 & desperate 226:8 & develop 20:18 & 322:17 331:15 \\
\hline defer 49:9 & denied 279:7 & destroy 69:20 & 41:20 & differential \\
\hline deferment & 280:5 & 74:1,5,14 75:9 & developed 211:2 & 300:1 328:3 \\
\hline 283:23 & density 16:23 & 153:15 189:1 & developing & difficult 56:3 \\
\hline definable 329:7 & deny \(280: 7\) & 221:17 & 333:14 & 224:3,4 335:23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline difficulty 29:4 & 55:14 72:4 & 304:11 306:15 & 16:11 88:14 & ducts 193:4,5 \\
\hline dilution 325:12 & 88:7 181:23 & 307:1,4 310:11 & 135:6 & ductwork \\
\hline direct 3:3,3 8:23 & 240:18 255:23 & 310:18 311:4 & dots 224:20 & 207:22 235:15 \\
\hline 9:1 15:14 & 258:18 259:9 & 315:7 & dozen 187:4 & 235:19 249:19 \\
\hline 49:11,18 69:8 & 260:19 300:17 & documentation & draft 310:10,12 & 249:23,24 \\
\hline 143:23 176:4 & 324:5 & 291:20 295:19 & drain 33:8 & 250:2 \\
\hline 177:4 207:13 & discusses 149:8 & 306:5,7 & dramatically & due 51:12 \\
\hline 217:3 249:13 & 259:11 & documenting & 213:8 & 257:22 258:8 \\
\hline 252:7 267:22 & discussing 81:15 & 293:14 303:12 & draw 27:2 39:17 & 335:15,17,18 \\
\hline 276:11 285:13 & 265:16 300:1 & documents 10:7 & 253:15 256:4 & 335:20 \\
\hline 287:16 290:21 & discussion 9:5 & 13:14 27:20 & Drawing 310:9 & duly 15:9,12 \\
\hline 292:18 293:5 & 55:4 65:17 & 59:7 60:1 & dries 34:2 & 143:18,21 \\
\hline 319:1 & 69:22 88:18 & 75:24 76:22 & Drinker 2:7 & 252:2,5 287:11 \\
\hline directed 4:24 & 139:4 153:9 & 88:9 138:23 & 6:10 253:21 & 287:14 \\
\hline 7:13 18:7 & 245:2 246:3 & 212:21,23,24 & Drive 2:8 & duties 288:13 \\
\hline 239:15,19 & 250:12 251:17 & 213:1 237:11 & drop 11:6 & dutifully 222:9 \\
\hline 249:18 266:7 & 334:19 335:6 & 239:17 252:16 & drum 33:15 & duty 165:17 \\
\hline 320:24 & discussions & 292:6 333:14 & dry 33:24 34:7 & 289:4 \\
\hline directing 230:20 & 195:12 212:18 & dog 326:15,16 & 45:12,18,19 & \\
\hline direction 241:7 & 221:4,7 260:24 & doing 45:13 & 46:3 160:12 & E \\
\hline directly 45:24 & dispute 259:24 & 158:21,23 & 298:6 300:4,6 & E 2:1,1 3:1,12 \\
\hline 191:14 193:10 & 323:11 & 160:23 161:9 & 300:7 & 303:2,21 \\
\hline dirty 293:13 & distant 228:4 & 161:10,17 & dryer 5:5,10,17 & 329:13 \\
\hline disadvantage & distinct 282:8 & 165:14 167:12 & 5:24 70:13 & e-mailed 215:7 \\
\hline 154:7 & distinction & 172:12 173:5 & 73:16 74:5 & E-N-G-L-O-B... \\
\hline disagree 167:8 & 256:11 281:23 & 183:7 190:9,9 & 75:8,14 77:24 & 232:23 \\
\hline 323:20 & distributors & 203:7,8 204:21 & 78:6 79:7 & earlier 44:17 \\
\hline disagreements & 177:17 & 205:6,14,22 & 81:14 82:1,19 & 53:5 55:13 \\
\hline 171:6 & divided 303:8 & 209:1 225:19 & 139:21 147:24 & 88:8 169:2 \\
\hline discipline 25:23 & docket 179:10 & 228:24,24 & 153:10,14 & 238:7254:7 \\
\hline disciplined & document 13:15 & 266:6 289:24 & 158:7 189:11 & 262:22 280:13 \\
\hline 142:3 & 19:5,8 21:18 & 295:23 304:1 & 234:9 249:22 & 284:4,6 299:8 \\
\hline disclosed 148:10 & 21:19 23:2 & 309:9 320:6 & 292:13 327:13 & 302:7 305:15 \\
\hline discloses 10:21 & 27:24 28:1 & 322:5 & drying 43:22 & 305:20 306:19 \\
\hline disclosure 231:5 & 47:8,11 52:15 & dollar 226:8 & 70:9 77:20 & 308:8 321:14 \\
\hline disclosures & 54:23 58:24 & 258:8 270:12 & 81:11 148:23 & 328:9 \\
\hline 230:24 315:17 & 60:21 61:5 & 270:13 & 158:9,14 & ears 240:6 \\
\hline 316:1 & 62:9 63:7,13 & dollars 216:18 & 193:15 194:1,2 & 278:14 \\
\hline disconnect & 64:15 71:20 & 222:10 247:10 & 207:24 208:2 & easier 86:15 \\
\hline 204:7 & 73:8 77:3,8,10 & 247:20 270:2 & 208:16 304:21 & 224:5 236:19 \\
\hline disconnected & 145:1,9,12 & 284:7 & DS 232:21 & 236:22 \\
\hline 207:21 & 147:3,12 & Dominic 8:13 & DSCFM 300:4 & easily 160:15 \\
\hline discovery 9:21 & 162:14,17 & 10:18 14:10 & duct 152:3 & easy 207:9,9 \\
\hline discreet 5:2 & 167:3,17 & 15:18 227:11 & 196:14 235:20 & economic 5:2 \\
\hline discretion & 178:13 182:17 & 228:15 232:16 & 236:1 & 219:15,22 \\
\hline 222:22 297:23 & 185:20 237:5 & 248:14 & ducted 23:19 & 220:1,9,14,16 \\
\hline discuss 176:10 & 242:6 253:18 & Donnelley's & 45:24 & 225:12 253:12 \\
\hline 297:15 & 256:8 291:7 & 198:5 & ducting 85:16 & 253:22 254:15 \\
\hline discussed 55:1 & 292:23 293:19 & door 8:20 9:4 & 148:21 207:16 & 255:6,16,21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 256:17,19,22 & 42:9 43:2 & 305:16 312:20 & 163:21 164:16 & 217:21 223:15 \\
\hline 257:23 258:12 & 75:13 78:5,11 & 325:14 329:1 & 288:8 293:11 & 237:9 243:2,24 \\
\hline 258:18 259:3 & 139:20 156:23 & 331:1 333:7,8 & 304:22 307:24 & 244:1,4 245:4 \\
\hline 259:19 261:21 & 235:1 & emitted 298:16 & 308:1 312:18 & 245:8 254:5 \\
\hline 264:5,8,22 & efficiently 82:13 & 327:17 & 312:23 313:16 & 272:4 287:23 \\
\hline 265:19,24 & effort 41:24 57:7 & emitter 187:9 & 314:10 319:14 & 288:11,14,15 \\
\hline 266:14 267:4 & 83:1 142:3 & empirical 39:24 & 319:16 320:6 & EPA 20:18 \\
\hline 267:12 268:5,7 & efforts 233:2 & 40:7 53:18 & 321:3 330:3,6 & 48:23 50:15 \\
\hline 269:10,15,18 & ego 209:2 & empirically & English 271:8 & 51:6 63:10 \\
\hline 270:3 272:6,13 & eight 33:1 84:7 & 171:23 174:10 & EnGlobal & 64:12 65:7 \\
\hline 272:15,24 & 165:15 191:20 & 174:17 & 232:22 & 71:14 86:18,21 \\
\hline 273:1,17 274:9 & eight-color 84:6 & employed 144:5 & enjoy 237:15 & 87:3 155:23 \\
\hline 274:17 275:9 & eight-pound & 144:6 217:16 & 284:6 & 200:12,24 \\
\hline 275:18,19 & 247:5,15 & 252:24 253:5,8 & enjoyed 256:19 & 201:21 202:2 \\
\hline 281:3,20,24 & either 13:3 & 259:18 287:21 & 283:12 & 203:23 215:16 \\
\hline 282:2,11,24 & 27:17 152:6 & 288:1 289:13 & ensure 246:23 & 222:23 224:24 \\
\hline 283:5,21 284:2 & 163:7 199:6 & employee 25:11 & 289:11 304:12 & 227:5 228:9 \\
\hline economy 217:12 & electricity 42:3 & 337:9 & ensures 217:15 & 242:21 245:10 \\
\hline Ed 232:14 & electronically & employees & ensuring 288:16 & 245:14 246:8 \\
\hline educational & 335:20 & 218:21 223:14 & entail 16:9 & 247:6,7,12 \\
\hline 288:4 & eliminated 26:8 & 228:8 & 159:12 & 288:2 \\
\hline Edwin 19:10 & 143:1 211:18 & enclosure 84:22 & entailed 16:5 & equal 66:23 \\
\hline effect 10:6 32:18 & 222:24 & 85:4,7,11 & 158:21 & equals 191:21 \\
\hline 82:10 210:14 & Elmhurst 1:13 & 159:7,8 161:15 & entails 17:2 & equipment 28:9 \\
\hline 299:11 & 1:15 334:24 & 161:18 173:12 & 289:17 & 29:23 31:11 \\
\hline effective 25:24 & emission 61:9 & 204:11,14,22 & enter 13:17 & 32:3 33:8,22 \\
\hline 36:21 41:5,10 & 72:4,11 145:6 & 205:7,8 206:2 & 43:24 145:17 & 34:1,1,8,17 \\
\hline 188:3 & 172:14 182:19 & 206:5,23 & 294:23 & 41:4,20 43:2,4 \\
\hline effectively & 183:6,11,15 & 222:15 254:23 & entered 225:7 & 44:13 67:11 \\
\hline 142:23 & 187:17 222:11 & 256:14 277:9,9 & 225:17 282:17 & 73:4,6 140:5 \\
\hline efficiencies & 262:9 288:17 & ended 47:2 & entering 45:8 & 161:12 \\
\hline 149:7 200:19 & 288:18,22 & endorsed 257:8 & entire 5:10 & equipped \\
\hline efficiency \(25: 7\) & 289:9,10 326:3 & energy 41:21 & 17:19,22 & 148:22 197:16 \\
\hline 29:20 36:20 & emissions 20:20 & 42:8,20 43:8 & 217:22 & 197:18 \\
\hline 38:7 41:22 & 21:1,4 43:14 & 44:10,13 45:2 & entirety 200:1 & equivalent \\
\hline 44:14 74:20 & 48:23 50:11,14 & 45:5,21 46:7,9 & entitled 4:6 & 282:19 \\
\hline 82:16 142:2 & 51:5,10,16 & 46:11,13,14 & 32:24 & ergonomically \\
\hline 145:5 150:20 & 52:19 53:13 & 139:20 158:6,7 & entry 22:13 & 42:9 \\
\hline 150:21,21 & 55:3,6 56:20 & 158:8 237:10 & environment & ergonomics \\
\hline 156:3 166:10 & 61:3 62:10,12 & enforcement & 45:10 217:14 & 41:22 \\
\hline 171:4 198:21 & 62:17,21 63:1 & 4:16 68:2 & 244:13 245:3 & ERMS 48:7,23 \\
\hline 205:12 235:2 & 78:24 156:20 & 200:14 220:22 & 245:24 246:7 & 164:6,8,11,13 \\
\hline 294:15 298:10 & 164:3 183:2,4 & Enforcement-... & 304:12 & 294:19 312:19 \\
\hline 298:13 301:4 & 183:22,24 & 1:6 & environmental & 332:16,19,21 \\
\hline 303:7,10,14 & 201:9 221:15 & engaged 31:16 & 4:12 43:10,15 & 332:24 333:7 \\
\hline 304:5,20,24 & 221:18,24 & Engineer's & 137:14,15 & 333:20,20 \\
\hline 323:6,18 & 247:18 248:20 & 157:8 & 139:5 140:16 & 334:6 \\
\hline 328:24 & 263:13 288:21 & engineering & 144:12 198:24 & erroneous 26:9 \\
\hline efficient 29:22 & 288:23 289:3,7 & 155:14 161:17 & 215:24 217:10 & error 36:15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline errors 167:11 & 292:24 310:20 & 136:1 143:23 & 24:6,10,12 & exhibits 19:1 \\
\hline especially & evaluations & 152:14 212:7 & 26:19,19 27:24 & 38:14 59:14,16 \\
\hline 156:11 226:2 & 235:19 & 232:1 252:7 & 28:4 29:10 & 60:7216:5 \\
\hline 295:23 & evaporate 45:3 & 280:23 287:16 & 39:9 40:10 & 252:10 259:9 \\
\hline essentially \(85: 8\) & 45:5,11,13 & 315:13 317:1 & 47:5 52:1 & 265:14 266:3 \\
\hline 158:19 161:2 & evenhanded & 319:1 333:18 & 57:21 58:5,13 & 266:18,21 \\
\hline 224:23 234:6 & 226:9 & examine 217:10 & 59:2,2,19 60:4 & exist 175:3 \\
\hline 247:20 260:7 & event 60:19 & examined 15:12 & 60:16,20 61:21 & existed 72:18 \\
\hline 320:15 & 280:22 & 143:21 252:5 & 62:2,4,16 63:2 & existence 188:24 \\
\hline establish 205:16 & events 76:19 & 287:14 318:23 & 63:3,17,22 & exists 142:17 \\
\hline 262:2 & 77:2 & Examiner 3:3 & 71:8,21 80:3 & 331:8 \\
\hline established & eventually \(327: 8\) & example 81:3 & 87:10 88:3,5 & exit 327:13 \\
\hline 167:20 & everybody \(274: 3\) & 173:6187:24 & 136:4,20,22,22 & expand 184:10 \\
\hline estimate 25:18 & evidence 12:24 & 247:3 283:17 & 136:24 138:3,6 & expanded 196:7 \\
\hline 40:14 162:8,11 & 13:18 22:21 & 284:4 306:8 & 138:10,18 & expansion 196:8 \\
\hline 165:22 200:21 & 24:14 40:7 & examples 239:16 & 144:19,23 & expect \(29: 1,21\) \\
\hline 204:10 206:12 & 56:5,10 59:24 & exceeded 26:24 & 145:22 146:1,5 & 37:13 \\
\hline 255:1 261:16 & 61:21 62:5 & exceeds 304:21 & 146:6,15,18 & expectations \\
\hline 284:23 307:11 & 63:3 87:10,13 & Excel 181:20 & 147:11,13 & 86:14 \\
\hline 312:18 318:3 & 88:6 146:3,19 & exception 68:24 & 154:21 155:21 & expected 30:21 \\
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\hline 19:21 20:5,22 & 262:13,15,18 & 13:16,17,20 & 243:3,10 244:7 & held 16:16 337:3 \\
\hline 22:12,22 \(24: 5\) & 263:21 268:9 & 14:19,23 15:6 & 249:6 250:6,9 & help 42:5 67:13 \\
\hline 24:16,20 38:22 & 271:24 272:9 & 18:6 20:3,8,12 & 251:11,14,19 & 71:11 227:12 \\
\hline 38:23 39:4,6 & 273:6 274:23 & 22:14,16 \(24: 7\) & 251:23 257:15 & 228:11 244:3 \\
\hline 48:13,14,18 & 274:24 275:2 & 24:9,15 38:21 & 259:12 260:21 & 248:1 294:2 \\
\hline 49:9,15,17 & 276:12 279:8,9 & 39:3 44:17 & 262:12,16 & helped 206:20 \\
\hline 55:11,18 56:13 & 279:20,21 & 48:16 49:14,19 & 263:23 266:2,7 & 248:2 \\
\hline 59:6 61:23,24 & 280:6,10,17,24 & 49:23 50:3 & 268:18,22 & helps 72:22 \\
\hline 63:15,19 65:14 & 284:9 285:6,7 & 55:16 56:11 & 270:14,18 & 316:6 \\
\hline 79:11,21 87:24 & 285:10,12,15 & 59:12,14,16,18 & 271:9,13 272:9 & hereof \(337: 5\) \\
\hline 88:1 135:14,18 & 285:18 286:21 & 59:22 60:2,12 & 272:12,17,19 & hereunder \\
\hline 136:2 137:2 & 286:22 307:21 & 60:17,23 61:22 & 273:5 274:12 & 337:13 \\
\hline 138:10,11 & 307:23 308:19 & 62:1 63:4,23 & 274:13,21 & hesitate 186:23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline hey 210:6 & 297:11 306:18 & ignores 265:2,10 & 263:19 320:24 & 265:15 274:18 \\
\hline Hi 176:9 264:3 & hourly 284:17 & ignoring 211:12 & Imburgia's & including 28:8 \\
\hline high 161:19 & hours 33:1,2 & 265:11 267:8 & 185:16 210:12 & 67:10 144:12 \\
\hline higher 42:10 & 34:4,18,21,24 & III 150:15 & immediately & 198:23 218:17 \\
\hline 62:21 162:12 & 35:2,7,8,10 & Illinois 1:1,3,7 & 25:5 78:24,24 & 233:15 243:23 \\
\hline 170:17 171:2,9 & 36:6,7,8,15,19 & 1:15,22,23 2:2 & impact 242:17 & income 10:12 \\
\hline 174:7,7 196:18 & 36:22 53:7 & 2:4,9 4:4,6,11 & impetus 194:17 & 11:15,16 \\
\hline 298:21,23 & 175:14 177:1 & 5:7,19 48:23 & important 26:4 & inconsistent \\
\hline 303:13 325:1 & 177:20,21 & 50:15 51:6 & 84:2 158:4 & 171:19 \\
\hline highest 30:2 & 199:22 277:12 & 63:10 64:11 & 227:21 228:10 & incorrect 168:2 \\
\hline hindsight 85:21 & 284:24 & 65:771:14 & 234:10 303:16 & 171:21 229:6 \\
\hline 86:14 & House 244:15 & 83:6,21 86:18 & 305:18 & 300:20 312:16 \\
\hline hired 137:15 & Huff 233:15 & 87:3 140:16 & impose 282:9 & 323:14,18 \\
\hline 247:13 & 331:4,5 & 144:8 148:1 & imposed 275:4 & 329:18 \\
\hline historical 37:6 & huge 226:2 & 165:8,11 & 275:11 & increase 261:6 \\
\hline Historically & Hundreds 165:1 & 198:24 200:12 & imposition & 305:2 326:2 \\
\hline 38:13 & hurting 224:19 & 200:23 202:1 & 275:9 281:19 & 329:6 \\
\hline history 40:3,9 & hydrocarbon & 203:22 215:20 & impossible & increased 29:22 \\
\hline 269:20 & 320:2 & 221:16 222:23 & 328:17 & 245:16 \\
\hline hit 327:8 & hydrocarbons & 222:24 223:21 & impression & incredible \\
\hline hold 65:14 & 160:22 167:10 & 224:24 227:5 & 179:22 194:4 & 245:16 246:8 \\
\hline 135:18 242:9 & hypothetical & 242:21 247:5,6 & improper 303:4 & incurred 282:5 \\
\hline home 210:9 & 273:4 & 247:7,12,16 & improperly & 284:4,6 \\
\hline Honestly 87:5 & hypothetically & 257:8 287:23 & 303:11 & Indiana 224:3 \\
\hline honesty 322:12 & 265:11 266:7 & 288:2,7,17,19 & improvements & indicate 73:16 \\
\hline honor 228:11 & I & 289:4,19 314:7 & 41:14 & 88:13 183:6 \\
\hline honored 233:20 & 1 & 322:22 & inaccurate & 295:22 \\
\hline hook 44:16 & I-M-B-U-R & imagine 186:10 & 303:4 & indicated 74:4 \\
\hline 81:19 & 15:21 & 196:6 240:9 & incinerator & 75:2 83:9 \\
\hline hooked 47:15 & IAC 151:4 & Imburgia 3:4 & 221:17 & 293:11 299:13 \\
\hline 81:18 82:3,11 & ice 17:6 & 7:6,11 8:7,13 & incinerators & 305:20 306:18 \\
\hline 234:19 & ID 3:13 & 8:21 10:19 & 247:9,21 & indicates 74:12 \\
\hline hooking 86:1 & idea 209:15 & 14:10 15:4,7 & include 11:12 & 261:4 294:20 \\
\hline hope 212:20 & identical 60:8 & 15:11,16,18 & 165:7 240:15 & 306:16 \\
\hline hopefully 4:19 & 146:5,24 & 20:9 50:9,10 & 266:14,19 & indicating 71:23 \\
\hline 12:19 231:3 & 178:19 & 57:20 61:2 & 270:24 271:1,3 & indicator 184:22 \\
\hline 246:12 & identification & 62:8 63:8 & 274:17 326:22 & 323:24 \\
\hline hoping 212:19 & 12:23 237:3 & 65:22 69:7 & included 64:18 & indicators 174:8 \\
\hline Horwath 253:2 & identified 56:23 & 71:12 80:4 & 164:2,5 231:4 & individually \\
\hline hot 194:8 234:9 & 62:13 189:10 & 86:17 135:10 & 254:18 255:1 & 28:11 54:5 \\
\hline hour 30:15 & 236:18 250:23 & 135:11 141:6 & 255:13 256:15 & industry 17:6 \\
\hline 160:19 161:2 & identify \(73: 6\) & 153:5 155:5 & 256:18 257:24 & 30:19 31:5 \\
\hline 168:2,5,18 & 81:9 182:17 & 189:10 190:19 & 260:20,20 & 41:6,7 157:18 \\
\hline 184:18 185:8 & 231:13 & 190:20 193:24 & 265:14 269:22 & 179:21 215:17 \\
\hline 191:9 197:3 & idiot 209:3 & 194:7 208:20 & 270:9 271:19 & 228:2 \\
\hline 247:5,15 & IEPA 19:11 & 209:24 210:6 & 273:15,19 & inflammatory \\
\hline 284:18 295:20 & 53:14 54:17 & 221:15 227:11 & 274:1 & 219:7 \\
\hline 295:21 296:6 & 72:21 189:2 & 232:17,17 & includes 205:20 & inflate 303:11 \\
\hline 296:10,14,24 & ignore 266:8 & 254:7 262:23 & 256:16 265:6 & inflated 255:13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 299:12 303:6 & initial 73:20 & 296:5 297:20 & internally & 219:14 223:8 \\
\hline inflating 329:1 & initially \(254: 2\) & 301:24 302:15 & 137:16 & 258:10 261:16 \\
\hline inflation 85:12 & initiation 173:21 & inputs 257:11 & International & 263:7 275:5 \\
\hline influencing & ink 33:9,23 44:5 & 275:17 & 232:24 & 290:19 297:19 \\
\hline 205:18 & 44:24 45:3,6 & inputted 161:23 & interpretation & 300:16 319:22 \\
\hline informal 70:23 & 45:13 46:3,16 & 162:4 & 185:22 & 327:21 332:12 \\
\hline 76:16,18 77:1 & 72:5 73:13 & insatiable 223:1 & interrogatories & issued 225:8 \\
\hline 83:9,14 86:8 & 161:24 162:2 & install 151:23 & 175:7,13 & issues 48:11 \\
\hline 87:4 140:18 & 168:3,8,13,14 & 194:13,14 & intransigence & 144:11 194:11 \\
\hline 158:17 160:4 & 168:14,20 & installation & 226:22 & 243:23,24 \\
\hline 160:17 163:13 & 175:22 177:10 & 194:17 & intransigent & 244:4 \\
\hline 191:1,4 199:19 & 177:13,15 & installed 84:21 & 225:1 & item 29:3,3 \\
\hline 200:8 202:12 & 180:17 184:19 & 151:24 194:16 & introduce 6:2 & 254:18,21 \\
\hline 203:2 290:17 & 191:15,16 & 194:19 234:7 & 59:1 169:16 & 255:2 256:12 \\
\hline 291:10,17 & 192:2,12 & installing 206:1 & 257:13 & items 10:15 \\
\hline 292:9,11 293:1 & 296:14 297:10 & 261:18 283:12 & introduced & 258:24 \\
\hline 299:23 302:3 & 301:13,14,16 & instance 172:24 & 167:12 311:1 & \\
\hline 304:22 305:12 & 302:6,10,14 & 321:16 & introducing & J \\
\hline 306:23,24 & 321:14,17,21 & Institute 237:22 & 217:5 & Jesuits 229:2,11 \\
\hline 307:2,11 & 321:21 323:13 & 243:20,21 & intrusive 161:16 & Jim 233:15 \\
\hline 310:20 329:14 & 323:16,23 & instrument & invalidates & job 16:6 30:9,10 \\
\hline 331:1,12,22 & 325:11,12 & 160:24 169:23 & 301:2 & 30:11 32:16,19 \\
\hline 332:7,10 & 326:7 329:8 & insurmountable & invasions & 32:21 174:17 \\
\hline information & 331:11 & 304:2 & 217:13 & 210:5 217:16 \\
\hline 9:10,19 10:3 & inks 30:8 33:11 & intake 45:23 & investigation & 228:9 245:11 \\
\hline 10:20,24 11:15 & 34:7 168:16 & Integrated & 76:6 & 246:23 288:13 \\
\hline 12:8,15 13:11 & 187:15 193:21 & 232:19 & investment 41:7 & 289:4 290:1 \\
\hline 13:14 14:10 & 301:19,23 & integrity 322:5 & 42:1 304:7 & 300:23 331:14 \\
\hline 19:9,10,23 & 302:3 324:1,7 & 322:11 & invited 244:6 & jobs 30:14,16 \\
\hline 20:16 21:13 & 324:12,16,18 & intend 8:15 & invoice 254:4 & 219:2,6 \\
\hline 22:1,5 25:14 & 331:15 & 212:23 285:17 & 277:5 & Joe 7:5,11 8:7 \\
\hline 31:14 38:5,20 & inlet 159:14 & intended 73:15 & invoices 175:22 & 62:7 149:5 \\
\hline 39:24 40:6 & 160:8 162:6 & 203:11 204:4 & 177:14,15 & 153:9 185:13 \\
\hline 53:3,5,6,8,9,14 & 168:21 171:8 & 224:17 & 178:1 & 190:20 195:12 \\
\hline 53:15,16,18,18 & 171:12 192:22 & intending 19:23 & involve 289:15 & 232:17 248:15 \\
\hline 54:16,17 56:24 & 193:4 207:6,17 & intention 68:5,8 & involved 40:18 & 323:4 \\
\hline 57:8 64:17 & 249:11,13,20 & 68:13 84:8 & 40:20 69:12 & John 232:16 \\
\hline 71:2,24 76:21 & 250:21,22 & 243:9 & 206:18 288:16 & 253:18,21 \\
\hline 142:7,19 150:4 & 251:2 296:5 & interest 257:22 & 289:6 314:16 & 256:6,10 \\
\hline 185:8 188:13 & 297:20 299:7 & 258:5 337:11 & 314:19 & join 144:16 \\
\hline 197:1 208:13 & 300:6,10 303:6 & interested & involvement & joined 138:13 \\
\hline 209:21 234:1 & 303:8,8,12,15 & 202:17 & 69:8 176:10 & Joseph 3:4 \\
\hline 259:15 262:6 & 306:3 326:22 & interesting & ionization & 15:11,18 50:9 \\
\hline 277:2 292:15 & 327:12 329:1,7 & 315:12 & 169:10 & Journal 218:7 \\
\hline 295:15 296:4,8 & 329:7,10 & intermediate & issue 18:13 51:8 & judged 214:5 \\
\hline 296:24 302:2,5 & input 168:1,3 & 260:3 & 51:9 72:7 & July 335:18 \\
\hline 305:13 310:23 & 191:7,20 & internal 73:7,14 & 80:12 85:19 & jumped 325:1 \\
\hline 333:10,13 & 192:11 259:24 & 74:1,13 79:19 & 87:8 200:18 & June 71:20 \\
\hline ingenuity 228:6 & 282:7 284:7 & 189:9,17 & 211:11,19 & 76:16,24 77:8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 190:5 244:16 & 142:20 149:4 & knowledge & 322:19 & 242:15 \\
\hline 335:13,16,17 & 152:8,18,23,23 & 44:23 67:24 & leading 38:19 & limit 327:8 \\
\hline justified 304:8 & 153:17 154:6 & 82:24 145:13 & 39:4 178:6 & limitation 210:2 \\
\hline justifies 245:9 & 157:24 158:18 & 165:21 167:14 & leads 195:14 & limited 9:1 \\
\hline 245:15 & 164:11 165:4 & 176:14,19 & learned 335:24 & 48:10 \\
\hline K & 167:10 169:3 & 177:1,4,6,11 & leave 87:17 & Linda 233:15 \\
\hline K & 169:24 171:23 & 178:7 179:8,13 & 143:15 144:15 & line 10:14 \\
\hline K-A-L-E-B-I-... & 171:23 172:15 & 179:18 180:11 & 241:11 276:3 & 174:18 \\
\hline 232:14 & 172:21 174:4 & 180:14 185:24 & leaves 25:5 & linear 64:22 \\
\hline Kalebich 232:14 & 174:11,12,17 & 190:22 194:4,6 & left 212:14 & 65:4 \\
\hline Kari 1:13 & 176:21 177:9 & 195:10 206:13 & 232:10 246:2 & lines 254:2 \\
\hline 135:19 268:22 & 178:1 179:24 & 207:13 221:5,8 & 299:9 & liquid 295:23 \\
\hline 278:14 287:10 & 180:2,4 181:17 & 233:22 277:8 & legal 222:12 & 298:14 \\
\hline 337:1,21 & 181:22 182:11 & 277:11,15,16 & length 220:11 & liquid-gas \\
\hline Kathleen 233:16 & 186:4,15,16,17 & 277:22 278:2,5 & 250:1 309:22 & 161:22 167:2 \\
\hline keep 65:1 & 186:17 187:15 & 307:1 309:16 & lengthy \(161: 16\) & list 72:13 73:4 \\
\hline 209:11 228:9 & 187:16,16,20 & 317:21 & let's 65:11 80:2 & 73:19 77:16 \\
\hline 235:4 & 187:23 188:2,5 & known 20:19 & 146:12 178:15 & 81:8 183:24 \\
\hline kept 23:4 141:17 & 197:23 198:15 & 28:6 223:13 & 178:20 181:5,8 & 188:5 212:22 \\
\hline 141:21 & 201:2 202:15 & 315:14 325:15 & 191:3 198:17 & 212:24 214:7 \\
\hline Kevin 3:9 & 202:17 203:7 & knows 314:13 & 200:7 208:6 & 228:14 237:10 \\
\hline 159:23 165:16 & 206:6,7,10 & & 211:10 218:23 & 237:16 239:12 \\
\hline 204:24 287:7 & 209:17 210:6 & L & 222:21 226:17 & 241:7,16,17 \\
\hline 287:13,20 & 211:13,21 & L-A-R-O-I & 227:14 236:18 & 243:18 324:9 \\
\hline Kevin's 322:23 & 214:18 218:10 & 232:16 & 250:11 251:16 & listed 61:11,14 \\
\hline key 259:2 & 219:3,12,21 & L.L.P 2:7 & 264:12 266:8 & 62:17 66:3 \\
\hline 260:24 261:1 & 220:12,15 & labor 42:3,9 & 274:13 284:21 & 71:22 72:14,16 \\
\hline kind 14:20 & 223:12 224:10 & 43:6 & 319:6 335:4 & 73:10,11,13,15 \\
\hline 154:17 160:24 & 224:18 226:3 & lack 242:10 & letter 19:17 47:6 & 77:13 80:9 \\
\hline 172:15,16,19 & 227:13 231:15 & 295:8 & 47:7 63:9 & 136:13,15 \\
\hline 194:20 195:17 & 238:19 241:13 & lacks 167:4 & 64:11 65:7,9 & 155:6 168:6 \\
\hline 196:19 200:7 & 241:17 242:20 & laid 270:22 & 65:12 66:15 & 190:14 191:8 \\
\hline 211:14 219:18 & 242:23 245:11 & laminar 236:1,8 & 136:6,8 137:4 & 208:15 253:24 \\
\hline 224:17 226:22 & 246:21 249:19 & language 186:21 & 137:6 138:5,7 & 257:3 \\
\hline 233:1 242:10 & 262:13 267:12 & large 275:13 & 141:11 230:21 & listening 263:19 \\
\hline 319:12 & 271:8,13 & 301:21 & 253:18,20,24 & 319:4 \\
\hline kind's 211:15 & 274:11 276:3 & largely 215:19 & 255:11 256:5,9 & listing 168:1,7 \\
\hline kinds 281:16 & 276:19 277:19 & larger 196:18 & 277:2 286:17 & 168:17 \\
\hline Klumera 165:13 & 278:13 280:15 & 261:8 & 296:21,23 & lists 72:3 \\
\hline knew 140:8 & 294:5,8 296:7 & LaRoi 232:16 & 317:5 & lit 218:5 235:2,3 \\
\hline know 4:17 9:11 & 296:15 297:6 & late 49:21 224:8 & lettering 155:24 & literature 261:4 \\
\hline 9:14 14:2,6,24 & 302:13 303:1,3 & 262:14 276:20 & level 180:11,13 & lithographic \\
\hline \(24: 1731: 8\)
33.1135 .23 & 304:9 312:6,17 & law 6:9 229:11 & 221:5 301:7,18 & 157:21 \\
\hline \(33: 1135: 23\)
\(41: 17\) 21 48.1 & 316:7,10,11 & lawsuit 86:23,23 & 317:20 & litigation 144:13 \\
\hline 41:17,21 48:1 & 320:11 322:1 & lawyer 228:22 & levels 221:14 & little 7:23 60:10 \\
\hline 56:11 60:9 & 323:1 325:14 & 229:11 & limine 6:15 & 169:18 170:5 \\
\hline 68:21 77:2 & 325:23 326:1 & lawyers 218:18 & 212:11 213:1 & 201:10 204:6 \\
\hline 81:17 83:8,10 & 331:10,14,24 & layman's 45:16 & 213:15,19 & 217:13 218:5 \\
\hline 86:11 136:20 & 332:11 333:5 & lead 179:18,24 & 215:4 237:8 & 223:23 224:13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 224:16 245:22 & 320:6 & 26:12 174:20 & manufacturing & 314:11 319:13 \\
\hline 246:6 249:11 & looks 146:24 & 328:16,17 & 16:7 & 320:11 332:14 \\
\hline 300:14,19 & 147:8 149:9 & maintains 21:16 & March 4:22 5:11 & 335:23 337:3 \\
\hline 302:23 & 181:19 322:23 & maintenance & 177:2 178:8 & 337:12 \\
\hline living 228:7 & loop 171:12,13 & 67:14,16 & 193:9 255:3 & matters 4:19 6:3 \\
\hline 308:8 & 171:16 208:3 & 174:16 193:3 & 258:19 266:10 & 6:14 14:21 \\
\hline load 31:6 & 249:22 326:19 & 235:15 236:11 & 269:12 270:5 & 237:10 \\
\hline loaf 17:9 & 326:23 327:1 & 246:5 249:14 & 291:4 313:21 & Mattison 3:9 \\
\hline location 295:12 & loose 183:16 & 249:18 & 317:5 & 159:23,24 \\
\hline 306:17 & lost 63:15 & major 182:3 & mark 10:3 12:14 & 165:16 166:15 \\
\hline long 7:18 30:11 & 283:13 & majority 4:24 & 12:17 24:19 & 167:24 168:19 \\
\hline 49:20 161:5 & Iot 46:9,10 53:7 & 87:8 157:23 & 156:3 157:6 & 171:7 287:7,9 \\
\hline 181:18 214:22 & 185:12 188:12 & 171:15 325:13 & 199:13 215:9 & 287:13,20,22 \\
\hline 220:20 240:8 & 198:7 200:9 & making 257:9 & 232:23 236:18 & 293:2 297:13 \\
\hline 288:1 289:24 & 205:8 210:11 & 271:24 295:9 & 242:5 & 300:13 302:20 \\
\hline 306:18 & 218:2 231:23 & 303:21 304:16 & marked 3:13 & 305:12 306:20 \\
\hline longer 141:7 & 246:4 295:11 & 304:18 328:16 & 10:7 12:7,22 & 315:17,19 \\
\hline 207:15,16 & 335:24 & manage 137:15 & 19:1,24 21:10 & 317:4 319:4,20 \\
\hline 226:9 302:11 & louder 7:24 & management & 22:24 47:5 & 321:24 324:6 \\
\hline 309:9 331:8 & love 229:7,8 & 144:14 & 52:1 58:24 & 326:17 \\
\hline \(\operatorname{look}\) 13:9 19:2 & low 16:23 & manager 16:4 & 60:3,7,15 & Mattison's \\
\hline 27:24 47:4 & lower 21:9,12,22 & 16:15,19 & 71:15 80:2 & 230:21 231:10 \\
\hline 60:19 62:16 & 21:24 37:23 & managing 28:16 & 88:23 144:18 & 316:6 321:7 \\
\hline 65:12 135:15 & 260:13,17 & mandrel 42:11 & 144:22 156:5 & maximum 39:19 \\
\hline 136:3 155:19 & 262:6 327:8 & manner 9:23 & 182:15 199:16 & 40:11,11 \\
\hline 162:14 163:6 & lowest \(257: 7\) & 44:19 140:2 & 212:21 237:2,6 & 296:14 297:10 \\
\hline 181:13 183:13 & 259:1,7 263:10 & 152:7 165:19 & 252:10 256:5 & 322:24 323:2 \\
\hline 184:12,20 & 274:15 275:19 & manual 257:5 & 292:19 319:5 & McCLURE 3:7 \\
\hline 191:7 197:10 & 282:24 & 261:3 281:4 & 319:19 321:8 & 7:7,12 47:19 \\
\hline 199:5 208:6,7 & Loyola 229:13 & manufacture & market 79:20 & 85:2 251:22,24 \\
\hline 209:2 218:3 & M & 16:9 & 179:23 & 252:4,20 \\
\hline 220:12 267:14 & M & manufacturer & mass 161:22 & 262:20 264:3 \\
\hline 274:22 276:6 & M 2:10 & 16:22 71:5 & 167:2,13 170:8 & 268:1 270:24 \\
\hline 280:14 282:12 & M-A-T-T-I-S & 73:10 74:4 & 295:24 298:14 & 277:1 281:3 \\
\hline 283:14 284:2 & 287:20 & 75:6 76:3 & 326:3 & 284:14 285:9 \\
\hline 286:2 294:7 & M-O-R-A-N & 140:4 & material 6:16 & McClure's 47:6 \\
\hline 298:16 322:23 & 232:15 & manufacturer's & 11:22 22:10 & 204:8,18 \\
\hline 324:18 & ma'am 331:20 & 70:12,15 72:13 & 25:20 247:19 & mean 18:20 \\
\hline looked 52:15 & machine 30:1 & 72:14,16,17 & 274:10 289:1 & 32:19 34:24 \\
\hline 56:17 136:12 & 33:16 297:4 & 73:21 74:9,15 & 299:5 301:14 & 68:21 151:15 \\
\hline 175:3 180:17 & machinery & 74:21 75:1,4 & 303:5,12 & 176:18 177:23 \\
\hline 267:20 & 80:10 & 75:22 76:8,15 & materials 13:4 & 179:3 180:2 \\
\hline looking 21:3 & magic 211:21 & 77:16 155:6 & 70:10 299:19 & 182:2 184:6 \\
\hline 63:7 136:7,20 & Magnehelic & 156:6,14,24 & math 22:11 & 187:11 189:18 \\
\hline 136:21 152:12 & 328:3 & 168:16 185:21 & 192:5 & 193:14 194:24 \\
\hline 161:19 166:23 & magnetic 169:13 & 186:7,13 187:2 & matt's 321:17 & 196:19,21 \\
\hline 168:15 185:3 & magnitude & 188:4,20 & matter 4:5 5:2 & 203:5 204:9 \\
\hline 200:15 285:15 & 167:13 & manufacturers & 27:18 257:6 & 208:23 209:19 \\
\hline 293:13 318:1 & maintained & 177:15 178:1 & 290:14 312:14 & 209:24 211:15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 222:17 231:19 & meetings 86:18 & middle 81:11 & 169:20 170:10 & 280:5 285:18 \\
\hline 240:6 241:17 & meets 151:8 & 156:2 197:13 & 170:12,16,24 & 286:4,16 \\
\hline 242:20 249:12 & 294:22 305:5 & mike 154:6 & moments 172:2 & moving 86:12 \\
\hline 277:14 278:1,6 & members 4:20 & 233:9 262:13 & monetary 43:2 & MSDS 323:24 \\
\hline 283:7309:19 & 276:4 & 308:19 & money 210:7 & 324:2 \\
\hline 323:10 332:14 & memorandum & million 222:10 & 223:18 224:20 & Muccianti \\
\hline meaning 31:6 & 152:11 & 247:9,20 & 283:22 304:10 & 227:11 232:17 \\
\hline 277:17,20 & memory 163:7 & Mine 199:15 & 304:10 & Muccianti's \\
\hline means 16:23 & men 227:24 & minimum 202:3 & monitoring & 221:15 \\
\hline 42:13 247:3 & 228:5 & 202:6 293:17 & 288:18 & multiple 163:10 \\
\hline 337:3 & ment 168:24 & minor 187:17 & month \(37: 2\) & multiplied 37:7 \\
\hline meant 152:10 & mention 8:2 & minus 36:9 & monthly \(21: 15\) & multiply 31:23 \\
\hline 185:23 311:23 & 13:22 198:20 & 303:8 & 21:23 23:7 & 35:5 258:9 \\
\hline measure 142:2 & mentioned 14:3 & minute 42:16,17 & 24:21 36:23,24 & Murawski \\
\hline 142:19 171:3 & 185:20 214:8 & 42:18,19 86:6 & months 13:5 & 233:16 \\
\hline 192:22 205:12 & 240:7 314:18 & 135:18 242:9 & 57:24 178:24 & \\
\hline 236:2 298:5 & 335:19 & 300:5,7,8 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m o o t }} 85: 8\) & N \\
\hline 328:7,7 & mere 46:11 & 302:24 & Moran 232:15 & N 2:1 3:1 \\
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\hline relates 39:11 & remembering & reported 22:6 & require 42:7 & 2:11 3:19 20:6 \\
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\hline 147:12 181:9 & 257:10 260:11 & 144:3 237:9 & 172:1 205:3,4 & 196:11,21,24 \\
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\hline 319:19 320:9 & retroactive & 193:10,21 & 207:5,7 234:23 & 161:10 199:23 \\
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\hline 221:23 231:14 & 156:15 182:24 & 331:21 & shift 27:16 32:1 & 35:17,20 \\
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\hline 256:6,10 & SO2 288:24 & 172:17,19 & 38:4 55:12,20 & square \(25: 19\) \\
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\hline sir 143:6 169:9 & 162:1,1 168:9 & 301:8 308:20 & specifically \(58: 2\) & 165:23 166:9 \\
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\hline site 174:14 & 182:8,9 183:8 & 219:7 227:12 & 217:20 218:15 & 199:19 200:8 \\
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\hline 327:14 328:8 & 275:7285:22 & stays 305:8 & 150:5 179:12 & substantiate \\
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\hline stands 235 & statement 7:1 & stop 33:21 54:1 & submitted 5 & 210:20 \\
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\hline 224:13 227:2,2 & 214:8 & 170:3 201:11 & 275:14 283:18 & supplied 239:10 \\
\hline 230:3 245:2 & statute 219:23 & 205:11 & substantially & suppliers 41:20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline support 76:20 & 151:1,4,24 & talk 86:5 238:24 & temperature & 203:17,22,24 \\
\hline 144:14 152:9 & 152:2 156:13 & 240:6 245:23 & 45:9 46:1,3 & 204:4,12,22 \\
\hline 295:4 & 161:23 162:4 & 282:22 & 158:13 235:4 & 205:18,19 \\
\hline supporting & 168:8 193:15 & talked 239:20 & temporary 85:4 & 206:16 207:4,9 \\
\hline 295:18 306:4 & 194:1,2,5,21 & 245:20 308:8 & 159:7 161:15 & 207:11 208:23 \\
\hline supports 293:7 & 196:13 283:13 & 325:23 & 161:18 173:12 & 209:16 210:2 \\
\hline 297:17 303:21 & 293:24 298:17 & talking 9:9 & 204:14 205:6 & 210:18 212:4 \\
\hline 331:1 & 299:6 300:12 & 70:21 75:21 & 254:23 277:8 & 236:12,12 \\
\hline suppose 196:8 & 303:5 328:1 & 150:7 175:24 & ten 173:9 211:24 & 254:9 255:4 \\
\hline supposed 86:12 & systems 16:10 & 177:14 190:19 & 313:23 314:4 & 256:15 257:1 \\
\hline 142:24 143:12 & 198:9 & 193:12 194:7 & term 45:16 & 260:18 262:4 \\
\hline sure 6:18 14:5 & & 201:8 202:11 & 151:6 185:21 & 275:24 289:9 \\
\hline 26:6 27:21 & T & 202:12 220:6 & 185:22 219:3 & 289:10,21,22 \\
\hline 33:14,22 35:22 & T 3:12 204:24 & 221:12 223:6 & terms 26:20 & 290:17 291:13 \\
\hline 60:6 63:12,14 & tab 71:10 72:3 & 226:23 241:22 & 29:14 30:14 & 291:16,17 \\
\hline 81:17 82:1 & tabbed 291:1 & 242:2 243:4 & 31:5 38:10 & 292:1,9,11 \\
\hline 84:3 152:10 & table 7:17 21:10 & 245:15 270:15 & 41:12 43:14 & 293:1,8,10,11 \\
\hline 153:3 176:18 & 21:12,22,24 & 273:21 276:19 & 67:6,13 137:9 & 294:17 295:5 \\
\hline 184:11 187:3 & 24:17 26:2,13 & 276:20 278:16 & 151:3 283:16 & 295:11,16 \\
\hline 188:14 210:9 & 37:12 53:13,17 & 279:16 335:9 & 288:21,22 & 296:17 297:18 \\
\hline 214:1 228:16 & 54:3,4,16,16 & tank 243:22 & 300:1,10 & 299:14,24 \\
\hline 235:22 236:3,7 & 136:7,23 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a x }} 9: 19,24\) & Terrace 144:8 & 302:3,16,18 \\
\hline 241:3 244:2,12 & 181:13,16,20 & 10:12,20 11:15 & test 5:16,23 & 303:23 304:1 \\
\hline 265:2 274:7 & tabulation & 13:4,23 14:9 & 47:23 70:22,23 & 304:23 305:3,5 \\
\hline 280:21 294:22 & 177:20 178:4 & 88:9 135:5 & 76:1,17,18 & 305:9,12,16,19 \\
\hline 309:11 313:22 & tackle 6:13 & technical 31:5 & 77:1,4,6,9 83:9 & 305:22 306:18 \\
\hline 320:7,24 & take 6:3 8:8 13 & 197:1 201:18 & 83:12,13,14,19 & 306:23 307:5,8 \\
\hline surface 205:2 & 16:23 19:2 & 229:4 & 84:3 85:3,7,13 & 307:11 308:3,5 \\
\hline surfaces 33:24 & 30:7 32:2 35:1 & technically & 86:1,9,9,10 & 308:9 310:6,21 \\
\hline surpassed 66:18 & 35:2,9 36:24 & 202:21,23 & 87:4 140:18 & 312:1 313:16 \\
\hline surprised 40:4 & 43:23 46:1 & 229:9 & 144:14 145:6 & 318:1 319:14 \\
\hline 218:22 & 47:4 53:9 & technique 162:6 & 155:15 158:22 & 319:16 320:5 \\
\hline sustained 39:5 & 54:20 60:19 & techniques & 158:24 159:2 & 320:18 322:10 \\
\hline 79:15,24 & 63:14 67:7 & 294:17 & 159:20 160:2,5 & 322:14 323:3 \\
\hline swear 15:7 & 85:12 143:12 & technological & 160:6,17 & 324:15 329:14 \\
\hline 143:17 252:1 & 153:1 208:6 & 41:15 & 161:12,13 & 330:4,7,8 \\
\hline 287:10 & 214:20 276:6,9 & technology 30:1 & 162:13 163:13 & 331:2,5,13,17 \\
\hline sweeping 223:15 & 280:14 284:21 & 41:5,10 244:15 & 163:21 164:19 & 331:23 332:3 \\
\hline 223:17 & 298:13,14 & tee 327:2 & 166:9 171:4 & 332:10,11,11 \\
\hline sworn 15:10,12 & 303:7 315:21 & tell 31:7 56:3 & 172:16,20,21 & 332:20 333:2,3 \\
\hline 143:19,21 & taken 1:12 9:19 & 136:19 153:6 & 173:1,6 180:22 & tested 85:15 \\
\hline 252:3,5 287:12 & 20:7 50:2 & 178:11 204:24 & 190:6,13,16,17 & 160:19 324:3 \\
\hline 287:14 & 52:16,18 57:17 & 210:6 218:17 & 191:1,4 192:18 & 327:12 \\
\hline system 5:6,6,10 & 68:9,12 146:23 & 248:17 253:15 & 192:21 199:19 & tester 165:13 \\
\hline 5:17,24 42:11 & 213:7 270:7 & 256:7 270:12 & 200:4,8,11,13 & 167:8 308:24 \\
\hline 73:6 77:20 & 315:24 327:21 & 271:4 283:14 & 200:24 201:16 & testers 172:9 \\
\hline 81:11 83:2 & takes 171:13 & 284:19 & 201:22 202:2,3 & testified 15:13 \\
\hline 147:24,24 & 265:13 & telling 236:11 & 202:13 203:3 & 15:23 16:12 \\
\hline 148:22 150:19 & talented 228:5 & temp 196:22 & 203:11,15,15 & 23:21 25:15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 44:17 48:19 & 294:16 295:13 & 211:23 227:12 & 241:9 242:20 & 200:11 205:19 \\
\hline 137:20 138:17 & 301:4 305:2 & 227:16 238:7 & 242:24 243:6 & three-page \\
\hline 139:19 143:22 & 306:16,24 & 242:22 245:6 & 243:22 245:1 & 295:17 322:9 \\
\hline 152:21 186:6 & 307:2 309:10 & 270:17 289:8 & 246:10 248:5 & three-shift 28:12 \\
\hline 193:24 213:2 & 309:17 317:21 & 294:7 323:23 & 249:16 258:22 & 34:11 37:15 \\
\hline 235:13 248:19 & tests 157:11 & 327:5 332:1 & 269:7 271:14 & 39:20,20 \\
\hline 249:13 252:6 & 159:22 164:23 & things 41:19 & 273:9 276:5,6 & throwing 226:3 \\
\hline 252:21 253:4 & 165:2,5,7,10 & 43:8 44:11 & 279:15 280:13 & tie 183:10 \\
\hline 253:11 254:7 & 165:20 173:16 & 52:755:17 & 296:22 298:19 & tied 55:9 223:10 \\
\hline 257:6 262:23 & 190:24 198:22 & 78:16 82:11 & 300:15 302:7 & tight 223:18 \\
\hline 275:16 287:15 & 200:5 205:19 & 149:9 179:17 & 303:1 305:14 & 335:10 \\
\hline 309:22 310:5 & 308:11,16 & 180:6 188:19 & 311:23 314:13 & Tim 25:11 26:7 \\
\hline 312:13 318:24 & 309:5,7,14 & 238:6 245:18 & 316:6,9 322:24 & 137:18,19 \\
\hline 319:21 321:14 & 314:6,9,10 & 297:21 315:18 & 323:11 324:10 & 138:13,19 \\
\hline 323:21 325:22 & 328:8 332:7 & 316:12 & thinking 179:18 & 232:17 \\
\hline testifies 148:12 & text 243:8 & think 7:17,22 & 180:20 187:7 & time 8:22 16:15 \\
\hline testify \(8: 14\) & thank 6:12 & 13:11 39:23 & 187:17 & 17:21 20:17,24 \\
\hline 14:11 49:5 & 14:17 20:12 & 40:11 55:12 & third 62:8 & 21:21 22:2,13 \\
\hline 152:22 244:6 & 22:17 24:10 & 56:12 59:10 & 199:10 222:10 & 25:14 29:18 \\
\hline 244:12 245:7 & 49:20 56:14 & 69:7 79:6 84:2 & 222:16,22 & 30:3,4,6,7,15 \\
\hline 245:19 & 70:20 137:1 & 85:18 135:19 & 237:20 & 30:21,23 31:10 \\
\hline testifying 38:19 & 141:1 143:3,7 & 137:20 139:19 & Thorough & 31:21 32:1,18 \\
\hline 39:2 244:23 & 143:9,10 & 144:19 148:11 & 317:22 & 32:22 33:5 \\
\hline testimony 7:5,10 & 162:21 176:5 & 153:21 158:5 & thought 11:8 & 34:10,15 35:3 \\
\hline 135:5 152:14 & 185:4 216:8 & 167:8,18 & 59:19 76:1 & 35:4,5,10,11 \\
\hline 152:20 175:6 & 226:12 227:11 & 168:10 169:11 & 79:7 85:22 & 35:18,22 37:18 \\
\hline 185:16 195:8 & 233:18 240:21 & 174:10 175:17 & 87:6 219:5 & 38:6,8 40:5 \\
\hline 204:13 208:24 & 249:6 250:6,9 & 176:16 178:17 & 253:12 264:13 & 42:24 43:9 \\
\hline 210:12 229:4 & 263:22 285:9 & 179:1 181:14 & 276:9 280:10 & 44:15 47:3 \\
\hline 231:10 238:8 & 293:2 297:13 & 181:18 183:16 & 285:18 329:24 & 49:10 51:13,21 \\
\hline 241:23 243:1 & 306:20 307:20 & 187:12,19,19 & 332:9,24 & 52:10 56:23 \\
\hline 244:19,19,20 & 316:23 318:5,7 & 188:6 193:19 & thoughts 312:8 & 57:14 63:10,14 \\
\hline 246:12 251:5 & 318:10 333:16 & 193:24 194:1 & thousand & 64:12 65:8 \\
\hline 261:22 269:17 & 334:17,22,23 & 194:16 196:10 & 216:18 226:4 & 68:1,4,12 \\
\hline 271:11,15 & 335:2,4 336:1 & 196:13 202:4,5 & three 28:13 32:9 & 77:15 81:24 \\
\hline 279:5,12 281:8 & thankful 7:3 & 202:6,8,9,10 & 32:10,17 36:2 & 83:19 84:2,8 \\
\hline 292:4,10 & thanks 227:24 & 202:16,20,23 & 37:20 38:1,11 & 85:13 86:11,22 \\
\hline 300:23 302:24 & thermal 73:7,15 & 203:4,13,20 & 159:13 160:18 & 138:13 139:2 \\
\hline 306:19 316:7 & 74:1,13,13 & 209:11 210:24 & 161:7,10 187:4 & 141:15,17,21 \\
\hline 319:5 & 82:12 84:9 & 212:5,17 214:5 & 195:18 196:3,5 & 142:4,8 156:9 \\
\hline testing 47:12,14 & 189:9,17 & 214:18 215:6 & 199:22,23,24 & 161:5 166:2 \\
\hline 76:6 159:1 & 221:17 234:19 & 215:22 217:24 & 202:19 205:14 & 173:16 174:13 \\
\hline 161:5 165:15 & 234:24 235:1 & 218:2 220:3,11 & 205:19 237:18 & 174:14,21,22 \\
\hline 165:24 172:11 & thermally & 220:13 221:23 & 241:4 261:14 & 175:20 176:2,3 \\
\hline 190:21 205:23 & 234:24 & 225:24 226:1,5 & 293:17 294:2 & 176:15 181:21 \\
\hline 207:19 288:17 & thing 83:8 146:7 & 226:13 227:13 & 294:13 295:7 & 201:4,5,6 \\
\hline 288:21,22 & 150:24 151:14 & 227:20 229:16 & three-color 32:4 & 205:2 206:23 \\
\hline 289:3,7,16,18 & 177:17 204:10 & 229:18 231:7 & 32:20 & 207:1 214:22 \\
\hline 290:8 291:11 & 207:9 208:20 & 234:5 235:13 & three-hour & 214:23 215:9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 223:12,13 & 14:4 53:5 & 12:14 & 213:11 215:15 & 65:23 66:11 \\
\hline 224:11 227:1,1 & 59:16 152:22 & trained 46:23 & 218:10 230:20 & 67:5,21 68:17 \\
\hline 231:23 245:24 & 179:13 195:9 & 229:2,11 & 237:9 238:1 & 68:22,23 69:3 \\
\hline 246:4 251:21 & 209:20 225:19 & transcript 1:11 & 239:11 241:6 & 74:16,21 \\
\hline 253:4 255:6 & 228:20 259:5 & 9:10 88:14,23 & 242:6.250:10 & 138:23 163:10 \\
\hline 256:13 257:12 & 275:5 276:1 & 135:20 250:16 & 291:11 293:12 & 168:11 170:21 \\
\hline 258:2,10,13 & 282:23 290:11 & 335:12 337:6 & 295:6 296:2 & 171:8 177:7 \\
\hline 260:5,19 & 296:13 298:11 & transfer 18:14 & 297:22 298:18 & 178:19 180:6,7 \\
\hline 261:13 263:9 & 299:8 300:17 & 262:21 263:16 & 302:7 304:4 & 187:4 192:1 \\
\hline 265:6,14,15 & 302:7 303:24 & transferred & 307:6 308:13 & 195:19 196:3 \\
\hline 275:12 278:23 & 304:3 311:5 & 32:16 275:21 & 308:23 309:8 & 204:9 205:22 \\
\hline 284:4,6,6 & 314:18 317:15 & transferring & 310:4 313:7,11 & 213:1,10 \\
\hline 302:16 304:8 & 321:14 335:1 & 27:11 262:9 & 315:13 318:17 & 217:23 220:8 \\
\hline 305:1 310:7 & 335:24 & transition 34:9 & 318:19,22 & 235:24 237:19 \\
\hline 312:11 313:10 & told 76:3 78:16 & treasure 198:1 & 319:3 330:20 & 244:15 251:4 \\
\hline 313:19 314:24 & 153:5,7 212:3 & treat 10:2 & 334:17 & 278:14 282:2 \\
\hline 315:21 320:24 & 212:3 249:1 & treated 9:24 & Trzupek's 292:3 & 294:8,9 298:20 \\
\hline 328:8 332:18 & 250:24 277:19 & treats 10:20 & 292:10 300:23 & 323:10 325:10 \\
\hline 337:4 & Tom 232:19 & trials 221:1 & 304:19 312:18 & 327:24 \\
\hline time-consuming & ton 322:1 & tried 53:9 & TTE 5:22 & two-by-fours \\
\hline 304:6 & tons 21:1 62:13 & Trinity 144:7,16 & 204:19 205:18 & 205:9 \\
\hline timeframe & tool 167:9 & trouble 201:11 & 205:19,21 & two-day 205:20 \\
\hline 140:15 255:13 & top 19:8 42:16 & 233:10 308:20 & tunnel 5:5,9,17 & two-press 68:19 \\
\hline 256:17 258:8 & 65:24 66:1 & true 24:1 33:18 & 5:24 147:24 & two-shift 28:12 \\
\hline 266:21,23 & 71:15 180:20 & 48:1 58:21 & 153:10 158:7 & 33:20 35:14 \\
\hline 267:22 285:2 & 217:8 264:17 & 84:23 140:12 & 292:13 & 37:15,21 39:20 \\
\hline 311:8 & 299:4 & 145:12 254:8 & turbulence & two-thirds 18:4 \\
\hline timeframes & total 11:16 & 256:1 269:9 & 236:9 & 192:3,4 \\
\hline 255:14 266:5 & 21:14 23:5 & 298:2 302:6,15 & turn 61:17 62:7 & Tyburk 232:22 \\
\hline timely 272:8 & 26:15 33:1 & 303:12 337:6 & 62:8 63:1 & 232:22 \\
\hline 273:11314:8 & 35:9 37:7 & trusted 142:5 & 64:14 65:11 & type 35:20 \\
\hline times 36:8,16,20 & 62:12,17,21 & truth 244:20 & 71:7 72:9,20 & 153:11,14 \\
\hline 36:21 37:1 & 67:9 84:22 & truthful 214:16 & 73:18 74:16 & 167:10,11 \\
\hline 74:22 75:2 & 85:4,7,11 & try 26:5 53:6 & 182:24 183:21 & 169:23 170:4 \\
\hline 161:7 171:9 & 159:7,8 161:15 & 55:19 137:24 & 189:2,22 191:3 & 173:1 221:14 \\
\hline 174:11 179:18 & 161:18 173:12 & trying 13:17 & 212:8 216:2 & 231:20 278:14 \\
\hline 179:24 187:21 & 192:15 204:11 & 41:9,20 44:12 & 291:12 295:1 & 320:5 321:1 \\
\hline 191:21 213:2 & 204:14,22 & 86:13 152:20 & 297:14 303:19 & 328:2 \\
\hline 213:10 245:22 & 205:7 206:1,5 & 156:12 172:13 & 304:14 308:19 & types 16:24 \\
\hline 258:13 268:2 & 206:22 222:15 & 208:11 298:16 & turnaround & 301:23 324:16 \\
\hline 298:21 303:9 & 254:23 256:13 & Trzupek 3:6,10 & 179:23,23 & 326:3 \\
\hline 309:2 & 273:1 277:8,9 & 7:6,11 49:4,8 & turned 245:12 & typical 27:16 \\
\hline tireless 228:5 & 284:20 299:18 & 70:22 77:1 & turning 22:23 & 30:18 32:11 \\
\hline title 36:16 154:2 & 320:2 321:20 & 139:16,18 & 72:2 & 172:10 300:9 \\
\hline 219:2 285:23 & totally \(43: 3\) & 143:20 144:1,3 & turns 235:20 & 323:1 \\
\hline 337:5 & totals 26:8 & 147:16 149:17 & two 10:14 13:6 & typically 31:24 \\
\hline titled 19:10 & touching 9:4 & 150:12 176:9 & 28:13 36:2 & 159:4 160:23 \\
\hline 292:20 & track 25:6 & 185:1,10 & 37:19 38:1 & 161:18 165:6 \\
\hline today 4:8 7:5,14 & trade 9:24 12:7 & 212:18 213:2 & 52:764:19 & 190:8 235:1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 321:19 322:22 & 153:20 156:8 & 142:19 150:18 & variability & 272:23 274:11 \\
\hline typo 199:2,8 & 157:17 158:15 & 157:13,23 & 301:18,22 & 274:18,19 \\
\hline typos 231:4 & 160:3 164:14 & 161:24 162:1 & variables 255:10 & 275:3 282:18 \\
\hline & 166:13 174:24 & 163:20 168:11 & 257:11 259:20 & 283:16 \\
\hline U & 176:11 179:20 & 172:21 175:6,9 & 259:23 260:20 & virtually 217:12 \\
\hline ultimate 4:17 & 194:7,20 195:2 & 175:16 178:18 & 263:7267:15 & vis-a-vis 235:20 \\
\hline ultimately & 207:12,14 & 178:18 180:18 & 269:18 282:7 & viscosity 191:24 \\
\hline 322:11 & 219:17 222:2 & 186:23 187:24 & 284:8 & Vision 232:19 \\
\hline unable 289:19 & 234:17,20 & 191:23,23 & varies 29:3 & visit 174:14 \\
\hline unacceptable & 258:23 263:14 & 192:1 194:8 & variety \(282: 7\) & visqueen 205:9 \\
\hline 313:8,12,17 & 271:5 282:16 & 195:3 199:19 & various 21:23 & vitae 145:10 \\
\hline unartfully & 333:22 & 202:15 203:17 & 268:14 301:19 & 253:9 \\
\hline 292:20 & understood & 209:21 211:4 & vary 30:13 & VOC 19:10 \\
\hline unbalanced & 153:1 239:4,15 & 214:4 222:13 & 260:1 324:7 & 20:15,20,24 \\
\hline 328:11 & 282:20 & 228:6 231:16 & vender 206:21 & 21:13,14 22:5 \\
\hline uncomfortable & undisclosed & 249:17 260:22 & vendors 177:16 & 53:13 75:5,7 \\
\hline 212:7 & 151:10 & 294:16 315:23 & 178:2 & 82:24 83:3,4 \\
\hline underestimate & unfortunately & USEPA 167:3 & verbal 316:6 & 83:10 153:15 \\
\hline 169:4,6 & 43:12 49:8 & 245:8,15 255:7 & verbatim 315:24 & VOCs 44:3,4 \\
\hline underestimati... & 75:23 137:11 & 257:5 281:5 & verification & 46:14 52:24 \\
\hline 170:21,21 & 304:9 & 283:4 290:6 & 159:19 & 53:2 70:17 \\
\hline underlying & unique 157:14 & 308:6 & verified 167:5 & 75:9,11,16 \\
\hline 277:5 & 224:13 & users 42:1 & verify \(205: 17\) & 76:4,9,11,11 \\
\hline underneath 66:1 & unit 23:20 72:4 & uses 51:4 170:5 & 305:21,23,24 & 76:12 78:21 \\
\hline 66:3 & 73:5,12 201:23 & 170:13 & version 11:10,12 & 82:7,10 189:1 \\
\hline understand 9:14 & University 288:6 & usually \(159: 19\) & 11:18 & volatile 69:20,24 \\
\hline 32:13 & unnecessary & 186:2 205:19 & versus 4:7 & 70:9 274:10 \\
\hline 52:2 & 82:14 & Uteco 69:16 & 136:13 173:6 & 289:1 299:18 \\
\hline \(85: 1139: 7\)
\(150: 23\) 151:23 & unreasonable & 73:11 79:6 & 187:7 219:2 & 301:13 \\
\hline 152:20 153:17 & & ilization 145:6 & 297:24 327:18 & lume 326:22 \\
\hline 158:11 201:20 & & & & \\
\hline 201:21 203:6 & & & & voluntarily \\
\hline 208:23 209:12 & unreliable 167 & 254:1 & 09 & \\
\hline 209:13,14 & 292:16 & utilized 32:6,6 & views 214:5 & volunteerin \\
\hline 210:4 211:20 & up/start 36:9 & 160:7 255:9 & violated 272:4 & 209:15 \\
\hline 219:23 220:4 & upper 26:12 & utilizing 40:9 & violating 269:11 & VOM 22:10 \\
\hline 220:14 226:10 & usage 43:8 & & violation 86:19 & 52:5,19 53:24 \\
\hline 228:16,22,23 & 168:20 174:3,6 & V & 211:7,9,10 & 54:2,3,7,12,15 \\
\hline 231:23 234:7 & 296:14 297:10 & valid \(289: 14\) & 264:6,9,10,15 & 56:17 57:17 \\
\hline 240:4,20 & 321:14,17,18 & 292:17 293:9 & 265:11 267:10 & 74:2,6,14 \\
\hline 247:22 259:7 & 321:21,22 & 299:16 305:8 & 267:13 268:6 & 148:24 159:6 \\
\hline 260:10,12 & 322:3 323:13 & 305:10 307:3,3 & 273:10,13 & 161:23 162:2,3 \\
\hline 266:23 282:23 & 323:14,14,17 & validate 42:5 & 274:5 281:20 & 168:1,5,7,12 \\
\hline 321:23 328:20 & use 13:4,24 17:5 & 295:16 & 282:6 294:24 & 168:13,14,17 \\
\hline understanding & 20:15 29:9 & validity 289:22 & violations 265:3 & 168:20 169:5 \\
\hline 10:21 46:10 & 30:22 42:20 & value 79:18 & 265:20 267:5 & 174:3,6,21 \\
\hline 80:16 86:10 & 45:20 46:4 & 283:23 284:7 & 268:8 270:3 & 183:2 187:8 \\
\hline 141:7 150:14 & 58:1,21 82:17 & 301:24 302:15 & 271:2,18 & 188:20 191:7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 191:15,20 & 280:3 & 65:2,3 170:10 & 240:15,23 & worse 246:7 \\
\hline 192:11,12,14 & warm 43:24 & 170:12,12,16 & 252:2 269:3 & worth 168:10 \\
\hline 201:9 202:13 & 195:3 & 170:16,17,24 & 275:16 276:10 & worthless \\
\hline 271:20,21 & wash 34:19 & 184:17 191:16 & 278:16,17,21 & 322:21 \\
\hline 296:6,10 & Washington 2:3 & 192:10 223:8 & 279:16,21 & wouldn't 56:16 \\
\hline 297:23 304:20 & wasn't 75:8,18 & welcome 135:7 & 286:14 287:11 & 67:14 161:18 \\
\hline 323:14,14,16 & 78:7 86:9 & 216:9 233:19 & 297:7 308:21 & 180:13 186:17 \\
\hline 323:17,23,24 & 87:13,14 & well-established & 314:17 315:4 & 186:18,22 \\
\hline 325:13 326:17 & 192:24 201:16 & 167:9 & 315:16,22 & 188:14 196:16 \\
\hline 327:7 330:22 & 203:10 204:4 & went 158:18 & 318:21,23 & 196:17 207:8 \\
\hline VOMs 57:17 & 215:20 236:8 & 224:3 248:6 & witnessed 165:5 & 207:20 210:10 \\
\hline Vonco 198:9,15 & 236:10 277:19 & 281:7311:24 & 165:6 308:11 & 218:22 220:8 \\
\hline 232:16 & 286:16 301:1 & 334:5 & 308:23 309:7 & 249:16 263:13 \\
\hline vs 1:5 & 309:5 313:18 & weren't 51:11 & witnesses 3:3 & 268:1 318:2 \\
\hline W & 314:16 & 204:3 332:19 & 135:8 152:12 & Wow 187:3 \\
\hline & & West 1:22 2:3 & 285:13 318:1 & wrap 240:10 \\
\hline & 43:7 & wet 160 : & witnessing & write 217:17 \\
\hline waiting 174:
waive 6:22 & water-ba & whatsoever & 165:14 289:17 & 228:17 231:19 \\
\hline 160:1 & & & women 227:24 & writing 237:15 \\
\hline walk 33:17 & & & & written 13:4 \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline ng & 85:22 158:20 & whittled 213:10 & word 149:6 & 237:17,19 \\
\hline wall 45:19 218:7 & 170:6 181:20 & widely \(324: 7\) & 202:16 219:7 & 241:1,18 \\
\hline walls 206:6 & 186:2 210:7,8 & WIEDENHA... & 242:11 249:17 & 244:19 \\
\hline want \(6: 13,16\) & 214:19 224:4 & 1:13 337:1,21 & 322:24 & wrong 148:14 \\
\hline 12:1 51:24 & 224:24 226:11 & Wild 215:16 & word-for-word & 323:12 \\
\hline 63:12 64:15 & 246:24 248:3 & win 198:10 & 147:2 230:24 & wrote 216:23 \\
\hline 71:7,9 135:3 & 251:8 260:8 & wipe \(33: 9,10,14\) & 231:4 & 217:22 241:18 \\
\hline 148:8 149:3 & 281:22 293:13 & wiser 7:17 & words 187:8 & \\
\hline 151:16 152:22 & ways 327:24 & wish 244:4 & 210:14 211:7 & X \\
\hline 162:10 185:15 & we're 211:15 & wishes 8:6 & 265:10 & X 3:1,12 \\
\hline 189:23 196:7 & we've 11:16 & withdraw 176:1 & work 80:21 & \\
\hline 196:11 201:22 & 55:17 & 176:2 & 138:19 160:24 & Y \\
\hline 202:2 214:19 & website 146:23 & withheld 13:5 & 161:17 172:13 & yeah 7:19,21 \\
\hline 220:21 221:3 & 220:13 & witness 8:6 & 228:7,11 & 8:17 11:22 \\
\hline 227:16,17 & week 12:19 & 13:16 14:9,13 & 281:13 284:16 & 13:22 35:9 \\
\hline 230:3 231:7,8 & 34:14 35:13,17 & 15:9,21 20:14 & 285:3 320:6 & 36:16 39:3 \\
\hline 248:15,16,16 & 209:2 231:21 & 49:5 59:3 & 333:6 & 45:18 76:10 \\
\hline 251:1,2 268:19 & weekend 35:20 & 60:22 64:5 & worked 13:6 & 80:21 146:22 \\
\hline 270:2 271:1 & 36:9 & 79:13 135:11 & 46:21 68:18 & 147:8 159:17 \\
\hline 278:17 286:14 & weekends 34:12 & 136:21 143:9 & 172:12 & 160:3 173:13 \\
\hline 312:6 325:21 & weekly 36:6,7,17 & 143:12,18 & workers 46:19 & 177:24 179:2,4 \\
\hline 334:21 & 36:18,19 37:1 & 148:18 149:12 & 46:21 & 179:6 182:6 \\
\hline wanted 176:9,20 & weeks 37:2 57:3 & 149:20 159:20 & working 228:5 & 183:4,18 184:8 \\
\hline 238:19 245:23 & weigh \(25: 3\) & 162:18,22 & works 331:4,4 & 186:3,11 \\
\hline 300:13 334:22 & weighed \(25: 5\) & 172:18 176:6 & world 209:15 & 187:11,11 \\
\hline wanting 205:11 & 191:14,14 & 183:17 203:24 & 245:10,13 & 188:23 189:19 \\
\hline wants 38:20 & weight \(37: 3,5,8\) & 214:4,5,14 & 282:11 283:21 & 190:18 193:18 \\
\hline 219:21 235:3 & 37:10 64:21 & 231:5,24 & worried 193:21 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 199:2 200:3 } \\
& \text { 202:9 203:6.15 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 203:19 204:21 & York 1:14 & 1363:5 & 51:15 52:16 & 38:9,15,24,24 \\
\hline 208:5 209:13 & & 13th 19:12,17 & 73:12 173:6 & 39:12 46:20 \\
\hline 211:17 212:1 & Z & 54:18 & 174:23 176:15 & 50:19 51:15,20 \\
\hline 213:5 222:17 & zero 39:14 & 1487:19,20,21 & 177:2 178:8 & 57:11 59:11 \\
\hline 225:3,5 226:5 & 247:18 & 14.99 184:20 & 180:11 193:9 & 63:8,24 64:6 \\
\hline 229:14,16 & 0 & \(1413: 5\) & 221:22 255:3 & 66:4,4,14,16 \\
\hline 234:10,23 & \% 0 & 143 3:6 & 265:4,9,21 & 66:20 68:3 \\
\hline 235:12,22 & \[
03 \text { 189:22 }
\] & 1463:17,21 & 266:16 267:7,9 & 71:13,20 76:16 \\
\hline 237:15 243:4 & 035 167:3,17 293.19 & 1486 42:15 & 269:12 270:5 & 76:24 77:8,10 \\
\hline 265:23 276:15 & 293:19 & 14th 244:17 & 277:13 278:7 & 83:16,23 84:5 \\
\hline 276:24 285:20 & \[
0364 \text { 189:2,7 }
\] & 153:4,16 31:2 & 1999 66:4 141:9 & 136:7,10 141:9 \\
\hline 286:2 316:11 & \[
0367 \text { 189:23 }
\]
\[
0370 \text { 155:23 }
\] & 41:17 166:4,24 & 141:13 & 141:11,13 \\
\hline 325:8 326:13 & \[
0370 \text { 155:23 }
\] & 204:13 205:20 & 19th 253:19,21 & 153:22 174:4 \\
\hline 329:18 331:10 & & 216:2 230:19 & 1st 4:22 50:20 & 182:2,20 183:8 \\
\hline year 21:1 22:2,9 & 1 & 254:22 292:19 & 57:3 258:19 & 184:4,8,15 \\
\hline 23:7,8 \(24: 22\) & 121:6140:8 & 292:20 308:24 & 286:16 & 190:5 211:21 \\
\hline 26:16 40:3 & \[
159: 12160: 7
\] & 310:10 315:4 & & 221:22 254:21 \\
\hline \(50: 15,16,19,20\)
\(50 \cdot 2051 \cdot 11\) & \[
169: 21,21,21
\] & 315:23 316:15 & \(\frac{2}{23 \cdot 1412 \cdot 17}\) & 265:4,21 \\
\hline 50:20 51:1,11 & 169:22 170:2,2 & 316:19 319:6 & \(23: 1412: 17,22\) & 266:16 267:9 \\
\hline 52:11 62:13,14 & 170:6,6 200:10 & 15th 5:12 177:2 & 18:18 21:7 & 269:13 270:5 \\
\hline 62:22 64:22,23 & 235:23 236:5 & 178:8 193:9 & 148:20 152:12 & 277:13 333:7,8 \\
\hline \(66: 4,14,16\)
\(67 \cdot 2269.1\) & \[
250: 1254: 18
\] & 231:1 255:3 & \(160: 7191: 8\)
\(197 \cdot 10198 \cdot 19\) & \(200321: 422: 9\) \\
\hline 67:22 69:1 & \[
300: 5 \text { 305:23 }
\] & 269:12 270:5 & 197:10 198:19 & 23:16 26:14,15 \\
\hline 86:4 136:9,10 & 1,000 37:6 & 315:14 & 254:21 256:12 & 26:23 27:6,13 \\
\hline 136:11 180:3 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1,00037: 6 \\
& 1: 00276: 21
\end{aligned}
\] & 163:16 63:8,24 & 291:12 306:15 & 38:15,24 39:14 \\
\hline 182:19,19 & 1:16 135:2 & 80:3 87:10 & 2,417300:6 & 40:1,1,8 61:10 \\
\hline 184:23 231:1 & \(10192: 13\) & 88:3,5 208:7,9 & 20 41:18 67:20 & 62:10 67:22 \\
\hline 244:17 311:10 & \[
1001: 2229: 6
\] & 297:11 & 68:24 146:6 & 69:5 140:14 \\
\hline 311:12 & 168:12 212:16 & 16th 64:6 66:15 & 192:12 197:12 & 174:5,5 180:3 \\
\hline years 10:13 & 168.12 \(213: 2129.16\) & 136:7 & 270:17 284:24 & 184:5,7 286:17 \\
\hline 20:19 26:9,18 & 296:16 303:9 & 17 11:10 & 296:14 297:11 & 291:4 296:21 \\
\hline 27:1 29:17 & \[
322: 15,18
\] & 1763:6 & 308:24 317:18 & 313:21 317:5,6 \\
\hline 39:1,12,16 & \[
323: 18
\] & 183:17 60:16 & 321:18 & 317:9,14 \\
\hline 41:18 52:5,16 & \[
1014: 15
\] & 199:3 212:8 & 200-page 322:10 & 2004 5:12 11:14 \\
\hline 66:9,11 67:20 & \[
102 \text { 3:4 }
\] & 216:2,3 230:4 & 2000 22:3,9 23:7 & 47:13 84:13,14 \\
\hline 68:24 87:6,6 & 103 4:15 & 230:14,16 & 23:17 53:5,21 & 84:21 85:10 \\
\hline 141:8,23 142:9 & \[
1173: 12
\] & 19 3:17 146:6,11 & 58:7 66:9,12 & 86:16 138:4 \\
\hline 142:13 165:15 & 11-500 1:23 & 146:15,18 & 306:3,8 & 140:15 173:7 \\
\hline \(173: 9212: 1\)
\(217 \cdot 13229.17\) & \[
1144 \text { 42:19 }
\] & 147:11 197:12 & \(200151: 10,15\) & 183:15 184:7 \\
\hline 217:13 229:17 & 12 3:14,14 63:3 & 265:8 & 52:16 59:11 & 206:16 255:4 \\
\hline 263:1 264:16 & \[
63: 17136: 4,22
\] & 191 2:8 & 66:9,12 76:17 & 332:20 \\
\hline 264:17 308:17 & \[
178: 24 \text { 181:6,6 }
\] & 1970 246:3 & 76:18 77:6,10 & 2004-16 4:8 \\
\hline 308:22,24 & 181:9 304:23 & 1973 214:22 & 83:14 176:12 & 2005 24:24 25:9 \\
\hline 313:23,23 & \[
\text { 12th } 180: 23
\] & 1990 167:21 & 176:16 177:3 & 137:12 142:6 \\
\hline 314:4 317:10 & 190:7 335:16 & 1993 67:20 & 178:8 180:12 & 173:6 \\
\hline 317:18 & \[
13 \text { 296:20 }
\] & 288:3 290:3 & 180:23 190:15 & 2009 19:12,17 \\
\hline уep 70:19 & \[
301: 21
\] & 1994 11:14 & 208:22 233:23 & 48:13 53:15 \\
\hline 183:19 198:10 & \[
1323: 22
\] & \[
1995 \text { 5:12 26:18 }
\] & 304:23 & 54:18 60:2,4,7 \\
\hline yield 56:8 & \[
1333: 4
\] & 27:11 51:9,10 & 2002 5:15 18:16 & 87:18 148:11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 148:13 149:5 & 25 199:4,11 & 35 5:7,19 148:1 & 4-2 72:23 & 78:14,15 79:8 \\
\hline 149:23 150:7 & 284:24 321:4 & 150:15 151:3 & 4.1-1 71:15 72:2 & 80:9,12 81:19 \\
\hline 151:18 152:21 & 25(a) 159:12 & 296:7 & 4.1-5 72:10 & 81:21 82:1 \\
\hline 186:5 198:7 & 160:8,21 162:6 & 364 72:22 & 4.2-1 72:21,24 & 83:17,19 85:13 \\
\hline 230:22 253:6 & 198:20 199:4 & 370 155:20 & 4.2-7 155:24 & 85:20 86:1 \\
\hline 264:13,13 & 199:20,21 & 3700 2:8 & 40 168:2,5,18 & 87:11 139:3,4 \\
\hline 304:19 314:19 & 200:1 320:1 & 380 306:3,9 & 191:8,11,21 & 139:8,20 145:7 \\
\hline 314:23 & 321:6 & 3rd 335:13,18 & 192:3,4 217:13 & 147:24 148:21 \\
\hline 2011 190:7 & 252 3:8 & & 295:20,21 & 150:17 151:1,7 \\
\hline 215:15 253:19 & 2573:22 & 4 & 296:6,10 & 153:8,10,18 \\
\hline 253:22 285:1 & 264 3:8 & 4 5:11,15 18:13 & 321:20,23 & 154:2 157:14 \\
\hline 2012 4:22 23:18 & 26th 5:12 & 18:14,15,20,22 & 322:7,8 330:22 & 158:3 159:2,4 \\
\hline 47:7 144:17 & 280 3:8 & 21:4,13 23:12 & \(400226: 3\) & 164:20,21 \\
\hline 244:16 256:6 & 2800 2:3 & 27:10,17 28:10 & 41 296:6 & 165:24 166:3 \\
\hline 258:19 315:14 & 284 3:8 & 28:13,18,18,21 & 42 221:11 296:7 & 166:10 172:3,5 \\
\hline 2013 1:16 4:8 & 2863 3:21,23 & 29:15 30:22,23 & 42(h) \(8: 4\) & 173:20 174:5,9 \\
\hline 146:13 337:14 & 2873:9,18 & 32:4,5,17,23 & 43 66:10,12 & 174:12 177:1 \\
\hline 204 159:9 & 28th 71:20 & 37:18 38:8 & 222:9 & 177:21 180:3 \\
\hline 204:24 & 2nd 1:14 296:21 & 39:11,13,19 & 43.766:12 & 181:24 182:7,9 \\
\hline 209 1:14 & 2s 198:20 199:11 & 41:14 42:18 & \(44222: 21\) & 184:21 193:8 \\
\hline 21 3:18 59:2,19 & & 45:21,23 46:17 & \(4530: 24\) & 194:3,9,13,15 \\
\hline 60:20 61:21 & 3 & 46:20,22 52:20 & 456,000 225:16 & 194:21 207:1 \\
\hline 62:2,4 138:3,7 & 3 160:7,15 & 54:4 56:17 & 225:17 & 207:10,14 \\
\hline 138:10 183:11 & 198:18,18,20 & 66:10,17,22,24 & \(47226: 17\) & 208:12,23 \\
\hline 183:14 185:2 & 199:10 255:2 & 67:2,23 68:2,5 & 49 19:14,15,16 & 210:18 211:8 \\
\hline 211 151:4 & 255:11 256:16 & 72:3 73:4 & 20:1,4,5,7 & 222:5,6 234:6 \\
\hline 213(f) 230:24 & \(300: 3\)
\(\mathbf{3 . 5 5} 251.20\) & 83:17 159:2,12
\(160 \cdot 10161 \cdot 10\) & & 254:20,24 \\
\hline 231:4 315:16 & 3:55 251:20 & 160:10 161:10 & 5 & 262:2,22 263:2 \\
\hline 316:1 & \(3074: 17\) 192:6 & 173:21 174:9 & 5 5:5,9,11,14,17 & 275:22 277:12 \\
\hline \(218307: 16\) & 192:10,10 & 177:22 178:20 & 5:23 18:15 & 290:6,17 \\
\hline 317:24 & 205:20 276:9 & 178:20 180:2 & 21:13 23:12,23 & 292:14 297:9 \\
\hline \(218.401150: 3\) & 306:17 & 180:16 181:2 & 27:7,12,17 & 297:10 307:12 \\
\hline 192:19 200:19 & 30-day 159:17 & 181:14,24 & 28:11,14,19,22 & 327:9 330:8 \\
\hline 203:9 269:11 & 30,000 166:5 & 182:3,7,9,10 & 29:14,16 31:1 & 5's 153:10 \\
\hline 218.401(c) 5:8 & 3067 189:22 & 183:2,7,22 & 31:4 32:12,17 & 5.4 305:2 \\
\hline 5:20 148:2 & 3073:9 & 184:4,19 & 32:21 38:1,9 & 5/13 146:13 \\
\hline 150:16 & 30th 138:4 & 198:18 199:11 & 39:14,21 40:1 & 5:45 336:1 \\
\hline 21st 1:15 4:8 & \(3172: 10\) & 200:10,10 & 40:9,12,17,19 & 50 3:4 43:6 \\
\hline 135:2 & 312 2:4,9 & 222:1 254:20 & 41:14 42:18 & 192:12 \\
\hline \(223: 18,20\) 73:19 & 3163:16 & 257:22 262:21 & 43:9,11,14,17 & 50.69 62:13 \\
\hline 73:22 182:15 & 3173:9 & 263:2 264:16 & 43:21 44:8,15 & 55.42 22:8 \\
\hline 185:3 286:15 & 319 3:11 & 264:23 265:3 & 44:18 46:7,17 & 569-1000 2:9 \\
\hline 286:24 287:2 & 31st 291:4 & 265:21 266:8 & 46:23 47:15,17 & \(593: 20\) 19:2 \\
\hline 23 74:9 185:1 & 313:21 317:5 & 266:15 267:7,8 & 52:20 54:5 & 20:4,7,10 \\
\hline 230 3:17 & 335:13 337:14 & 269:12 270:4 & 56:18 62:17 & 21:11 22:13,17 \\
\hline 232 3:6 & 3303 3:11 & 273:3,4 274:14 & 66:18 68:8,10 & 22:20 26:19 \\
\hline 243:20 34:4 & 333 3:11 & 275:22 277:12 & 69:9 70:22,23 & 38:14 39:9 \\
\hline 249 3:6 & 3343 3:11 & 288:12,14,16 & 71:2,10 72:5,7 & 52:157:21 \\
\hline 24th 335:17 & 34.97 184:21 & 4's 5:14 211:12 & 73:14,16 78:5 & 62:16 138:18 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

